## GARRISON'S OFFICE CHARGES ANDREWS

Trial Testimony Results Megal ase of movable prop in New Perjury Count

By CLARENCE DOUCET Dean A. Andrews, the hiptalking, slow-walking attorney who admitted during the con-

was filed against Andrews by the office of District Attorney. Jim Garrison.

The charge was contained in bill of information filed Wednesday afternoon with the clerk of court's office for Criminal District Court. It was signed by Andrew J. Sciambra, assistant DA, and stemmed from Andrews' testimony during Shaw's trial

The bill said that Andrews' trial testimony was "maierially contradictory of and in-consistent with prior sworn testimony before the Orleans Parish Grand Jury on March 16, 1967.

THIRD TIME IN WEEK

The charge against Andrews marked the third time this week that the DA's office has filed charges in the wake of Shaw's acquittal last Saturday.

Shaw was found not guilty on a charge that he participated in a conspiracy to assassinate President John F. Kennedy.

On Monday, Shaw was charged with two counts of perjury in a bill of information signed by Garrison. Garrison claimed Shaw lied on the witness stand when he

The conspiracy charge against Shaw alleged that he conspired

with Oswald and Servic.'
Shaw was released on hisown recognizance as was Thomas Bethell, a former investigator for Garrison, who was the object of a bitt of information' filed on Tuesday.

Beineil was chareed with erty. More specifically, Garted a copy of the Shaw trial memorandum and list of state witnesses to one of c Shaw's attorneys last August, HAGGERTY TO DECIDE :

that "my mouth ran ahead of Andrews—who is already apmy brain," found himself in pealing one perjury conviction
more legal trouble Wednesday, and awaiting trial on another is
Another charge of perfure and awaiting trial on another is and awaiting trial on another ly entitled to one. "It would be recommended that bond be up to my discretion." set at \$1,600. . .

In another matter related to Shaw's trial, Criminal District Judge Edward A. Haggeriy said he will decide on Monday whether to elte for contempt any of the principals in the trial.

During the lengthy period preceding the trial, Judge Haggerty warned that anyone vio-lating his guidelines regarding who wears sunglasses most of public statements about the the time, testified during the case faced contempt of court Shaw trial that a story attribcitations.

the new charge on March 20 before Judge Malcolm V. O'Hara Jr. Judge O'Hara has Indicated that the Shaw perjury case will proceed in an orderly, normal way. He said the case will come to trial after all preliminary mo-tions have been disposed of.

JUDGE'S DISCRETION . The perjury charges carry a In citing the cause for the penalty of one to 10 years im-new charge of perjury lodged prisonment and \$1,000 fine for against Andrews, a former Jeleach count.

hearing, but is not automatical-Alcock, assistant DA, on An-

He also indicated that in view of the widespread publicity given Shaw's trial he will consider setting guidelines for the press.

"But I just got this case ... and I haven't formulated ... any policies yet. A lot can . happen before this thing comes to trial."

uted to him that a man hamed Judge Haggerty Indicated he Clay Bertrand called him after will study files on the subject the Kennedy assassination and and decide on Monday whether any action is necessary.

Shaw will be arraigned on nation."

Of his 14 pages of testimony to the Warren Commission, Andrews characterized them as 'page after page of buil."

The Warren Commission, which investigated the assassination of President Kennedy, said that Oswald, acting alone, killed the President.

· QUESTIONING CITED ferson Parish assistant DA, the said he never knew Lee Judge O'Hara said the de-bill of information cited the larvey Oswald or David W. fense can ask for a preliminary following questioning by James newspaper, city and state.

THE TIMES-PICAYUN:

NEW ORLEANS, LA.

Dale: 3-6-69 · Editions

Authors

Editor: GEORGE W. HEAL' O KOITANIZZISZA:•IIIT PRESIDENT JOHN F.

KENNEDY, TEXAS 11-22-63

Classifications 89-Submitting Office:

N.O., LA. Being Investigated

drews' cross-examination (he was address witness):

Alcock: "Do you mean to tell me at this time you are now telling this court under oath that no one called you on behalf of the representation of Lee Harvey Oswald in Dallas?"

Andrews: "You are the only guy in all of them that ever asked me that. I'll elucidate (like in Enrico Caruso).

Burnes: "You mean that you have never been asked why Clay Bertrand at this time?"

Andrews: "You are the only guy in all of them that ever asked me that. I'll elucidate (like in Enrico Caruso).

Burnes: "You mean that you have never been asked why Clay Bertrand contacted you?"

Andrews: "You are the only guy in all of them that ever asked me that. I'll elucidate (like in Enrico Caruso).

Burnes: "You are the only guy in all of them that ever asked me that. I'll elucidate (like in Enrico Caruso).

Burnes: "You are the only guy in all of them that ever asked me that. I'll elucidate (like in Enrico Caruso).

Burnes: "You are the only guy in all of them that ever asked me that. I'll elucidate (like in Enrico Caruso).

Burnes: "You mean that you have never been asked why Clay Bertrand onto the war asked me."

And rews: "That's right.

You're the first one who ever asked me."

Burnes: "Now about the Warten Committee (sic)?

Andrews: "No, they contacted and a bill of sale notarized."

vey Oswald, was a figment of Burnes: "All right. Would you your imagination?"

his prior sworn testimony betion. . .\*\*

iion. .."

QUIZZED BY BURNES
Andrews was questioned at Burnes: "Now what did you that time by a former assistant tell this subject?"

DA, Richard V. Burnes, and this series election and answers in the hospital and couldn't go.", are cited:

Garrison maintained that Clay.

Andrews: "No, they contacted Alcock: "Are you saying now it a different way; they got an that the call, as far as it regards answer out of me, but they the representation of Lee Hardener got the whole thing."

Andrews: "I have tried to say that consistently, and nobody ever gave me a chance."

The bill of information said me if I would represent Lee that the above statements Oswald in Dallas. Nobody ever were "materially contradictory of and inconsistent with thing else. He said I would get real famous and he would get fore the Orleans Parish Grand in touch with Lee Oswald so I Jury on March 16, 1967; rela- could represent him. That's the tive to the grand jury's in- part nobody ever asked me. As vestigation into the assassina- soon as I said I heard the voice