Restant Branchard a the second FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION U. S. DEPARTNESS OF JUSTICE COMMUNICATIONS SECTION EB131968

Tolaon DeLoach

Air. Mohr.

Mr. Bishop Mr. Casper. Mr. Collahan Mr. Conrad

Mr. Felt Mr. Gale. Mr. Rosen

Mr. Tavel Mr. Trotter Tele. Room Miss Holmes Miss Gandy

REC IC

FBI NEW ORLS

FBI WASH DC

446 PM URGENT PM URGENT 2-13-68 MMV O DIRECTOR 62-109060 AND DALLAS 89-4 FROM NEW ORLEANS 89-69

ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN FITZGERALD KENNEDY DALLAS, TEXAS, NOV. TWENTYTWO, SIXTYTHREE, MISCELLANEOUS INFO CONCERNING, OO: DALLAS.

NEW ORLEANS STATES-ITEM, RED FLASH EDITION, FEB. THIRTEEN INSTANT, REPORTED THAT ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEYS JAMES L. ALCOCK AND RICHARD V. BURNES FILED MOTION TODAY -ATTACKING THE CHANGE OF VENUE MOTION FILED LAST WEEK AS LEGALLY INSUFFICIENT AND URGING CRIMINAL DISTRICT JUDG FEC 10 EDWARD A. HAGGERTY, JR. TO THROW IT OUT. THE ARTICLE 62-109060 trict AllarNEY REPORTED THAT THE ACTION BY THE DA'S OFFICE RAISED THE A FEB 16 1968 POSSIBILITY OF FURTHER DELAY IN THE PROCEEDINGS NOW NEARLY A YEAR OLD .- JUDGE HAGGERTY GAVE THE DEFENCE UNTIL MONDAY CLA TO ANSWER THE MOTION FILED BY THE DA'S OFFICE 13 211 THE ARTICLE REPORTED THAT SHAW AND HIS ATTORNEYS APPEARED IN COURT TODAY TO MAKE A ROUTINE REQUEST FOR A DELAY IN THE TRIAL WHICH WAS SCHEDULED TO COMMENCE ONE 762020698 END PAGE ONE

MR DELOACH FOR THE DIRECTOR

THIS DATE PENDING THE MARCH FIVE HEARING ON THE MOTION FOR A CHANGE OF VENUE.

THE ARTICLE REPORTED THAT THE MOTION FILED BY ALCOCK AND BURNES TODAY ATTACKING THE CHANGE OF VENUE MOTION CONTENDS THAT THE CHANGE OF VENUE MOTION SHOULD BE STRICKEN FROM THE RECORDS BECAUSE IT DOES NOT COMPLY WITH LOUISIANA LAV ON SUCH PROCEDURE. THE MOTION ASKED THAT THE STATE NOT BE REQUIRED TO ANSWER THE CHANGE OF VENUE MOTION UNTIL NEW MOTIONS COMPLYING WITH THE LAW HAVE BEEN FILED BY THE DEFENSE. THE MOTION CONTENDS THAT THE DEFENSE MOTION ERRS IN ALLEGING THAT THE BURDEN OF PROOF IS ON THE STATE AND THAT THE DA MUST SHOW CAUSE WHY THE CHANGE OF VENUE SHOULD. NOT BE GRANTED.

THE DA'S MOTION SAYS THIS VIOLATES THE LOUISIANA CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE. THE MOTION CHARGES THE DEFENCE IMPROPERLY TRIED TO INCORPORATE THE CHANGE OF VENUE MOTION WITH A MOTION FOR A CONTINUANCE FILED SEPT. TWENTYSEVEN WHICH WAS ALREADY RULED UPON. THE MOTION ALSO CONTENDS THAT THE STATEMENT IN THE CHARGE OF VENUE MOTION WERE NOT SWORN TO BY THE DEFENCE BY MERELY PRESENTED AS "TO THE BEST OF HIS KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF" WHICH IS INSUFFICIENT.

END PAGE TWO 4.

PAGE TVO

NO 89-69 🔅

THE MOTION STATED THAT THE LEGAL PROVISION THAT THE STATE-MENTS MUST BE SWORN TO "PREVENTS COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENSE FROM FILING THEIR APPLICATION WITH PATENTLY FALSE AND SPURIOUS ALLEGATIONS OF FACT."

PÅGE THREE

HO 89-69

END

FBI VASH

XEROL

OC MR. SULLIVAN

FEB 1968

THE MOTION ALSO CONTENDED THAT THE CODE REQUIRES THAT THE CHANGE OF VENUE MOTION CONTAIN A STATEMENT THAT "THE APPLICATION IS NOT MADE FOR THE PURPOSE OF DELAY-BUT TO OBTAIN A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TRIAL."

THE ARTICLE ALSO REPORTED THAT THOMAS EDWARD, BECKHAM WHO IS SCHEDULED TO TESTIFY ON THURSDAY IN THE GARRISON ASSASSINATION PROBE SAID YESTERDAY IN OMAHA HE WOULD FILE. FOR THE NEBRASKA SECOND DESTRICT CONGRESSIONAL NOMINATION WHEN HE RETURNED TO OMAHO FROM NEW ORLEANS.