

WRITING REPORTS AND REVIEW OF EVIDENCE. HE HAS WORKED EXTREMELY LONG HOURS.

5. [REDACTED]

CHG
HAS ASSISTED IN COVERING NUMEROUS LEADS WHEREIN HIS YEARS OF EXPERIENCE HAVE PROVED INVALUABLE AND HE HAS BEEN MOST COOPERATIVE IN WORKING LONG HOURS IN THE SUPERVISION OF ASSEMBLING OF REPORTS IN THE ASSASSINATION AND SECURITY CASES.

6. [REDACTED]

CHG
HE HAS ACTED AS ASSISTANT CHIEF CLERK IN THE [REDACTED] OF THIS INVESTIGATION.

ALL OF THE ABOVE INDIVIDUALS HAVE PERFORMED ALL ASSIGNMENTS IN AN OUTSTANDING MANNER.

IN ADDITION TO ABOVE SPECIFIC AWARDS, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT A LETTER BE DIRECTED TO ME, COMMENDING ^{ALL} OTHER PERSONNEL WHO PARTICIPATED IN THESE INVESTIGATIONS FOR THE EXCELLENT, TERRIFIC VOLUME OF WORK DONE UNDER GREAT STRESS AND STRAIN, WITH COMPLETE DISREGARD FOR PERSONAL DEMANDS.

URGENT 12-16-63 7-24 PM CST. LJH

TO DIRECTOR

FROM SAC, DALLAS

INVESTIGATION HANDLED BY DALLAS OFFICE SINCE ASSASSINATION OF PRES. JOHN. F. KENNEDY, NOV. TWENTYTWO, SIXTYTHREE. RECOMMENDATION FOR INCENTIVE AWARDS AND COMMENDATION.

DALLAS OFFICE, WITH ASSISTANCE OF NUMEROUS AGENT AND CLERICAL PERSONNEL FROM OTHER DIVISIONS, HAS CONDUCTED EXTENSIVE, PENETRATING AND PAINSTAKING INVESTIGATION INTO ALL FACETS OF THE CASES INVOLVED. ALL BUREAU ASSIGNED PERSONNEL WITH COMPLETE DISREGARD FOR PERSONAL OR FAMILY DEMANDS, HAVE PUT IN EXTREMELY LONG HOURS WITHOUT COMPLAINT TO FULFILL BUREAU-S RESPONSIBILITIES IN THIS FAST BREAKING INVESTIGATION OF WORLD WIDE IMPORTANCE. I RECOMMEND INCENTIVE AWARDS FOR FOLLOWING DALLAS PERSONNEL.

(b)(6)
1. [REDACTED] WAS ASSIGNED TO THIS SPECIAL SINCE ITS INCEPTION. HE ACTED AS ONE OF THE COORDINATORS OF THE INV. OF THE ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT, AND SPECIFICALLY HANDLED LEAD ASSIGNMENTS, REVIEWING SAME TO SEE THAT THEY WERE COVERED PROMPTLY AND IN DETAIL. HE WORKED EXTREMELY LONG HOURS.

(b)(6)
2. [REDACTED] WORKED ON THIS SPECIAL FROM ITS INCEPTION. [REDACTED] HE HAS PREPARED VOLUMINOUS REPORTS AND

END PAGE ONE

67-475-1393a

THE OVER ALL INVESTIGATION IN AN OUTSTANDING MANNER.

3. [REDACTED] HAS WORKED ON THIS SPECIAL

INV. SINCE ITS INCEPTION. HE WAS PARTICULARLY EFFECTIVE IN SECURING INFO. CONCERNING THE LATE PRESIDENTS CONDITION SO THAT I COULD RELAY THIS TO THE BUREAU PROMPTLY. IN ADDITION, UNDER MY INSTRUCTIONS HE WAS ABLE TO SECURE THE MAJOR ITEMS OF EVIDENCE FROM THE DALLAS PD FOR FORWARDING TO FBI LAB ON NIGHT OF NOV. TWENTYTWO LAST. THIS ENABLED THE LAB. TO MAKE A VERY QUICK AND DEFINITE DETERMINATION AS TO THE INVOLVEMENT OF LEE HARVEY OSWALD.

4. [REDACTED] WAS ASSIGNED AS

[REDACTED] AND SPECIFICALLY HANDLED LEAD ASSIGNMENTS AND REVIEWED LEADS TO INSURE THOROUGHNESS. HE WORKED EXTREMELY LONG HOURS.

5. [REDACTED] WAS ASSIGNED AS

[REDACTED] FROM ITS VERY INCEPTION AND HAS PREPARED VOLUMINOUS REPORTS.

6. [REDACTED] HAS ASSISTED IN THE

INV. OF THE SECURITY ASPECTS OF THIS INV. AND IN ADDITION HE HAS CONTROLLED AND CONDUCTED THE INTERVIEWS OF MARINA OSWALD, WIFE OF THE ASSASSIN. IT HAS BEEN THROUGH HIS CAREFUL AND OUTSTANDING WORK THAT WE HAVE SECURED HER COOPERATION.

7. [REDACTED] HAS BEEN ASSIGNED TO THE

ASSASSINATION ASPECTS AS WELL AS THE SECURITY ASPECTS. HE PARTICULARLY ASSISTED IN THE SUPERVISION AND OVER ALL ADMINISTRATION OF THIS INV.,

(b)(6)
(b)(6)
(b)(6)
(b)(6)

PAGE THREE

MAKING CERTAIN EVERY FACET WAS COVERED.

8. [REDACTED] HAS BEEN ASSIGNED TO THE CR ASPECTS OF THIS INV. AND HAS ASSISTED IN THE SUPERVISION AND OVER ALL ADMINISTRATION, INCLUDING ASSIGNMENT OF LEADS AND MAKING CERTAIN EVERY FACET OF INV. WAS COVERED.

9. [REDACTED] HAS BEEN PRIMARILY ASSIGNED THE OVER ALL HANDLING AND PREPARATION OF ASSEMBLING AND GETTING TOGETHER REPORTS IN THE CR CASE.

10. [REDACTED] HAS BEEN CHARGE OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE HANDLING AND PREPARING AND ASSEMBLING OF REPORTS IN BOTH THE ASSASSINATION AND SECURITY ASPECTS OF THIS CASE.

11. [REDACTED] WORKED WITH ME IN THE OVER ALL SUPV. OF ALL FACETS OF THIS INV. HE HAS WORKED EXTREMELY LONG HOURS AND HAS EXHIBITED EXCELLENT JUDGMENT AND OUTSTANDING DEVOTION TO DUTY.

12. [REDACTED] FROM INCEPTION OF THIS INVESTIGATION, [REDACTED] HAS ASSISTED ME IN CONTROLLING AND DIRECTING THE INVESTIGATION IN ITS EARLY STAGES, AS WELL AS HANDLING NUMEROUS TEL. CALLS FROM THE PUBLIC. HE WORKED LONG HOURS AND EXHIBITED EXCELLENT JUDGEMENT IN CONNECTION WITH HIS ASSIGNMENTS.

13. [REDACTED] IT IS NOTED [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] HE WAS CENSURED AND PLACED ON PROBATION

END PAGE THREE

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
HIS PERFORMANCE IN CONNECTION [REDACTED]
INV. SINCE NOV. TWENTYTWO LAST CERTAINLY MERITS RECOMMENDATION
FOR INCENTIVE AWARD. HE HAS WORKED EXTREMELY LONG HOURS
AND HAS GENERALLY SUPERVISED THIS PHASE OF THE INV. IN AN OUTSTANDING
MANNER.

14. [REDACTED] OPERATED AS
CLERICAL COORDINATOR AND CHIEF CLERK [REDACTED] AND HAS
PERFORMED HIS ASSIGNMENTS UNDER ADVERSE CIRCUMSTANCES IN OUTSTANDING
MANNER.

15. [REDACTED] HAS
ACTED AS CHIEF CLERK [REDACTED] OF
THIS INV. AND PERFORMED IN VERY OUTSTANDING MANNER UNDER AADVERSE
CIRCUMSTANCES.

16. [REDACTED] OPERATED BOTH AS
A SWITCHBOARD AND RADIO DISPATCHER AND FOR EXTREMELY LONG HOURS
WITHOUT RELIEF FROM THE INCEPTION OF THIS INV. AND BEFORE OTHER
PERSONNEL FROM OTHER OFFICES ARRIVED. HER DEVOTION TO DUTY
MERITS AN AWARD.

17. [REDACTED] CLERK. SECURITY PATROL CLERK
[REDACTED] WORKED EXTREMELY LONG HOURS OVER AND ABOVE HIS REGULAR SHIFT.
IN ADDITION, HE RECEIVED THE ANONYMOUS CALL REGARDING A THREAT TO
KILL OSWALD AND HE PROMPTLY HANDLED THE SAME AND BROUGHT IT TO
THE ATTENTION OF AGENT PERSONNEL, WHICH RESULTED IN PROMPT NOTIFICATION
TO THE LOCAL AUTHORITIES.

(b)(7)(C)
(b)(7)(C)
(b)(7)(C)
(b)(7)(C)

PAGE FIVE

(b)(6) 18. [REDACTED] WORKED LONG CONTINUOUS HOURS UNDER CONSTANT STRESS WITHOUT RELIEF AT THE INCEPTION OF THIS INV. HER DEVOTION TO DUTY MERITS AN AWARD.

(b)(6) 19. [REDACTED] WORKED EXTREMELY LONG HOURS IN COORDINATING STENOGRAPHIC ASSIGNMENTS AND HANDLING ALL HER DUTIES.

(b)(6) 20. [REDACTED] WORKED EXTREMELY LONG HOURS, ASSISTING IN COORDINATING THE STENOGRAPHIC WORK AS WELL AS DOING STENOGRAPHIC ASSIGNMENTS.

I RECOMMEND INCENTIVE AWARDS FOR THE FOLLOWING AGENT AND CLERICAL PERSONNEL ASSIGNED ON THIS SPECIAL FROM OTHER OFFICES.

(b)(6) 1. [REDACTED] HE WAS EXTREMELY CAPABLE IN COORDINATING LEADS IN THE CR CASE AND SEEING THAT EACH AND EVERY FACET OF THIS INV. WAS BEING RUN OUT.

(b)(6) 2. [REDACTED] HE DID SAME AS [REDACTED] ABOVE. IN ADDITION, HE CONDUCTED NUMEROUS VERY TOUCHY INTERVIEWS IN HIGHLY SATISFACTORY MANNER.

(b)(6) 3. [REDACTED] HAS PARTICIAPTED IN ALL INTERVIEWS WITH MARINA OSWALD AS THE INTERPRETER AND HAS BEEN EXTREMELY HELPFUL IN ALLAYING HER FEARS AND SECURING HER COOPERATION, THROUGH HIS OUTSTANDING KNOWLEDGE OF RUSSIAN LANGUAGE AND HER TEMPERAMENT.

(b)(6) 4. [REDACTED] HE HAS ASSISTED IN COORDINATING SECURITY ASPECTS OF THIS CASE, INCLUDING

END PAGE FIVE

PAGE SIX

WRITING REPORTS AND REVIEW OF EVIDENCE. HE WAS WORKED EXTREMELY LONG HOURS.

5. [REDACTED] HAS ASSISTED IN COVERING NUMEROUS LEADS WHEREIN HIS YEARS OF EXPERIENCE HAVE PROVED INVALUABLE AND HE HAS BEEN MOST COOPERATIVE IN WORKING LONG HOURS IN THE SUPERVISION OF ASSEMBLING OF REPORTS IN THE ASSASSINATION AND SECURITY CASES.

6. [REDACTED] HE HAS ACTED AS ASSISTANT CHIEF CLERK IN THE [REDACTED] OF THIS INVESTIGATION.

ALL OF THE ABOVE INDIVIDUALS HAVE PERFORMED ALL ASSIGNMENTS IN AN OUTSTANDING MANNER.

IN ADDITION TO ABOVE SPECIFIC AWARDS, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT A LETTER BE DIRECTED TO ME, COMMENDING ALL OTHER PERSONNEL WHO PARTICIPATED IN THESE INVESTIGATIONS FOR THE EXCELLENT, TERRIFIC VOLUME OF WORK DONE UNDER GREAT STRESS AND STRAIN, WITH COMPLETE DISREGARD FOR PERSONAL DEMANDS.

END

WA--- WA ACK. AND HOLD. FOR MORE

WA---9-49 PM OK FBI WA OS

FILE (100-10461)

1/28/64

SAC SHANKLIN

LEE HARVEY OSWALD
IS - R - CUBA

Assistant to the Director ALAN H. BELMONT
called at 5:00 PM today and advised as follows:

The Bureau has been informed that Dist. Atty. HENRY WADE, in his recent appearance before representatives of the President's Commission to investigate the assassination of President Kennedy, made the statement that Dallas reporter HUDKINS claims OSWALD was known as Informant No. 179 in the Bureau and was paid \$200.00 a month. The Commission asked WADE what he knew about informants in the FBI, and he said that when he was in South America as a Bureau representative, he was given money and never had to get receipts; that the Bureau depended upon his integrity to spend the money properly, and there was no accounting for the money. The inference, of course, is that under these circumstances, the Bureau would not know at headquarters whether OSWALD had been an informant and had been paid as there is no accounting for the money.

The Commission said it was desirous of knowing how they could run this out and present a convincing picture to the effect that OSWALD was not a Bureau informant, and it was suggested to them that they call HUDKINS and put him under oath, regardless of the fact that he might go back and write a story that the FBI was being investigated by the Commission.

We are preparing information here at the Seat of Government relative to the handling of informants and the accountability of funds - - that is, what our procedure is.

2 - Dallas
JGS:mfr
(2)

67-425-1416

| | |
|--------------|---------|
| SEARCHED | INDEXED |
| SERIALIZED | FILED |
| JAN 28 1964 | |
| FBI - DALLAS | |

DL #100-10481

Mr. BELMONT continued that the Director wanted him to pass on to you that he wanted you to be very circumspect in your dealings with WADE, inasmuch as by inference at least, WADE indicated that the Bureau was not in a position to state whether OSWALD was or was not an informant. As you know, this is not true as we account for all funds paid to informants or for information of any kind, and we have a strict accountability for the designation and handling of informants.

Hold WADE at arm's length. Don't give any advice or counsel, and while we want to cooperate to the extent that it is legally desirable so we can't be charged with lack of cooperation in connection with the RUBY matter, the Dallas Office should be very circumspect in its dealings with WADE. This information that we received does not parallel the information which WADE furnished you the other night about his appearance before the Commission.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : FILE (100-10461)

DATE: 2/14/64

FROM : SAC SHANKLIN

SUBJECT: LEE HARVEY OSWALD, aka
IS - R - CUBA

Mr. WILLIAM BRANNIGAN of the Bureau called at 1:45 PM today and stated that the Director has instructed that I assure that we have no further dealings with WILLIAM ALEXANDER, Assistant District Attorney, and that we be most circumspect in our dealings with HENRY WADE.

2 - Dallas
JGS:mfr
(2)

1-4 of 5010-108-02

67-25-1535

| | |
|------------|---------|
| SEARCHED | INDEXED |
| SERIALIZED | FILED |

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC, Dallas

DATE: 3-6-64

YWA
FROM : Director, FBI

SUBJECT: WILLIAM F. ALEXANDER
ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY, DALLAS COUNTY,
DALLAS, TEXAS
PERSON NOT TO BE CONTACTED
RESEARCH (CRIME RECORDS)

44-549 I

425-1535

In connection with our inquiries into the source of the scurrilous rumors that Lee Harvey Oswald and Jack Ruby were informants of the FBI, it appears very probable that Alexander was the originator of these rumors. In view of this, Alexander has been designated as a person not to be contacted without prior Bureau approval. You should advise investigative personnel of your office accordingly.

*act cont
3/12/64 KA*

67-425-1535a

| | |
|--------------|---------|
| SEARCHED | INDEXED |
| SERIALIZED | FILED |
| MAR 9 1964 | |
| FBI - DALLAS | |

168

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC, DALLAS

DATE: 11/22/63

FROM : SA [REDACTED] (b)(6)

SUBJECT: PERSONNEL MATTER
[REDACTED] (b)(6)
Parkland Hospital, Dallas,
11/22/63 (Secret Service)

On 11/22/63 at approximately 12:35 PM, I was instructed by the SAC to proceed to Parkland Hospital and to immediately contact Secret Service Agents to establish liaison and to offer the Bureau's entire facilities and manpower for any assistance. I arrived at the hospital and established liaison with Secret Service Agent in Charge, first name not known, KELLERMAN, in the emergency ward.

At approximately 1:50 PM, with the assistance of the chief registered nurse on duty in the emergency ward, I was able to leave the area and to to another part of the hospital to telephonically contact the SAC. All outgoing telephone service on the first floor of the hospital was blocked and it was not possible to make any outside contact by telephone.

At approximately 1:59 PM, the head nurse and I cleared through police officers at the door leading down the corridor to one of the doors to the emergency ward, after I identified myself as an FBI Agent. I had previously identified myself to Secret Service Agents in the immediate emergency room area where President KENNEDY expired. As the chief registered nurse and I entered the emergency room door, returning from the telephone call, two Secret Service Agents, without challenging me, grabbed me and wrestled me to the hall floor. I immediately identified myself again. At this point, Secret Service Agent in Charge KELLERMAN appeared, who knew me from previous identification by credentials, and the matter ended there. (b)(6)

Within the next few minutes I was able to get a phone and called the office and talked with ASAC CLARK, who was advised of the above. ASAC CLARK advised me that SA DRAIN was on the premises and had a line open through which he could reach the office, and that it wouldn't for me to stay any longer.

67-425-1556

| | |
|--------------|---------|
| SEARCHED | INDEXED |
| SERIALIZED | FILED |
| NOV 22 1963 | |
| FBI - DALLAS | |

*3 photo copies made
orig + 1 copy sent to
Bureau 2-12-64
my*

Dallas [REDACTED]
mfr

*(b)(6)
11/22/63*

FILE (89-43)

2/5/64

SAC SHANKLIN

ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT KENNEDY,
11/22/63, DALLAS, TEXAS
MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION CONCERNING

Inspector ROBERT WICK, Crime Records, telephonically furnished following information at 5:10 PM today.

There has been published a United Press International (UPI) book called "Four Days", and there is also published an Associated Press book called "The Torch is Past". These books relate to the assassination of the President and contain script as well as photographs. Page 25 of the UPI book says this: "Suddenly two Secret Service men burst into the room. One of them, his face contorted with anguish, was waving a sub machine gun. Staff members dived for cover. A man in a business suit dashed in; the Agent slammed him against the wall with one punch. Dazed, the man pulled out FBI credential and gasped, 'I've got to call J. EDGAR HOOVER.' A moment later, the President and Governor CONNALLY were carried into the hospital . . ."

In the Associated Press book on page 14, next to the last paragraph, states, "Moments before the President arrived at the emergency entrance, a Secret Service Agent, face aligned with emotion, had dashed in with a sub machine gun. . . A man in a business suit ran in and the Agent slugged him on the jaw before the man, sinking to the floor, could bring out the card that identified him as an Agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation."

A teletype will be sent to Bureau, Attention Crime Records, Attention Assistant Director C. D. DE LOACH, on 2/6/64.

4 - Dallas
JGS:mir
(4)

67-425-1557

| | |
|--------------|---------|
| SEARCHED | INDEXED |
| SERIALIZED | FILED |
| FBI - DALLAS | |

2/6/64

TELETYPE

URGENT

TO: DIRECTOR

ATTENTION: ASST. DIRECTOR C. D. DE LOACH
CRIME RECORDS DIV.

FROM: SAC, DALLAS (67-425)

ASSASSINATION OF PRES. KENNEDY, NOV. TWENTYTWO, SIXTYTHREE,
MISC., INFORMATION CONCERNING

RE TELCALL FROM INSPECTOR ROBERT WICK TO ME, FEB. FIVE
LAST RE INFORMATION IN A UNITED PRESS INTERNATIONAL BOOK QUOTE
FOUR DAYS UNQUOTE, AND SPECIFICALLY TO PAGE TWENTYFIVE OF THIS
BOOK, AND TO ASSOCIATED PRESS BOOK QUOTE THE TORCH IS PASSED UNQUOTE,
PAGE FOURTEEN.

(b)(6)
Y (b)(6)
(b)(6)
(b)(6)
(b)(6)
(b)(6)
DR. [REDACTED] WAS INTERVIEWED TODAY BY ASAC CLARK AND
SA MILTON L. NEWSON. DR. [REDACTED] ADVISED HE DEPARTED DALLAS NOV.
TWENTYONE LAST AND PERFORMED OPERATION ON HIS COUSIN WITH ASSISTANCE
OF LOCAL SURGEON AT [REDACTED] ON NOV. TWENTYTWO LAST. HE
DID NOT RETURN UNTIL NOV. TWENTYTHREE AND KNOWS NOTHING OF THE
ASSASSINATION OTHER THAN THAT READ IN THE PAPERS. HE STATED HE
HAS NEVER BEEN INTERVIEWED BY MEMBERS OF THE PRESS, ALTHOUGH HAS
BEEN QUOTED IN THE PRESS AS HAVING ATTENDED GOVERNOR CONNALLY. DR.
[REDACTED] HAS READ ABOVE MENTIONED ARTICLES AND KNOWS NOTHING OF
THESE INCIDENTS.

(2) - Dallas (67-425) [REDACTED]
JGS:mfr

(2) - f

67-425-1558

(b)(6)
DR. [REDACTED] INTERVIEWED THIS DATE, ADVISED HE WAS PRESENT IN EMERGENCY AREA WHEN GOVERNOR CONNALLY AND PRES. KENNEDY ARRIVED: HOWEVER THERE WERE NO MEMBERS OF THE PRESS IN THE AREA AND THE ONLY ONES HE OBSERVED WERE AN AMBULANCE ATTENDANT AND ONE MOTORCYCLE POLICEMAN. DR. [REDACTED] ATTENDED GOVERNOR CONNALLY AND WAS NEVER CONTACTED BY MEMBERS OF THE PRESS. HE FURTHER STATED HE DID NOT OBSERVE ANY ALTERCATION.
(b)(6) DR. [REDACTED] READ ABOVE MENTIONED ARTICLES AND STATED HE KNOWS NOTHING OF SUCH AN INCIDENT.

FOR INFO OF BUREAU, THERE WERE NO AGENTS PRESENT AT PARKLAND HOSP. UNTIL AFTER PRES. KENNEDY AND GOVERNOR CONNALLY HAD ARRIVED THERE AND WERE IN EMERGENCY ROOM.

(b)(6)
IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE REPORTED SHOOTING, I DID INSTRUCT SA [REDACTED] AND SA [REDACTED] TO PROCEED TO PARKLAND HOSP. ANOTHER AGENT WAS LEFT OUTSIDE IN A RADIO CAR AS IT WAS RECOGNIZED THAT COMMUNICATION FACILITIES WOULD BE VERY DIFFICULT. I DID THIS WITH THE PURPOSE OF OFFERING FULL COOPERATION OF THE FBI TO SECRET SERVICE REPRESENTATIVE AT THE HOSP, AS WELL AS KEEPING INFORMED AS TO THE CONDITION OF THE PRESIDENT.

(b)(6)
SA [REDACTED] AT APPROX. ONE FIFTYNINE PM, AFTER HAVING CONTACTED SECRET SERVICE AGENT KELLERMAN, APPARENTLY IN CHARGE OF THE SECRET SERVICE OPERATION AT THE HOSP., IDENTIFYING HIMSELF AND OFFERING FULL COOPERATION, WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF THE CHIEF NURSE ON DUTY, CLEARED THROUGH POLICE OFFICERS AND WAS

DL #67-425

GOING DOWN THE CORRIDOR TO ONE OF THE DOORS NEAR THE EMERGENCY WARD. AS HE AND THE NURSE ENTERED THE EMERGENCY ROOM DOOR, TWO SECRET SERVICE AGENTS IMMEDIATELY GRABBED HIM AND WRESTLED HIM TO THE FLOOR. HE WAS NOT KNOCKED OUT. HE WAS NOT PUNCHED, AND NO ONE WAS WAVING SUBMACHINE GUNS AROUND. HE IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AND AGENT KELLERMAN CAME ALONG, AND THERE WAS NOTHING FURTHER TO THE INCIDENT.

(b)(6) (b)(7)
SHORTLY THEREAFTER, SA [REDACTED] CALLED THE OFFICE AND TALKED WITH ASAC CLARK, AT WHICH TIME HE WAS INFORMED THAT SA [REDACTED] HAD ALREADY ESTABLISHED A DIRECT LINE FROM THE HOSP. INTO THE OFFICE, AND THERE WAS NO NEED FOR ANY FURTHER ACTIVITY THERE ON HIS PART. IT IS NOTED THAT SA [REDACTED] ARRANGED FOR A PHONE IN SPITE OF ALL THE CONFUSION AND ALL THE DEMANDS ON FACILITIES THERE BY THE PRESS AND OTHERS, AND THAT HE KEPT THIS LINE OPEN TO ME AND WAS ABLE TO NOTIFY ME SOME FOUR OR FIVE MINUTES PRIOR TO THE INFO BEING MADE PUBLIC OF FACT THAT PRES. KENNEDY HAD BEEN PRONOUNCED DEAD. I WAS ABLE IN THIS MANNER TO ADVISE THE BUREAU.

(b)(6)
IF THERE IS ANY TRUTH TO ABOVE MENTIONED ARTICLES, THEY WERE OBVIOUSLY TALKING ABOUT SOMEONE ELSE, ALTHOUGH DR. [REDACTED] DOES NOT REMEMBER ANYTHING HAPPENING LIKE THIS.

FILE (67-425) [REDACTED]

2/7/64

SAC SHANKLIN

PERSONNEL MATTER
[REDACTED]

Parkland Hospital, Dallas
11/22/63 (Secret Service)

(b)(6)

Inspector J. R. MALLEY called and stated the Director had asked the question, was the Bureau ever previously advised regarding this?

(b)(6)

I furnished him the following information; that ASAC CLARK received a call from SA [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] mentioned the incident to him, and that [REDACTED] was instructed to prepare a memorandum. That evening or early that night, CLARK brought it to my attention, and sometime during the night or early morning, I was talking with someone at the Bureau and mentioned the incident occurring, but that I did not think it was serious; that I then told ASAC CLARK that we would just file the memo and if the Bureau wanted anything more on it, they would advise, and that that is all that was done. I am unable to recall who I talked to. Among my notes that I did not have time to write up due to the numerous calls, I have nothing except, [REDACTED] stopped by Secret Service. Minor incident.

(b)(6)

Inspector MALLEY stated he thought that they could handle it from there, and that the Director would be satisfied.

2 - Dallas
JGS:nfr
(2)

7-425-1559
SEARCHED _____ INDEXED _____
SERIALIZED _____ FILED _____

(b)(6)
SAC, DALLAS [REDACTED]

2/7/64

KYLE G. CLARK, ASAC

(b)(6)
PERSONNEL MATTER

SA [REDACTED]
Parkland Hospital, Dallas
11/22/63 (Secret Service)

Re SAC Memo, 2/5/64, "ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT KENNEDY,
11/22/63, DALLAS, TEXAS; MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION CONCERNING",
DL 89-43.

(b)(6)
In view of the info in rememo, I proceeded with SA MILTON L.
NEWSOM to Parkland Hospital on the morning of 2/6/64, at which
time, I interviewed Dr. [REDACTED] in the privacy of the
Administrator's Office. Dr. [REDACTED] is a [REDACTED] resident
in surgery at Parkland Hospital and resides at [REDACTED]
Dallas, Texas.

(b)(6)
At the time of the interview, copies of the United Press
International publication, "Four Days", page 25; and of the
Associated Press book, "The Torch is Past", page 14 were
afforded Dr. [REDACTED] for his reading. After Dr. [REDACTED] had
thoroughly read these particular statements, he was asked if
he had been interviewed by the press and furnished such infor-
mation. Dr. [REDACTED] said it was impossible for him to have
furnished such information as he departed Dallas, Texas, on
11/21/63, and on the day of the assassination, 11/22/63, he
was in [REDACTED]. During that day, he performed an
operation on his cousin with the assistance of a local physician.
He advised that he did not return to Dallas until 11/23/63, and
since that time, has had no connection with any facet of the
President's assassination. He further advised that he has not
made a statement at any time to any member of the press. He
stated that he has no knowledge of the President's assassination
or the activities surrounding the President or Governor CONNALLY
while at Parkland Hospital other than that he has read in the
newspapers. Dr. [REDACTED] concluded by stating that on several
occasions, his name has been quoted in the newspapers as having
attended Governor CONNALLY on the day of the assassination.
This is entirely untrue.

(b)(6)
1 - [REDACTED]
1 - 67-425

KGC:ej
(2)

67-25 1560

1

(b)(6) DL [REDACTED]

(b)(6) Dr. [REDACTED] was interviewed in the Ready Room for Surgery, 5th floor, Parkland Hospital, on 2/6/64. At the start of the interview, Dr. [REDACTED] was allowed to read the above-mentioned articles. He was asked if he had ever been interviewed by the press or if he had made such statements. Dr. [REDACTED] advised that he has never been interviewed by the press. He stated that at the time the President and Governor CONNALLY were brought to the emergency entrance at Parkland, he was in the area and he did not observe any press representatives. He stated that during the entire time he was in the emergency receiving area and the emergency rooms, he at no time observed any altercation and to his knowledge, he did not observe anyone known to be an FBI Agent or a Secret Service Agent. Dr. [REDACTED] further advised that he assisted in the emergency operations on Governor CONNALLY and at the time ~~and at the time~~ he was taken from the car and moved to the emergency room, the only ones he observed were one motor vehicle policeman and one ambulance attendant.

(b)(6) On 2/7/64, Mr. [REDACTED] Parkland, advised that he was in the emergency receiving area at the time the President and Governor CONNALLY were brought to the hospital. He stated that he did not observe any FBI Agents in the area and at no time did the Secret Service Agents wave submachine guns around, as stated in the above-mentioned articles. He stated that at the time of the emergency admittance, there was no altercation of any type.

(b)(6) Mr. [REDACTED] advised that at approximately 2 PM, that date, which was some 20-30 minutes after the admittance of Governor CONNALLY and the President, he was in the area. He turned and observed a slight scuffle wherein an individual, whom he knew to be an FBI Agent, name not recalled, was involved. He stated it appeared to him that the individual had been stopped for identification and due to the sudden stopping, had slipped to the floor. He stated that the [REDACTED] was accompanying this Agent and he later interviewed [REDACTED]. She advised him that the Agent had properly identified himself as an FBI Agent in the outer area and in the presence of the Secret Service Agent involved. She stated that in compliance with the Agent's request, she was taking him to an outside phone so that he could make contact with his office. She said that she instructed the Agent to follow her. She also said that she felt the altercation might be due to a fault of hers, as she did not tell the Agent that it was necessary to identify himself every time they went through a door. She stated she merely

(b)(6)
DL [REDACTED]

instructed him to follow her. She said that she was not stopped when she went through the door, due to the fact she was the head nurse, and in the security areas, each door had a member of the hospital staff to identify hospital personnel and a Secret Service Agent to require identification of other individuals. She further stated that immediately after entering the door, the Agent was challenged, in a hurried manner, and the slight altercation occurred, wherein the Agent slipped to the floor while being abruptly halted.

(b)(6)
Mr. [REDACTED] advised that [REDACTED] would be available for interview if desired. [REDACTED] was not interviewed. Mr. [REDACTED] was advised that the above incident had been reported, both by the FBI and the Secret Service, and the matter that we were checking on at this time was that which was falsely reported in the two previously quoted books.

FILE (100-10461)

2/12/64

SAC SHANKLIN

ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT
KENNEDY, 11/22/63
MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION CONCERNING

(b) (6)

REX SCHROEDER of Bureau called today and stated they desire a memorandum from SA [redacted] in complete detail as to what transpired at Parkland Hospital on 11/22/63. They desire a running commentary on all details. Just how did he identify himself when he first entered the hospital and to whom? Did he show his credentials to the nurse? When he got to the emergency room door, just what was the situation? Were the two Secret Service men inside the door into the room, or outside the door? Did he identify himself a second time, and how did he identify himself. Did he walk through the door without being able to see the Secret Service men? Or were they outside the door to the room and he kept on going? Did he stop and say "FBI"?

2 - Dallas
JGS:mfr
(2)

67-425-861
SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
FEB 12 1964
FBI - DALLAS
f

2/12/64

SAC, DALLAS

(b) (6)

SA [REDACTED]

(b) (6)

PERSONNEL MATTER

SA [REDACTED]
Parkland Hospital, Dallas, Texas
11/22/63 (Secret Service)

Reference is made to my memorandum of 11/22/63 regarding the incident occurring at Parkland Hospital.

When I arrived at Parkland Hospital on 11/22/63, I was stopped by a police officer, and after identifying myself to him by credentials, he told me to leave my automobile in a particular place and that I would be permitted to enter the area. I walked from this point approximately 200 feet to the rear of Parkland Hospital, where I was met by a Secret Service Agent, name unknown. I identified myself to him by credential. I proceeded to the rear door of the hospital where I was asked to identify myself again, which I did by credential, and was permitted to enter the hospital.

Each door that I entered thereafter, I was challenged and I identified myself again by credential until I reached the immediate room area where President KENNEDY was being attended. I asked for and met the Secret Service Agent in charge of that area and identified myself to him by credentials. He identified himself to me as Agent KELLERMAN, and I explained to him that my purpose in being in the area was to establish liaison with him and Secret Service and to offer services of the FBI to him. He stated there was nothing that could be done by the FBI at the moment, and I was given access to the immediate area.

During the next several minutes after contacting Agent KELLERMAN, in viewing the situation that existed in that area, I attempted to telephonically contact the Dallas Office; however, all phones in the area had been blocked off with one exception, and I was unable to obtain an outside line. The telephone in use was reserved for the Secret Service and it was continually occupied during the time I was there.

(b) (6)

5 - Dallas
mfr
(5)

[Handwritten signature]

67-425862

| | |
|--------------|---------|
| SEARCHED | INDEXED |
| SERIALIZED | FILED |
| FEB 1 1964 | |
| FBI - DALLAS | |

(b)(6)

Several minutes later, following a conversation with the [redacted] on duty outside the immediate room door where the President was being attended, I identified myself to her by credentials and asked her if she knew where I could find a telephone in order to make an outside call. She told me the only telephone she knew of that might be available would be in the hospital switchboard room, and that she would accompany me to that room. We departed the immediate area and proceeded some 25 feet north from the emergency room area to a corridor, and from the corridor door to the right for some 100 feet or more to another corridor, which was being guarded by two Dallas police officers. As we approached this door, we were challenged by the officers, and I identified myself by credentials to these officers in order to leave one corridor and to enter the other. The [redacted] and I were unable to get on an elevator and she suggested that we proceed up the stairway. As we reached the second or third floor above the floor level we were on, we proceeded to the telephone switchboard room, where I again identified myself to the operator in charge of that room by credentials, explaining to this person that I had to make an emergency call to the FBI office in Dallas.

(b)(6)

Within a few minutes, I was able to obtain a telephone line and I contacted ASAC KYLE G. CLARK. I explained the circumstances as I saw them at that time, pointing out that all telephones were blocked off and that it was not possible from within the hospital to relay any information to the office.

(b)(6)

Following this conversation, the [redacted] and I proceeded back down the corridor and down the stairway to the floor level from which we came, and I was again requested by the Dallas police officers to identify myself by credentials before entering, which I did.

(b)(6)

After clearing this door, the [redacted] and I proceeded down the corridor to the door from which we had originally come, and started to enter the double doors. There were several people in the hall, whose identity I do not know. However, I do know there was no other FBI Agent among the group.

(b)(6)

As I approached the double doors, there was no officer, and the civilians standing by were not identified to me as Secret Service or any other law enforcement officer. The [redacted] proceeded me through the door and as I started to enter, I was suddenly grabbed from behind by two unknown individuals and wrestled to the hall floor. I had not identified myself to anyone as I started to enter this door because I was not challenged or asked to identify myself to anyone. I immediately again identified myself by credentials to a police officer, who immediately stepped to my side, and to two individuals who indicated to me, but not by credentials, that they were Secret Service Agents. They did not give their names and did not show their credentials. At this point, Secret Service Agent KELLERMAN, whom I had previously identified myself to by credentials, appeared on the scene and the matter ended.

(b)(6)

At no time did I make any statement to anyone prior to reentering the above doors with the [redacted] as there was no need to do so. I had identified myself in all other instances by credential, and there was no reason not to have identified myself on this occasion, had I been asked to do so.

2/12/64

AIRTEL

(b)(6)
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
ATTENTION: INSPECTOR JAMES R. MALLEY
FROM: SAC, DALLAS [REDACTED]
SUBJECT: PERSONNEL MATTER
SA [REDACTED]
Parkland Hospital, Dallas, Texas
11/22/63 (Secret Service)

Re telephone call from Inspector JAMES R. MALLEY, Bureau, this date.

(b)(6)
I am enclosing herewith the original and one photostatic copy of SA [REDACTED] memorandum of 11/22/63 regarding this matter, and the original and one copy of his more detailed memorandum of 2/12/64.

(b)(6)
I have carefully gone over this matter with SA [REDACTED] and the variance with the Secret Service report on the matter is that SA [REDACTED] claims that when he re-entered the room with the Head Nurse from which he had originally left with her to go to the telephone, he did not say FBI and try to push in, but was grabbed from behind just as he started into the room. After being grabbed from behind and falling to the floor, he did identify himself by his credentials. It is also noted that he had previously been in this room and had properly identified himself to Secret Service Agent KELLERMAN. Secret Service Agents who were outside the door had no identification on them, and [REDACTED] did not know who they were. He had no reason not to identify himself with his credentials if he had known who they were.

(b)(6)
The Secret Service version is on page 198 of the report of Secret Service dated 12/19/63. On page 199 of the same report, it is noted that Nurse [REDACTED] was supposedly interviewed by SA BERGER, and she stated that the Agent had shown her no identification.

4 - Bureau (Enc. 4)
2 - Dallas
JGS:nfr
(C)

1563

(b) (6)
DL [REDACTED]

(b) (6) SA [REDACTED] definitely states that he had identified himself to the nurse, and in fact she had taken him from this same room through various other corridors and doors to the switchboard, and that he had identified himself at each of these doors within her presence.

(b) (6) Mrs. [REDACTED] was interviewed by ASAC KYLE G. CLARK on 2/12/64. She advised that she originally met SA [REDACTED] when he presented his credentials as an FBI Agent in the area adjoining the emergency room where President KENNEDY was being attended. She said he had been talking to Secret Service Agent KELLERMAN. A short time thereafter, SA [REDACTED] requested of her the location of the phone, so he could call his headquarters office. She advised him that it would be necessary to take him to the switchboard room. Leaving the hall area outside the President's room, she observed SA [REDACTED] exhibit his credential as identification and they proceeded on to another floor, going through several doors, employing the same procedure each time.

(b) (6) She stated that after completion of the call, they returned to the original area and employed the same procedure again going through each door, wherein SA [REDACTED] identified himself by credential. Upon approaching the doors to the original area, Nurse [REDACTED] advised she observed four individuals, two of whom she knew to be Secret Service Agents, standing by the door. She stated they were going at a fast rate of speed as she was "practically trotting." As she approached the door, she said, "This is the FBI." At the same instant, the two Agents grabbed SA [REDACTED] from the side and behind, and due to the momentum, they went to the floor. She said SA [REDACTED] did not shout out or make any utterance. After being on the floor, SA [REDACTED] exhibited his credential, and at that time Secret Service Agent KELLERMAN came out, and she was instructed to proceed on to her post by the Hospital Administrator who also came to the scene.

(b) (6) Shortly thereafter, the same two Agents who had stopped SA [REDACTED] brought an individual they introduced as a Congressman, name unknown, and asked her in front of the Congressman if SA [REDACTED] had exhibited his credential

DL [REDACTED]

(b)(6)
at the time he started to enter the door. She said she told them at that particular time he had not to her knowledge exhibited credentials; however, she had stated he was with the FBI. She stated the question as to whether SA [REDACTED] had ever exhibited his credentials to her was not asked at that time.

(b)(6)
It is noted that Agent [REDACTED] in his original memorandum, stated he was wrestled to the floor. This is actually a poor choice of words, as it appears that his momentum and being grabbed from the side and back, caused him to fall to the floor, rather than really being wrestled with.

(b)(6)
It is recognized in all the confusion, hustle and bustle that was occurring at the hospital at that time, with everyone running around through the corridors, that an incident like this could occur. I do not believe, however, that SA [REDACTED] was in any way at fault as actually he was returning to a room that he had previously been in and he had previously identified himself to Secret Service Agent KELLERMAN in this room.

(b)(6)
Recommendation: I recommend no administrative action against SA [REDACTED]

BRANKIE

FILE

2/13/64

SAC, SHANKLIN

(b)(6)
PERSONNEL MATTER

SA [REDACTED]
Parkland Hospital, Dallas, Texas
11/22/63 (Secret Service)

(b)(6)
On 2/13/64 REX SHROEDER of the Bureau called and stated he had not yet seen Dallas airtel of 2/12/64 in captioned matter with accompanying memoranda. He wanted to know whether [REDACTED] in his memorandum, said "wrestled to the floor", and I told him that he did, but that actually what happened was that he was grabbed from the side and behind and they all fell to the floor.

2 - Dallas [REDACTED] (67-425)
JGS:mfr
(2)

(b)(6)

67-425-15

| | |
|------------|---------|
| SEARCHED | INDEXED |
| SERIALIZED | FILED |

Feb - 1964

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: 4/17/64

CONFIDENTIAL

CLASS. & EXT. BY: [redacted]
REASON-FCIM II, 1-2.4.2
DATE OF REVIEW: 4/17/84

FROM : SAC, DALLAS [redacted]

SUBJECT: RECOMMENDATION FOR INCENTIVE AWARD

Fur Comp # 62,688

| | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Name of Employee [redacted] | Where Assigned DALLAS FIELD | Payroll Number [redacted] |
| Position, Grade and Salary [redacted] | | [redacted] |

BASIS for this recommendation is as follows: (Check one or more as facts justify.)

1. Sustained above-average performance for such period of time as would be reasonable under the circumstances, that merits recognition. (Point out specifically how performance is considered superior. Use examples and illustrations wherever possible. In addition to results attained advise what employee has done to achieve outstanding results.)
2. Exemplary performance of assigned tasks whereby previously unattained records of production are achieved. (Set forth production record with appropriate comparisons.)
3. Exemplary or courageous handling of an emergency situation in connection with or related to official employment. (Describe in detail, listing specific risks or dangers involved and results achieved.)
4. Ideas which have resulted in improved operations. (Summarize ideas and specific improvements therefrom. Set forth first year's net savings, if any, and how computed.)
5. Performance which has involved the overcoming of unusual difficulties. (List specific obstacles, problems, hardships, sacrifices, etc., as well as unusual investigative techniques utilized with results achieved, setting forth precisely how employee overcame obstacles, etc.)
6. Creative efforts, including inventions or techniques, which have increased efficiency, or improved the service. (Describe in detail listing benefits and/or savings resulting.)

JUSTIFICATION: (Set forth below, and attach supplemental page(s) as necessary, a clear, concise report of employee's performance in justification of award. Be specific and omit generalities. Give facts, not conclusions. Not only advise what was accomplished, but how it was accomplished, placing emphasis on performance. Remember that these justifications must be adequate. They may be subject to post-audit outside the Bureau but do not withhold information for security reasons since neither this form nor any confidential information will be made available outside the Bureau for such post-audits.)

It is recommended that [redacted] be given a substantial incentive award in recognition and appreciation for his superior performance in connection with the investigation of the assassination of President JOHN F. KENNEDY, Bureau file 105-82555.

2 - Bureau
2 - Dallas (1 - [redacted])
(1 - 67-425)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN
OTHERWISE

1627
CONFIDENTIAL

JGS:ej
(4)

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Information came to the attention of the Dallas Office that MARINA OSWALD, wife of LEE HARVEY OSWALD, assassin of the President, planned to establish residence at 629 Belt Line Road, Richardson, Texas, on 2/29/64. The Bureau advised on 2/28/64, that in line with a request from the President's Commission, the Attorney General had approved the installation of technical and physical surveillances of MARINA OSWALD to determine all of her contacts and movements beginning with her establishment of a new residence.

[REDACTED] participated in and assisted in perfecting the major portion of the wiring diagram and necessary technical hookups. In addition, he was most effective and contributed greatly to the implementation of this planning. The sensitivity and absolute necessity that detection not be made was not only due to the nature of the installation, but also due to the world-wide prominence of MARINA OSWALD. Had detection been made, the effect on the national reputation would have been most detrimental.

[REDACTED] speedily and efficiently assisted in the planning, construction and installation of the NISUR and TESUR. The installation required ingenuity, cunning and daring performance on the part of [REDACTED]. Installation and removal of the NISUR were extremely sensitive and required a great deal of physical exertion and personal inconvenience.

Due to the performance of [REDACTED] his handling of this installation and the manner in which the obstacles relating to the successful installation were overcome, I recommend that [REDACTED] receive an incentive award.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DATE: 4/17/64

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, DALLAS [REDACTED]

SUBJECT: RECOMMENDATION FOR INCENTIVE AWARD

| | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Name of Employee [REDACTED] | Where Assigned DALLAS FIELD | Payroll Number [REDACTED] |
| Position, Grade and Salary [REDACTED] | EOD Date [REDACTED] | |

BASIS for this recommendation is as follows: (Check one or more as facts justify.)

- 1. Sustained above-average performance for such period of time as would be reasonable under the circumstances, that merits recognition. (Point out specifically how performance is considered superior. Use examples and illustrations wherever possible. In addition to results attained advise what employee has done to achieve outstanding results.)
- 2. Exemplary performance of assigned tasks whereby previously unattained records of production are achieved. (Set forth production record with appropriate comparisons.)
- 3. Exemplary or courageous handling of an emergency situation in connection with or related to official employment. (Describe in detail, listing specific risks or dangers involved and results achieved.)
- 4. Ideas which have resulted in improved operations. (Summarize ideas and specific improvements therefrom. Set forth first year's net savings, if any, and how computed.)
- 5. Performance which has involved the overcoming of unusual difficulties. (List specific obstacles, problems, hardships, sacrifices, etc., as well as unusual investigative techniques utilized with results achieved, setting forth precisely how employee overcame obstacles, etc.)
- 6. Creative efforts, including inventions or techniques, which have increased efficiency, or improved the service. (Describe in detail listing benefits and/or savings resulting.)

JUSTIFICATION: (Set forth below, and attach supplemental page(s) as necessary, a clear, concise report of employee's performance in justification of award. Be specific and omit generalities. Give facts, not conclusions. Not only advise what was accomplished, but how it was accomplished, placing emphasis on performance. Remember that these justifications must be adequate. They may be subject to post-audit outside the Bureau but do not withhold information for security reasons since neither this form nor any confidential information will be made available outside the Bureau for such post-audits.)

It is recommended that [REDACTED] be given a substantial incentive award in recognition and appreciation for his superior performance in connection with the investigation of the assassination of President JOHN F. KENNEDY, Bureau file 105-82535.

2 - Bureau
② - Dallas (1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - 87-425)

JOS:AJ
(4) ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN
OTHERWISE

4/21/81
CLASS. & EXT. BY [REDACTED]
REASON-FCIM II, 1-2.4.2
DATE OF REVIEW 4/17/87

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

(unlike to locate original)

(6)

(16)

1628

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Information came to the attention of the Dallas Office that MARINA OSWALD, wife of LEE HARVEY OSWALD, assassin of the President, planned to establish residence at 629 Belt Line Road, Richardson, Texas, on 2/29/64. The Bureau advised on 2/28/64, that in line with a request from the President's Commission, the Attorney General had approved the installation of technical and physical surveillances of MARINA OSWALD to determine all of her contacts and movements beginning with her establishment of a new residence.

[REDACTED]

Under my over-all supervision, [REDACTED] effectively and efficiently supervised the emergency planning of the installations. When all was in readiness for the actual installations, [REDACTED] moved to on-the-spot supervision. He co-ordinated all activities from the scene and insured complete protection of the Bureau's interest, all equipment and personnel involved.

The sensitivity and absolute necessity that detection not be made was not only due to the nature of the installation, but also due to the worldwide prominence of MARINA OSWALD. Had detection been made, the effect on the national reputation would have been most detrimental.

It was through the highest caliber of on-the-spot supervision during the placing and removal of the installations and continuing day-to-day supervision during the operation that this delicate and sensitive undertaking was completed in the highest of Bureau traditions.

Due to the efficient, painstaking supervision on the part of [REDACTED] throughout the entire operation and the manner in which he surpassed the obstacles relating to the successful installations, operation and removal of the surveillances, I recommend that [REDACTED] receive an incentive award.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, DALLAS

SUBJECT: RECOMMENDATION FOR INCENTIVE AWARD

4/11/81

CLASS. & EXT. BY [redacted]
REASON-FCIM II, 1-2.4.2
DATE OF REVIEW 9/1/82

DATE: 4/17/64

File Computer # [redacted]
(2, k, s)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN
OTHERWISE

| Name of Employee | Where Assigned | Payroll Number |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| [redacted] | DALLAS | [redacted] |
| Position, Grade and Salary | | ECOD Date |
| [redacted] | | [redacted] |

BASIS for this recommendation is as follows: (Check one or more as facts justify.)

- 1. Sustained above-average performance for such period of time as would be reasonable under the circumstances, that merits recognition. (Point out specifically how performance is considered superior. Use examples and illustrations wherever possible. In addition to results attained advise what employee has done to achieve outstanding results.)
- 2. Exemplary performance of assigned tasks whereby previously unattained records of production are achieved. (Set forth production record with appropriate comparisons.)
- 3. Exemplary or courageous handling of an emergency situation in connection with or related to official employment. (Describe in detail, listing specific risks or dangers involved and results achieved.)
- 4. Ideas which have resulted in improved operations. (Summarize ideas and specific improvements therefrom. Set forth first year's net savings, if any, and how computed.)
- 5. Performance which has involved the overcoming of unusual difficulties. (List specific obstacles, problems, hardships, sacrifices, etc., as well as unusual investigative techniques utilized with results achieved, setting forth precisely how employee overcame obstacles, etc.)
- 6. Creative efforts, including inventions or techniques, which have increased efficiency, or improved the service. (Describe in detail listing benefits and/or savings resulting.)

JUSTIFICATION: (Set forth below, and attach supplemental page(s) as necessary, a clear, concise report of employee's performance in justification of award. Be specific and omit generalities. Give facts, not conclusions. Not only advise what was accomplished, but how it was accomplished, placing emphasis on performance. Remember that these justifications must be adequate. They may be subject to post-audit outside the Bureau but do not withhold information for security reasons since neither this form nor any confidential information will be made available outside the Bureau for such post-audits.)

It is recommended that [redacted] be given a substantial incentive award in recognition and appreciation for his superior performance in connection with the investigation of the assassination of President JOHN F. KENNEDY, Bureau file 105-82555.

3 - Bureau
3 - Dallas (1 - [redacted])
(1 - 67-425)

JCS:ej
(4)

CONFIDENTIAL

67-425-1629

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT
Memorandum

4/17/68
CLASS. & EXT. BY 259/16/12/12
REASON-FORM II 7-1.1.2
DATE 4/17/68
Folk Computer # 62,689

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, DALLAS

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN
OTHERWISE

SUBJECT: RECOMMENDATION FOR INCENTIVE AWARD

| | | |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Name of Employee | Where Assigned | Payroll Number |
| [REDACTED] | DALLAS FIELD | [REDACTED] |
| Position, Grade and Salary | | [REDACTED] |

BASIS for this recommendation is as follows: (Check one or more as facts justify.)

- 1. Sustained above-average performance for such period of time as would be reasonable under the circumstances, that merits recognition. (Point out specifically how performance is considered superior. Use examples and illustrations wherever possible. In addition to results attained advise what employee has done to achieve outstanding results.)
- 2. Exemplary performance of assigned tasks whereby previously unattained records of production are achieved. (Set forth production record with appropriate comparisons.)
- 3. Exemplary or courageous handling of an emergency situation in connection with or related to official employment. (Describe in detail, listing specific risks or dangers involved and results achieved.)
- 4. Ideas which have resulted in improved operations. (Summarize ideas and specific improvements therefrom. Set forth first year's net savings, if any, and how computed.)
- 5. Performance which has involved the overcoming of unusual difficulties. (List specific obstacles, problems, hardships, sacrifices, etc., as well as unusual investigative techniques utilized with results achieved, setting forth precisely how employee overcame obstacles, etc.)
- 6. Creative efforts, including inventions or techniques, which have increased efficiency, or improved the service. (Describe in detail listing benefits and/or savings resulting.)

JUSTIFICATION: (Set forth below, and attach supplemental page(s) as necessary, a clear, concise report of employee's performance in justification of award. Be specific and omit generalities. Give facts, not conclusions. Not only advise what was accomplished, but how it was accomplished, placing emphasis on performance. Remember that these justifications must be adequate. They may be subject to post-audit outside the Bureau but do not withhold information for security reasons since neither this form nor any confidential information will be made available outside the Bureau for such post-audits.)

It is recommended that [REDACTED] be given a substantial incentive award in recognition and appreciation for his superior performance in connection with the investigation of the assassination of President JOHN F. KENNEDY, Bureau file 105-82555.

3 - Bureau
3 - Dallas

(1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - 87-425)

JGS:ej
(4)

17-425-1636
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

[REDACTED]

Information came to the attention of the Dallas Office that MARINA OSWALD, wife of LEE HARVEY OSWALD, assassin of the President, planned to establish residence at 629 Belt Line Road, Richardson, Texas, on 2/29/64. The Bureau advised on 2/28/64, that in line with a request from the President's Commission, the Attorney General had approved the installation of technical and physical surveillances of MARINA OSWALD to determine all of her contacts and movements beginning with her establishment of a new residence.

[REDACTED]

It was necessary that [REDACTED] participate in the emergency planning of a foolproof, effective installation that could not be detected with only hours to perfect. The sensitivity and absolute necessity that detection not be made was not only due to the nature of the installation, but also due to the world-wide prominence of MARINA OSWALD. Had detection been made, the effect on the national reputation would have been most detrimental.

[REDACTED]

Due to the performance of [REDACTED] his handling of this installation and the manner in which the obstacles relating to the successful installation were overcome, I recommend that [REDACTED] receive an incentive award.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

(b)(1)

(b)(7)

(1) (b)(7)(D)

(b)(7)

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DATE: 4/17/64

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM : SAC, DALLAS [REDACTED]

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN
OTHERWISE

SUBJECT: RECOMMENDATION FOR INCENTIVE AWARD

| | | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Name of Employee [REDACTED] | Where Assigned DALLAS FIELD | Payroll Number [REDACTED] |
| Position, Grade and Salary [REDACTED] | | [REDACTED] |

BASIS for this recommendation is as follows: (Check one or more as facts justify.)

- 1. Sustained above-average performance for such period of time as would be reasonable under the circumstances, that merits recognition. (Point out specifically how performance is considered superior. Use examples and illustrations wherever possible. In addition to results attained advise what employee has done to achieve outstanding results.)
- 2. Exemplary performance of assigned tasks whereby previously unattained records of production are achieved. (Set forth production record with appropriate comparisons.)
- 3. Exemplary or courageous handling of an emergency situation in connection with or related to official employment. (Describe in detail, listing specific risks or dangers involved and results achieved.)
- 4. Ideas which have resulted in improved operations. (Summarize ideas and specific improvements therefrom. Set forth first year's net savings, if any, and how computed.)
- 5. Performance which has involved the overcoming of unusual difficulties. (List specific obstacles, problems, hardships, sacrifices, etc., as well as unusual investigative techniques utilized with results achieved, setting forth precisely how employee overcame obstacles, etc.)
- 6. Creative efforts, including inventions or techniques, which have increased efficiency, or improved the service. (Describe in detail listing benefits and/or savings resulting.)

JUSTIFICATION: (Set forth below, and attach supplemental page(s) as necessary, a clear, concise report of employee's performance in justification of award. Be specific and omit generalities. Give facts, not conclusions. Not only advise what was accomplished, but how it was accomplished, placing emphasis on performance. Remember that these justifications must be adequate. They may be subject to post-audit outside the Bureau but do not withhold information for security reasons since neither this form nor any confidential information will be made available outside the Bureau for such post-audits.)

It is recommended that [REDACTED] be given a substantial incentive award in recognition and appreciation for his superior performance in connection with the investigation of the assassination of President JOHN F. KENNEDY, Bureau file 105-82555.

3 - Bureau
3 - Dallas

[REDACTED]
(1 - 67-425)

JOC:ej
(4)

4/21/81
CLASS. & EXT. BY [REDACTED]
REASON-FCIM II, 1-2.4.2
DATE OF REVIEW 4/7/82
7-425-1631
FBI-Comp# 62,689 ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Information came to the attention of the Dallas Office that MARINA OSWALD, wife of LEE HARVEY OSWALD, assassin of the President, planned to establish residence at 629 Belt Line Road, Richardson, Texas, on 2/29/64. The Bureau advised on 2/28/64, that in line with a request from the President's Commission, the Attorney General had approved the installation of technical and physical surveillances of MARINA OSWALD to determine all of her contacts and movements beginning with her establishment of a new residence.

[REDACTED]

It was necessary that SA MALL participate in the emergency planning of a foolproof, effective installation that could not be detected with only hours to perfect. The sensitivity and absolute necessity that detection not be made was not only due to the nature of the installation, but also due to the worldwide prominence of MARINA OSWALD. Had detection been made, the effect on the national reputation would have been most detrimental.

[REDACTED]

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

[REDACTED]

Due to the performance of [REDACTED] his handling of this installation and the manner in which the obstacles relating to the successful installation were overcome, I recommend that [REDACTED] receive an incentive award.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: 4/17/64

FROM : SAC, DALLAS [REDACTED]

SUBJECT: RECOMMENDATION FOR INCENTIVE AWARD

| Name of Employee | Where Assigned | Payroll Number |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| [REDACTED] | DALLAS FIELD | [REDACTED] |
| Position and Salary | | [REDACTED] |

BASIS for this recommendation is as follows: (Check one or more as facts justify.)

- 1. Sustained above-average performance for such period of time as would be reasonable under the circumstances, that merits recognition. (Point out specifically how performance is considered superior. Use examples and illustrations wherever possible. In addition to results attained advise what employee has done to achieve outstanding results.)
- 2. Exemplary performance of assigned tasks whereby previously unattained records of production are achieved. (Set forth production record with appropriate comparisons.)
- 3. Exemplary or courageous handling of an emergency situation in connection with or related to official employment. (Describe in detail, listing specific risks or dangers involved and results achieved.)
- 4. Ideas which have resulted in improved operations. (Summarize ideas and specific improvements therefrom. Set forth first year's net savings, if any, and how computed.)
- 5. Performance which has involved the overcoming of unusual difficulties. (List specific obstacles, problems, hardships, sacrifices, etc., as well as unusual investigative techniques utilized with results achieved, setting forth precisely how employee overcame obstacles, etc.)
- 6. Creative efforts, including inventions or techniques, which have increased efficiency, or improved the service. (Describe in detail listing benefits and/or savings resulting.)

JUSTIFICATION: (Set forth below, and attach supplemental page(s) as necessary, a clear, concise report of employee's performance in justification of award. Be specific and omit generalities. Give facts, not conclusions. Not only advise what was accomplished, but how it was accomplished, placing emphasis on performance. Remember that these justifications must be adequate. They may be subject to post-audit outside the Bureau but do not withhold information for security reasons since neither this form nor any confidential information will be made available outside the Bureau for such post-audits.)

It is recommended that [REDACTED] given a substantial incentive award in recognition and appreciation for his superior performance in connection with the investigation of the assassination of President JOHN F. KENNEDY, Bureau file 105-82555.

1 - Bureau
2 - Dallas (1 - [REDACTED])
(1 - 67-425)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN
OTHERWISE

CLASS. & EXT. BY [REDACTED]
REASON-FCIM II, 1-2.4.2
DATE OF REVIEW 4/7/84
FBI Computer # 62/687

CONFIDENTIAL

1632

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

(4)(6) (4)(6)

(11/13)

[REDACTED]

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Information came to the attention of the Dallas Office that MARINA OSWALD, wife of LEE HARVEY OSWALD, assassin of the President, planned to establish residence at 629 Belt Line Road, Richardson, Texas, on 2/29/64. The Bureau advised on 2/28/64, that in line with a request from the President's Commission, the Attorney General had approved the installation of technical and physical surveillances of MARINA OSWALD to determine all of her contacts and movements beginning with her establishment of a new residence.

[REDACTED]

(16/9)

(12/11)

(12/12)

(12/13)

It was necessary that [REDACTED] participate in the emergency planning of a foolproof, effective installation that could not be detected with only hours to perfect. The sensitivity and absolute necessity that detection not be made was not only due to the nature of the installation, but also due to the world-wide prominence of MARINA OSWALD. Had detection been made, the effect on the national reputation would have been most detrimental.

[REDACTED] speedily and efficiently assisted in the planning, construction and installation of the MISUR and TESUR. The installation required ingenuity, cunning and daring performance on the part of [REDACTED]. Installation and removal of the MISUR were extremely sensitive and required a great deal of physical exertion and personal inconvenience.

Due to the performance of [REDACTED] his handling of this installation and the manner in which the obstacles relating to the successful installation were overcome, I recommend that [REDACTED] receive an incentive award.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
4/17/81

DIRECTOR, FBI (105-82355)
Attention: Administrative Division

JUN 8

DALLAS (67-425)

LEE HARVEY OSWALD, aka
IS - R - CUBA
(DL 100-10401)

Enclosed are two copies each of FD-355's recommending incentive awards for SAs [redacted] and [redacted] supplementing the recommendations set out hereafter.

On 2/29/64, [redacted] and [redacted] were installed after midnight. Activation of [redacted] was made on the afternoon of 2/29/64, and [redacted] was activated on the afternoon of 3/2/64. These installations were made after numerous painstaking hours of work and planning. Special care was mandatory in view of the national importance of the subject matter and the constant inquiry by newsmen. Installations were made at 629 Belt Line Road, Richardson, Texas, the home of MARINA OSWALD, wife of LEE HARVEY OSWALD, assassin of President JOHN F. KENNEDY. In addition, the coverage and removal of the installations were very delicate operations. Due to the fact the installations were placed at the specific request of the President's Commission and the Attorney General. These installations were requested during the height of national publicity and extreme interest in MARINA OSWALD by newsmen, who represented a large segment of the world press. They were in Dallas at the time, covering the trial of JACK L. RUBY, who was on trial for the murder of LEE HARVEY OSWALD. Constant efforts were being made by news representatives to interview MARINA OSWALD with various and sundry methods employed to locate her for interview.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN
OTHERWISE

- 7 - Bureau (enc-12) (RM)
- 7 - Dallas (1 - 67-425)
- (1 - [redacted])
- (1 - [redacted])
- (1 - [redacted])
- (1 - [redacted])
- (1 - [redacted])
- (1 - [redacted])

4/21/81
CLASS. & EXT. BY [redacted]
REASON-FCIM II, 1-2.4.2
DATE OF REVIEW 4/17/84
FCIA Computer # 62.689

67-425-1633

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

See attachment
for copies of
general
letter

7/1/64
13/1/64
16/1/64
10/1/64
2/1/64

12/1/64

JUN 8
(14)

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

This entire operation was under my over-all supervision. [redacted] assisted me and was very instrumental in the over-all planning and co-ordination. In addition, [redacted] co-ordinated the physical surveillance and supervised the installations and removals of the MISUR and T&SUR on 2/29/64, and 3/12/64. The installations and surveillance on 2/29/64, and the removals and surveillance on 3/12/64, were co-ordinated in the highest of Bureau traditions with no detection or indication that such installations or activity had been employed.

(b) (7)(C)

[redacted]

(b) (7)(C)
(b) (7)(D)

[redacted]

(b) (7)(C)
(b) (7)(D)

[redacted]

(b) (7)(C)
(b) (7)(D)

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

[REDACTED]

and SAs [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] all of the Dallas Office; [REDACTED] both of the Oklahoma City Office; conducted the special surveillances in the area during the periods of installations and removals to insure utmost security. They were specifically utilized because of their knowledge of the area and their outstanding ability. They exercised ingenuity and good judgment and performed in an ever-all outstanding and noteworthy manner. Without the diligent work of these surveillance Agents, it would have been impossible to accomplish the excellent results.

[REDACTED]

I therefore recommend that letters of commendation be directed to each of the Agents previously mentioned, who participated in these surveillances. I feel that individual letters are warranted in this case because of the extremely delicate nature of the surveillances on an individual of national importance, who was under constant scrutiny of the press and general public. For this reason, I strongly recommend that each receive a personal letter of commendation from the Director.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

(b)(1)
(b)(7)(C)
(b)(1)
(b)(7)(C)

c

c

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

I feel the Dallas Office should receive a commendation which can be placed in the files of all personnel who participated in this investigation. A great percentage of the Headquarters City Agents on special assignment and a large portion of the Resident Agents of the Dallas Division participated in the daily around-the-clock physical and technical surveillances. Each and every participant performed in a noteworthy manner, exercising ingenuity and good judgment in the best of the Bureau's traditions. It is recommended that the letter pay special tribute to their ever-all continuous excellent performance throughout a most trying and difficult assignment of an extremely sensitive nature.

- 2 -


~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DL 67-425

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

ADDENDUM: Copies of the general letter should be placed in personnel files of the following:


All Headquarters Agents on FISUR except:



Resident Agents



Out of Town Agents



x

SAC, Dallas (67-425)

4-27-64

Director, FBI (105-82555)

JUNE

LIT. HASTLY USGALP
IS - R - CUBA

Memorandum 4-17-64 captioned "Lee Harvey Oswald,
aka., IS - R - Cuba," which enclosed copies of FBI 255's
recommending incentive awards for SAs

(b)(1)
[redacted] and [redacted] in this letter you also
recommended letters of commendation for various other SAs
and a general letter of commendation to the Dallas Office
for personnel who participated in this investigation.

Bureau has carefully considered your recommendations
and is of the opinion that action thereon should be deferred
pending the outcome of the Commissioner's report.

67-425-1633a

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : FILE (67-425-1633 b)

DATE: 10/6/64

J U N E

FROM : SAC SHANKLIN

SUBJECT: LEE HARVEY OSWALD
IS - R - CUBA

Re Bureau letter 4/27/64.

On 10/6/64 I talked to Assistant Director W. C. SULLIVAN and referred him to my letter of 4/17/64 and Bureau letter of 4/27/64 and asked him if I should resubmit this at this time. He stated he believed it would be better for them back there to make the initial request and see if it could possibly go through, and that the same will be handled and that I should not submit a letter at this time.

1 - Dallas
JGS:mfr
(1)

67-425-1633 h
mfr

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC, Dallas (67-425)

DATE: 10/14/64

FROM : Director, FBI (105-82555)

PERSONAL ATTENTION

SUBJECT: LEE HARVEY OSWALD
IS - R - CUBA

Reference is made to your letter 4/17/64 which enclosed copies of FD 255'S recommending incentive awards for certain personnel of your office in connection with your coverage of Marina Oswald.

Reference is also made to Bureau letter dated 4/27/64 advising that such action was being deferred pending the outcome of the Commission's report .

For your information, Bureau does not feel that incentive awards are merited in this particular facet of this investigation.

67-425-1633 e

sup 5

BAC, DALLAS

5/4/64

Secy Chief
ASST. DIRECTOR BRANNIGAN

LEE HARVEY OSWALD

WALTER JENKINS, Special Assistant to the President, told me on 4/29/64 that a friend of his had just returned from Dallas, Texas. In Dallas, JENKINS' friend had allegedly met with one of our Special Agents. This Agent had formerly been assigned to the New Orleans Office. The Agent told JENKINS' friend that he had been transferred from New Orleans as a result of getting into trouble with a woman in the French Quarter.

The alleged Agent also reportedly told JENKINS' friend that he assisted in the investigation of the OSWALD case and that OSWALD prior to his murder was definitely an FBI informant. The "Agent" stated that FBI files in Washington would prove this fact. I told JENKINS that while I doubted very seriously that any of our Agents had furnished this fact to his friend, that at the same time we, of course, would make a check and find out if the description given of this Agent fitted any of our people. I asked JENKINS if he would mind letting us interview his informant. He stated the information had been furnished him in confidence and he preferred not to reveal the identity of this fellow. He stated he wanted to let us know, however, that he had made it very clear to his friend that this was an old rumor that had arisen time and again and that the FBI had branded it as being completely false. JENKINS stated there was no question in his mind regarding the falsity of this matter.

Despite the fact that this matter had been tied down as being false, it is considered desirable to review personnel files of Agents in Dallas to find out if any of the Agents have been transferred there from New Orleans for a type of disciplinary problem as described above. If there is such an Agent, he should be interviewed regarding this matter.

3 - Dallas
1 copy destroyed
mfr

67-425-1644

| | |
|--------------|---------|
| SEARCHED | INDEXED |
| SERIALIZED | FILED |
| MAY 4 - 1964 | |
| FBI - DALLAS | |

Mr. BRANNIGAN advised that the above memo was a memo from Assistant Director DE LOACH directed to the Assistant to the Director MOORE.

He instructed that the Agent should be identified, if possible. Interviewed without divulging the identity of WALTER JEWELLS. A signed statement should be taken from this Special Agent. Thereafter a teletype summary should be sent tonight to the Director with an airtel and the statement with recommendation for action to be taken submitted.

FBI

Date: 5/4/64

Transmit the following in CODE
(Type in plain text or code)

Via TELETYPE
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR,
ATTENTION: SECTION CHIEF WILLIAM BRANIGAN

FROM: SAC, DALLAS

LEE HARVEY OSWALD, AKA, IS DASH R. DASH CUBA. PERSONNEL MATTER

RE TELEPHONE CALL FROM SECTION CHIEF WILLIAM BRANIGAN TO DALLAS THIS DATE, INDICATING THAT AN UNKNOWN INDIVIDUAL HAD REPORTED TO A FRIEND OF HIS IN WASHINGTON ON APRIL TWENTYNINE LAST THAT HE HAD JUST RETURNED FROM DALLAS, TEXAS AND THAT HE HAD MET WITH AN AGENT WHO HAD FORMERLY BEEN ASSIGNED TO THE NEW ORLEANS OFFICE AND THAT THIS AGENT TOLD THIS INDIVIDUAL HE HAD BEEN TRANSFERRED FROM NEW ORLEANS AS A RESULT OF GETTING INTO TROUBLE WITH A WOMAN IN THE FRENCH QUARTER. THE ALLEGED AGENT ALSO REPORTED THAT HE HAD ASSISTED IN THE INVESTIGATION OF THE OSWALD CASE AND THAT OSWALD PRIOR TO HIS MURDER, WAS DEFINITELY AN FBI INFORMANT AND THAT THE FBI FILES IN WASHINGTON WOULD PROVE THIS FACT.

REVIEW OF PERSONNEL FILES AT DALLAS OF AGENTS TRANSFERRED TO DALLAS FROM NEW ORLEANS REFLECTS THAT ONE AGENT, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] ONE OTHER AGENT, NAMELY [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

1 Dallas

JGS:mfr

(10)

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent 12:26 AM M Per _____

(5-5-64)

67-425-1645

67-425-1645

187

(b)(6) [REDACTED] NO OTHER AGENTS WOULD APPEAR TO FIT IN THIS CATEGORY. THE ASAC AND I HAVE BOTH PERSONALLY INTERVIEWED THESE AGENTS AND IN SIGNED STATEMENTS THEY HAVE CATEGORICALLY DENIED TELLING ANYONE OUTSIDE THE BUREAU THE REASON FOR THEIR TRANSFER AND THEY HAVE CATEGORICALLY DENIED TELLING ANYONE THAT PRIOR TO HIS MURDER, OSWALD WAS AN FBI INFORMANT AND THAT THE FILES IN WASHINGTON WOULD SO REFLECT. THEY EACH DISCLAIM ANY KNOWLEDGE OF HOW ANYONE COULD POSSIBLY HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT AS THEY HAVE NOT ASSOCIATED WITH ANY FRIENDS FROM WASHINGTON IN THE RECENT PAST OR ANYONE WHO WAS FROM WASHINGTON, DC, FRIEND OR OTHERWISE. THEY EACH DESIRE TO KNOW THE IDENTITY OF THE INDIVIDUAL MAKING SUCH AN UNFOUNDED ACCUSATION. THEY ALSO DESIRE TO BE ALLOWED TO CONFRONT THIS INDIVIDUAL TO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO CATEGORICALLY DENY THESE BASELESS ACCUSATIONS.

IN VIEW OF FACT THESE TWO AGENTS HAVE CATEGORICALLY DENIED MAKING ANY SUCH STATEMENTS, AND IN THE ABSENCE OF MORE SPECIFICS, IT IS NOT BELIEVED ANY FURTHER ACTION IS WARRANTED.

AIRTEL WITH SIGNED STATEMENTS FROM THESE AGENTS BEING FORWARDED.

Dallas, Texas
May 4, 1964

(6/6/67) c
I, [REDACTED], make the following free and voluntary statement to J. Gordon Shanklin, Special Agent in Charge, and Kyle G. Clark, Assistant Special Agent in Charge, of the Dallas Office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

I have been asked if I have ever met with or conversed with any of my friends from Washington, D. C., in the past few weeks. In addition, I have been asked if I ever made the statement that prior to the murder of Lee Harvey Oswald he was definitely a Federal Bureau of Investigation informant and that the files of the Federal Bureau of Investigation in Washington would prove this fact.

I have only a few friends residing in Washington, D. C. and I have not seen or visited any of these people in the past two or three years, nor have they visited me in Dallas since my arrival here during [REDACTED].


(6/6/67) c
At no time have I ever discussed or mentioned any facets of the investigation of the assassination of President Kennedy outside the office or on other than official business. At no time have I ever discussed, socially or officially, the

67-475-1646

| | |
|------------------|---------------|
| SEARCHED _____ | INDEXED _____ |
| SERIALIZED _____ | FILED _____ |
| MAY 4 - 1964 | |
| FBI - DALLAS | |

possibility or probability of Lee Harvey Oswald ever having
been an informant for the Federal Bureau of Investigation.
I wish to further state that I have never discussed the reason
for my transfer to Dallas with anyone.

(b)(6) (b)(7)(c)



Witnesses:

J. G. [Signature], Special Agent in Charge,
FBI, Dallas, Texas, 5/4/64.

[Signature], Assistant Special Agent in Charge,
FBI, Dallas, Texas, 5/4/64.