

which were used to finance Garrison's assassination investigation.

WHEN WEGMANN asked for the total amount received by the DA's office for use in connection with the Kennedy murder probe, Jones answered that \$99,488.96 was the correct amount.

Q. Do you know if a substantial amount was used in connection with the investigation, arrest and prosecution of Clay L. Shaw.

A. In my mind all of it was used for the investigation.

Wegmann then asked Jones if the \$99,488 figure covered the cost of the Shaw trial.

Jones replied, "No, these costs were paid from the usual fines and fees accounts in the district attorney's office."

QUESTIONED about the "Smith Case," Jones said that was the name given Garrison's assassination probe in the beginning.

Volz then told the court that the Smith Case was used only at the beginning of the probe and "the name Smith was never used in place of the name of Mr. Shaw."

Judge Christenberry said: "As far as I'm concerned it is all one."

Concerning donations to the probe fund from Gov. John J. McKeithen, Jones said that as he recalled a \$5,000 check from a special account in the governor's office was one of those used in the probe.

Wegmann continued to question Jones.

Q: The next series of items show travel funds.

A: That is correct.

B: Then this next item shows advances to Jim Garrison in the amount of \$15,728.

A: That is correct. It reflects funds given to Louis Ivon by Garrison for deposit in the J. G. Safi fund.

Q: Was this money transferred by cash or check?

A: Both.

Q: The next item shows advances by Jim Garrison, including a number of disbursements to and from the Jim Garrison campaign fund.

A: Yes.

Q: There are also a number of expense payments to William Boxley. Who was William Boxley?

A: He was an investigator. I don't know.

Q: Is that the same William Boxley who Mr. Garrison yesterday identified as a CIA agent who penetrated the district attorney's office and then was turned into a double agent?

A: That may be. I don't know.

Q: The next item of disbursement shows guard service of \$572.52. What was that for?

A: I understand the guards were placed around the home of Jim Garrison during the probe.

Q: Don't your records show that the money was paid to Isaac and Vincent and New Orleans Private Patrol?

A: There was money paid to those guard services.

Q: The next item is \$8,102.68 for investigative expenses.

A: That would be a multitude of investigative expenses all during the period of the probe.

Q: Those funds were paid to members of the DA's staff?

A: Yes Sir.

Q: Which members of the staff made numerous trips?

A: That would include William Boxley, Jerry Sanders, Steve Jaffe, William Martin, Andrew Sciambra, James Alcock and a category called 'others.'

Q: Let's look at a trip advance expense sheet submitted by Andrew Sciambra to see if it is typical. It lists trips to Clinton and Jackson, La., 800 miles, \$80, lodging, \$60, meals, \$15, emergency expense, \$50 and other items amounts to \$236.

A: Well it's not really typical because it is a sheet submitted in advance.

Q: What is an emergency expense?

A: I understood that was for anything not allowable under normal procedures.

Jones went on to explain that emergency expense could include something as minor as meals or something as large as a major expense.

Q: Don't your record show you paid Mr. Sciambra on the basis of this estimated expense account? Right?

A: Yes, I gave him a check.

Q: During the trial of the Shaw conspiracy case, was there

a substantial number of witnesses brought to New Orleans from Dallas and other areas and given board and lodging? Wasn't that paid from these funds?

A: No sir, that was from the fines and fees account.

Q: Well prior to the beginning of the trial many witnesses were brought to New Orleans from out of town. Weren't these expenses paid from those funds?

A: There were some witnesses whose expenses were paid, but I don't know exactly what those were for. Some witnesses expenses were paid from fines and fees, but I don't know what these were for.

Judge Christenberry then asked, "Do you have a voucher for Mr. Gurvich's trip to Las Vegas when he brought Mr. Garrison a brown sport shirt and six bullets?"

Jones replied that Gurvich's trip was accounted for in the vouchers.

Q: Do you administer the fines and fees account?

A: Yes sir.

Q: Can you tell me the amount paid from the beginning of the probe in 1967 to the end of the trial in 1969 that was paid from fines and fees?

A: From memory I'd say from \$45,000 to \$50,000.

Q: That's in addition to the \$99,000?

A: No. That would be subtracted from the total. Perhaps \$25,000 or \$30,000 should be subtracted from the total.

In the last testimony before a 10-minute recess shortly after noon, Wegmann asked Jones about a check for \$915 made out to Steven R. Plotkin.

"What did that cover?" Wegmann asked.

JONES REPLIED that the check covered the rental of David Ferrie's Louisiana Avenue Parkway apartment for July, August and September

of 1967.

Jones read a letter from Plotkin to Garrison in which Plotkin told the DA he had spoken with City Councilman Eddie L. Sapir, Ferrie's landlord. Ferrie's rent was in arrears.

Q: When did the district attorney's office take possession of Ferrie's apartment?

A: I don't know.

Wegmann then told Jones that Garrison had advanced the fund more than \$15,000 for investigation expenses. He asked if the DA had ever been repaid the money.

"Not to my knowledge," answered Jones.

ASKED WEGMANN: "Was there an obligation on the part of the city or the state to pay back this money?"

"I don't know," replied Jones.

Jones told Christenberry that one account was named J. G. Safi. The name, he said, stood for "Jim Garrison Special Account for Investigation."

Q: When was the J. G. Safi account established?

A: In March, 1967.

Q: Is it still open?

A: Yes it is.

Q: What is the amount now in it?

A: Four hundred and some dollars.

Q: What is the date of the last activity which occurred relating to the account?

A: August, 1968.

Wegmann then contended that since Shaw's acquittal on the conspiracy charge in 1969 the J. G. Safi account has been used only intermittently and for small amounts.

WEGMANN asked Jones if he ever secured a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service that the account was a tax-exempt fund.

"I don't know," Jones answered. "I merely set up an expense fund, not a corporation."

Under questioning by Alford, Jones stated that the fines and fees account in the DA's office includes only of

official money from bond forfeitures and fines.

JONES ~~ALSO~~ said that about \$25,000 of the \$99,488 came from the fines and fees account.

He added that the city audits the fines and fees accounts annually.

Jones also said documentation of the Garrison investigation expenses have been turned over to Shaw's attorneys, except for actual receipts for hotels and airline tickets.

JONES SAID some money in the accounts was provided by campaign contributions for Jim Garrison's re-election in 1969.

Alford took up the cross-examination of Jones.

Q. Mr. Jones, have we turned everything over to the defense except for motel bills and similar vouchers?

An argument followed as to whether everything had been turned over to Shaw's attorneys and Judge Christenberry said the question might not be important as it had been proven that it would have been easy to divert some of the privately given funds to a purpose other than what was intended.

Volz and Alford took exception, saying that no misuse of funds had been proven.

JUDGE Christenberry remarked, "I was merely stating what was possible."

Alford resumed the cross-examination of Jones.

Q. Why weren't these motel bills turned over?

A. There were many, many small bills. I photostated as many as I could, we have all the receipts.

Q. They are very voluminous?

A. Yes.

Q. Has Mr. Garrison given you any instructions regarding the records?

A. He has told me to give

Mr. Shaw's attorneys everything they ask for.

Wegmann then asked one question.

Q. In your years with Mr. Garrison have you ever handled any account like the J. G. Saff account?

A. No sir.

Alford then fired back with one last question of his own.

Q. Have you ever been connected with any other office that investigated the death of a president?

A. No sir.