BY BERNARD GAVZER and SID MOODY Mensiedures Withers

dent John F. Kennedy. 12.

Had these photographs been autopsy findings suspect or introduced as commission exhi-tarnished. bits, the commission may have. The autopsy report states con-

were those who felt this was unnecessary, that the evidence could be placed under lock and key for historians of the future and that the sworn testimony of autopsy surgeons would now be sufficient.

But who could have reckoned there would be the time of the critics? Who could have anticipated the commission findings would be painted with suspicione

Critics Emphasize Critics Emphasize

There were other acts and incidents which the critics could selze upon and emphasize and place out of focus. They did.

There was a pathologist who made an inexact dot on an aulogsy skeich representing a bur entry; there were two PBI

story; there were the three collar and 1% inches to the right pathologists who left a corrobor-jof the middle of the back of the ating detail of evidence out of shirt." the autopsy report; there was a pathologist who burned a draft of the autopsy in his fireplace; inches below the neck," Lane there were harried reporters at a Parkland Memorial Hospital

note X-rays and photographs stein and Harold Weisbergtaken at the autopsy of Presidrew their own meanings from these things to make the

In the heartsick atmosphere wound doctors say he would after the assassination, there have survived. The second buliet struck his skull. It was fatal.

> the Warren report conclusion have him raise his right arm fixed the bullets.

Some Clever, ? Some Absurd

The critics have constructed Precise Location their machine of destruction by of Wounds Described selection of parts of testimony. The precise location of the and parts of evidence from the President's wounds is described Warren report. Some of it has in the autopsy report. But the been clever-and some absurd decision not to introduce the

than the way they see the holes istort Evidence. stein nor Weisberg challenges, the Warren report evidence that there was a hole in the jacket About Kennedy MA utopsy "5% inches below the top of the control back seam of the coal" and a hole in the jacket style Misleading Reports lative conversation of pathologory and a hole in the shirt coal" and a hole in the shirt story; there were the threely a hole in the shirt story; there were the threely a hole in the shirt story; there were the threely a hole in the shirt story; there were the threely a hole in the shirt story; there were the threely a hole in the shirt story; there were the threely a hole in the shirt story; there were the threely a hole in the jacket ships a hol

"That evidence is compaifble with a bullet passing through the president's back, says in his book.

The Warren Commission did who failed to make clear that few inches by describing it in make a mistake. It had compass doctors were speculating in de-his book as "six inches down stong." There was some evidence wound as an entry wound which could have been made wound as an entry wound. The eritics—most hotably art of the record, but was Mark Lane, Edward Jay Eperator and photographs which show a photographs which show a photographs. Weisberg lowers the hole a

the garments on a hanger. The holes can be seen clearly. "These photographs . . . were emitted from the War-1 ren report and the 26 volumes. of supporting evidence . . . he says. He got them from the National Archives. But other pictures, not nearly as dramatic, are in the evidence, and the testimony is quite precise.

Seeing the holes through the eyes of Lane, Epstein and Weis-These findings are central to the single bullet theory. This theory is that a bullet went base of the neck. But put a jackthrough the president's necket and shirt on any grown man and went on to wound Gov. Con with reasonably well-developed nally. If not, the single bulled shoulders, measure 5% inches theory collapses. And so does below the top of the collar and a bit to the right of the seam, that Lee Harvey Oswald alone slightly (as the president's was and mark the spot with a pencil point or chalk. Where does this touch the body? The base of the louch the body? The base of neck.

-What could be more absurd sulspay X-rays and photographs
-which would show those

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.]

> PAGE 1 SECTION 1 TIMES PICATUNE NEW ORLEAMS, LA.

THE ASSASSIKATION

Classification: 89-Submitting Office: N.O.

Being Investigated



staff:

1—The Chief Justice Earl expressed by one former assistWarren, who was chairman of ant counsel:
the commission is a very bush and and sensitive man. Out of to any qualified expert who sode regarding the X-rays and mane and sensitive man. Out of to any qualified expert who sode regarding the X-rays and photographs with language undeference to the Kennedy fami-wants to see them whether he photographs with language unly, especially to Mrs. Kennedy, is chosen by a college prestant supported by testimony. He caroline and John-John, he dent or Mark Lane himself."

While the autopsy X-rays and cover edition of his book: decided it would be awful if they While the autopsy X-rays and were introduced as evidence photographs were not introduced as evidence photographs were not introduced formally. It does not were taken from Dr. Humes and termined informally that this many that they were taken from Dr. Humes and the control of the Savet termined informally that this mean that they were not seen-evidence was not absolutely and that they did not show the necessary because the autopsy wounds as described in the au-pathologists could testify as to details." said one.

2-"There were members of the staff who out of trial experi-ence felt that the X-rays and were transmitted that way ence felt that the X-rays and photos were vital documents in presenting evidence. There was a feeling that the chief recognized the value of this evidence but that the decision to keep them under seal came from Sen. Robert F. Kennedy, who was then the attorney general. It was Bobby's decision," said another.

Neither the chief justice not the senator will comment about this or any other aspect of the report. The only thing Sen. Kennedy has said publicly was a statement he made in Poland that he was satisfied that Oswald was the assassia. While most staff members of the disbanded commission have refused to publicly answer the

critics or defend the report, at least two-Joseph A. Ball of

Most Feel Secrecy Should Be Ended

EIn interviews with 11 of the 15 photographs. Capt. Ebersole counsel and four of the 18 steff failtaled each of the X-rays. members, the writers have There are various views of learned that a majority are fail learned that a majority now feel the secret label should be re-moved because of the toubt

wounds—contributed to today's created by the eritics. None all the wounds, as we describtonfroversy. Who would have tunks that the commission need known three years ago that they be re-established. One suggest to the president's face is visible. It is to was that some nongovern mental body, such as a group of university presidents or a law society, should select forensic and white photographs and 14 this report have gleaned from members of the commission the evidence.

I several agreed with the idea can Claims

The wounds, as we describted the wounds, as we describted the mental some former as it to make the president's face is visible. The National Archives says university presidents or a law society, should select forensic and white photographs and 14 the evidence.

I several agreed with the idea can Claims

the point that the photographs were handed undeveloped to the eventually to the care of Robert Kennedy.

Several Men Viewed Photos

.Albert Jenner, an assistant counsel now in Chicago, says he saw some of the autopsy photographs. Arlen Specter, currently district attorney of Philadel-phia, has stated having seen at least one purported color photograph.

They also were examined and authenticated last Nov. 1 by four men intimately conected with the autopsy:

Cmdr. James J. Humes, senior pathologist at Bethesda Nav-al Hospital; Cmdr. J. Thornton Boswell, chief pathologist at Bethesda; Capt. John Ebersole, the radiologist who took the X Long Beach, Calif., and Wesley rays, and John T. Stringer Jr., a January Libelier of Los Angeles—medical pholographer at the have said they felt from the National Naval Medical Center,

beginning that the X-rays and who took the photographs photographs should have been introduced.

"We authenticated each liem," says Boswell, who is now is private practice. "As De. Humes looked over my shoulder, I initialed each of the color and black and white es va

Pictures Seized

deed the photographs were seized before they were developed. Humes testified that not even he had seen the photo-graphs ostensibly taken to assist him and the other doctors." it."

Then on Page 62, he refers to them again, saying ". . . federal police agents con-fiscated the erucial photographs and X-rays . . . Con-

Service, but nowhere does he say they were demanded or that he objected to releasing them.

Lane need not have been so evasive or uncertain as to why the photographs were madene photographs were made-ostensibly to assist him (Dr. Humes) and the other doctors" commission wanted to hide any as he puts it. By his construc-

Draft of Autopsy Report Burned

aln-two of three references to this, Lane drops the word "draft." On Page 66, it becomes "his admission that he destroyed original notes relating to the autopsy." On Page 385, Lane says: "Destroyed evidence included the original notes prepared and then burne by Commander Humes after the autopsy. Epstela says Humes "de-

stroyed by burning certain preliminary notes relating to the autospy Draft was dropped li

dropped to then later raises a question about the original autoosy report.

Weisberg writes: "If the commission had any questions about the burning of any kind of historic papers, especially undes-cribed preliminary draft notes, the transcript does not reveal

No one seems to wonder why Humes need have told anyone about it since he did it while he was alone in the privacy of his home. If he wanted to conceal, fiscated? Seized?

Something, would be raise sustained estified they were picton by certifying that he "turned over" to the Secret burned a preliminary draft be had written of the autopen Es port?

The critics make this draft seem part of the autopsy notes themselves. Those notes are identified as part of commis-sion's Exhibit 397. And if the revisions in the autopsy report. as he puts it. By his construction, it would seem the photos
were taken to help the doctors
that night of the autopsy.

But Humes is clear about it in
his testimony on Page 373, Vol. sions?

The X-rays were developed Piece of Evidence;

The X-rays were developed the total the point of the spot that evening, because we had to see those right then as part of our examination, but the photographs were made for the record and for other purposes.

Draft of Autopsy

The X-rays were developed the total the will the the spot that the was been apparently was one coordorating piece of evidence which was inexplicably left out of the autopsy report, the writers learned. That was the result of a microscopic examination of meck wound. neck wound.

"We conducted microscopic Lane, Epstein and Weisberg examination of tissue removed Lane, Epstein and weisterg examination of tissue removed see something highly suspicious cooroborating piece of evidence in the statement of Humes that which was inexplicably left out differ was an autopsy "draft I of the autopsy report, the writipersonally burned in the fire-ers learned. That was the result, place of my recreation room."

of a microscopic examination of tissue removed from the rear neck wound.

"This would further show that precise."

"We conducted miscrosco- Boswell Admits not published in the Warren report, which was a summation of area and found foreign sub- Boswell has since cleared up evidence. But they are in Vol. stances such as fiber par this question. He made the stances are as fiber par marks. He admits the dot is not yolumes. Suppressed?

"To Mark Lane that errant dot is not proof of a below the shoulder." "We Anducted miscrosco- Boswell Admits

the bullet which made the holes in Kennedy's jacket and shirt carried some material with it] into the neck.

Why wasn't this in the autop-

oversight. It was not inten-tional," Boswell says. "I would say that three years it would have been necessary to substantiate our findings. controversy regarding just what from the right mastoid process, the autopsy sketch shows be which is the bony point behind cause it was he who had placed the right ear, and 14 centime-adot—indicating the entry of a ters in from the right acrobullet-in an inexact spot. It is below the shoulder and to the right of the spine. 4:

Critics Consider Sketch Vital

The critics treat this sketch as a star exhibit. And it is on this dot they have stood pat.

They claim it as proof that there was a shallow back wound, and not a neck wound. And that would mean that the Lthroat wound was an entrance would And THAT would mean another firing position and another assassia.

The sketch which Lane, Epstein and Weisberg refer to is the "Autopsy Descriptive the "Autopsy Descriptive Sheet," which is part of Commission Exhibit 397, the written draft of the autopsy report. This sheet is a standard form—NMS PATH 8 (1-63)—and has the outlined anatomical form of the male body in front and rear (Wrong, Humes didn't make views it was one of the working the mark. And Humes' testipapers during the autopsy.

Lane, Epstein and weisoers the written described are in error in saying that on the diagram.)
the marking on the outlines were made by Humes. On what is this based? Humes did not Weisberg 28. fact, he testified, regarding this sketch and another hand-drawn skeich:. "I notice now that the haldwriling in some instances, is not my own, and it is either that of the Boswell or Col.

imply where the point of ea-try was," he explains. "The pack wound. He constructs a entry are near this mark and: giving the exact location of the wound."

It is a hallmark of the critic's general scholarship that in zeroago we didn't presume that ling in on this sketch none of and, though evidently corrothem points out that although borated beyond doubt by the the dot is wrong, the description Boswell contributed to the is clear: 14 centimeters down which is the bony point behind mium, which is the tip of the never there-except to such shoulder joint. That point, on a man of Kennedy's size, is at the base of the neck the base of the neck.

And so the critics plunge ahead constructing their case. against the Warren report **'m** Here's Epstein, handling escriptive sheets:

"The face sheet shows front

and back diagrams of the president's body." (Wrong, They are outlines of a human male and not specifically the president). "On the front diagram, the throat wound is just below.

the collar line; on the back. diagram the entrance wound, is much farther below the the collar line. Thus, although ww Commander Humes testified in March that the entrance wound was above the throat wound, during the autopsy he marked the entrance wound below the throat wound."

mony conformed exactly with Lane, Epstein and Weisberg the written descriptive details

Weisberg refers to this same moterial as "suppressed."

not published in the Warren renot published in the Warren report, which was a summation of
evidence. But they are in Vol.
YUIT Page 45 of the supporting

"The dot was just meant to is proof of a below the shoulder

notes describing the point of ijconclusion that the commission recognized this but had to evade give precise measurements it because it would upset the lone assassin conclusion.

"A back entrance wound was therefore inconvenient, Humes autopsy diagram and corroborated by the boles in the lacket and shirt, it disappeared," Lane contends, But as the report says, it was scrutiaizers as Lane.

Weisberg goes further. Insisting that the error admitted by Boswell is no error at all, he says: - "Unless the commission is

prepared to prove that this original working paper of the autopsy is wrong-not just a little wrong but grossly and inexcusably wrong—wrong in a manner that can never be expected from such eminent experts in both pathology and forensic medicine, its entire report is a monstrous Bke!"

By the same logic, showing the errors and wrongs of "Whitevash"—as the writers are doing here-would amount to proving Weisberg is right in his charges.

Arrow Drawn On Back of Head

Lane also saw something else in the autopsy diagrams. There is an arrow on the back of the head, which is very plain. Lane sees it this way:

"The diagrams . . . show that Humes apparently believed a Humes apparently believed a lit had been used in Parkland bullet to have exited at the left side of the president's skull, for be placed an arrow pointing to the left upon a mark evidently lightlying a bullet entry licent an air passage. ignifying a bullet entry

mitted in a published inter-view that he wrote Humes but received no reply.

Boswell made the arrow.

What loes it signify?

"The arrow is meant to imply that this wound of entry went from external to internal in an upward and inward stanting direction," says Boswell.

Epstein says there is other evidence that a bullet never went through the president's neck from back to front. For this conclusion, he turns to the autopsy itself.

"The fact that the autopsy surgeous were not able to find a path for the bullet is further evidence that the bullet did not pass completely through the president's body," Epstela says.

One of the things on which he bases this is Humes' testimony that pathologists were unable "to take probes and have them satisfactorily fall hrough any path at this point." But Epstein leaves out Humes' statement that "attempts to probe in the vicinity of this wound were unsuccessful without fear of making a false passage.

Path Determined

During Autopsy The path was determined during the autopsy through recog-nized pathological procedure in which it was discovered there was bruising of the apex, or tip of the lung, bruising of the par-letal pleura, or membrane lining the lung cage, and bleeding near the strap muscles between which the bullet passed. The hole at the back of the neck was characteristic of 'an' entry wound. The hole at the throat did not then have the characteristics of an exit wound because

Epstein won't buy that, see the autopsy report until Dec. 21 and chose to get what they did—Asked about what questions when they have the FBI sum 1963. So the FBI couldn't have an entrance wound at the he was asked and what replies mary report of Dec. 9, 1963, given it careful study, as Lane threat.

Two FBI agents, James W. FBI Doesn't his argument that there was guestions asked, all the Sibert and Francis X. O'Neill FBI Doesn't a abot or shots fired from the support of course. Soecifically. The

were in the autopsy room. So Change Reports

Warren report or its supporting practice and tradition of report, wo lume s—thereby providing ing what its agents say.

other fodder for the critics— This Jan. 13 report said, and part:

"Medical examination of the said, in part:

said, in part: "Medical examination of the president's body revealed that one of the bullets had entered just below his shoulder to the Fight of the spinal column at an angle of 45-60 degrees down-ward, that there was no point of exit, and that the bullet was not in the body."

Correct Version,

ize the medical examination of the president's body'—the au-topsy report—in so vital a document unless the autopsy report ment unless the autopsy report physicians eventually were able to trace the path of the bullet through the body." prepared by the military physiclans and published by the commission, however, does not permit the conclusions offered by the PBL Indeed it flatly contra-

Lane says this report had to

dicts them."

Was the report updated?

In a certificate dated Nov. 24, 1963, which is part of Com-mission Exhibit 397, containing the written autopsy te-port, Humes certifies that "all working papers associated with Naval Medical School Autopsy Report A63-272 have remained in my personal custody at all times. Autopsy notes and the hole-graph draft of the final report were handed to commanding officer, U. S. Naval Medical School, at His, 21 November, 1963."

35

were fome Secret Service. And when the FBI did see it and turned out a supplemental and turned out a supplemental report, Jan. 13, 1964, no change which was not published in the was made because of the FBI was made because which was ma

president's body revealed that the bullet which entered his back had penetrated to a dis-tance of less than a finger length."

As J. Edgar Hoover was lo explain later:

The FBI reports record oral statements made by autopsy physicians while the examination was being conducted and before all the facts were known. They reported that Dr. James J. Lane says this report had to Humas, chief sutopsy surgeon. Carrico was the first doctor to be the correct version of the located what appeared to be a see the president. In a written autopsy finding.

"Clearly Hoover FBI shoulder and probed it to the day of the assassination, Carrico was the first doctor to be the correct version of the located what appeared to be a see the president. In a written builten of the opening with a finger. To described the wound as a location of the president examination of the location of th unable to explain why they could find no bullet or point of exit. Unknown to agents, the

> One technique which the critics use to discredit the autopsy report is what might be called reverse English.

In a usual medical situation, if a person died during an oper-ation, say for removal of a wart on his finger, the cause of death would be determined by an autopsy. If the autopsy attributed death to heart fallure, critics such as Lane, Weisberg and Ep-stein—if they are judged by ignore the autopsy, look at the

This is what they've done on facting on what happened when the president was taken to Parkland Memorial liospital.

grassy knoll-the greensward paralled to the presidential mojorcade - rather than sololy from Oswald's perch on the sixth floor of the Texas School Book Depository. Although every doctor who

had seen the throat wound prior had seen the introd would exit. The first two questions to the tracheolomy and exit. The first two questions to the first two guestions to present a contemporaneous could not answer, and my reply present a contemporaneous could not answer, and and the first two pullets. wound of entrance," Lane says on Page 53 of his book, the commission chose to dismiss these as erroneous conclusions stemming from a doctor's observations to the press. Let's see.

Dr. Charles J. Carrico. Lana doesn't name him as one of the doctors saying there was an entrance wound at the throat. But Carrico was the first doctor to "small penetrating wound of the neck in the lower 1-3." "Penetrating" in medical ter-minology can mean either entrance or exit. In his testimony, Carrico further said that "not having completely evaluated all the wounds, traced out the course of the bullets, this wound would have been compatible with either entrance or exit wounds depending upon the size, the velocity, the tissue structure and so forth."

Press Conference 12 Bodlam, Says Perry Dri-Malcolm Perry. He performed the tracheotomy, so he saw the wound before it had their performance-would say been touched. In a press conference in which he had the burden wart.

Critics Choose

Part of Evidence

Critics Choose

Representation of trying to answer most of the questions ("It was bediam," he there is tified he was quoted as a saying the throat wound was an

of course. Specifically, the thing that seemed to be of most interest at that point was actually trying to get me to speculate as to the direction of the bullets, the number of bullets, and the exact cause of death. The first two questions I

if there were one or two bullets. and I could not categorically state about the nature of the neck wound, whether it was an entrance or an exit wound, not having examined the president further—I could not comment on other injuries."

Dr. Charles R. Baxter. He helped with the tracheolomy. On Page 51 of his book Lane writes: "Dr. Charles R. Baxter told commission counsel that "It would be unusual for a high velocity missile to cause an exit wound possessing the characteristics of the practicent's throat wound." But Lane left out most of the sentence on Page 42, Vol VI, which was a reply Baxter made to a ques-tion. It says: "Although it would be unusual for a high velocity missile of this type to cause a wound as you have described, the passage through tissue planes of this density could have well resulted in the sequence you outline; namely, that the anterior wound does represent a wound of exit."

Dr. Rouald C. Jones. His

report described the wound as an entrance wound. He testifled as to his reasons for this? belief, and Lane quotes his testimony from Page 35, Val VI—up to a point, an important point. In Lane's book, Jones says in part "You would expect more of an explosion type of exit wound, with more tissue destruction than this appeared to have." Three words were then dropped after "have." They were es superficial sammes

other hole or wound on the pres-

ident's head?

"Dr. Clark: No. sir; I did
not."

And that is where Lane

stops. But not Clark. His an-

stops. But not Clark, His an impossible to inspect his swer was:

"No, sir: I did not. This was this done after the president died? No. Not one doctor in the blood and hair."

None of the seven other doctors saw such a hole. But none said there was no such the beart to do it."

The president remained on his

The president remained on his back, with great care taken not to move his head, all the time

I cone decen't mention that Close Inspection
more of the doctors knew there was not a wound at the back of the massive that the little entrance; involved several minutes—well, hole on the back of the president statiled and the right eccipital parietal area: each testified; and cleansing the back, and this eserves a close look. If would have involved washing minuted the right eccipital and the did not see a bullet hole which the commission which are immediately life the questioning of Dr. William Kemp Clark, director of seatopicing to evaluate the full extent of the injuries.

"Q: Did you ever have occarion, to look at the president's back, with the brain protruding; did you observe any other hole or wound on the president's bead, with the brain protruding; did you observe any other hole or wound on the president's bead with the brain protruding; did you observe any other hole or wound on the president's bead with the brain protruding; did you observe any other hole or wound on the president's bead with the brain protruding; did you observe any other hole or wound on the president's bead with the brain protruding; did you observe any other hole or wound on the president's bead with the brain protruding; did you observe any other hole or wound on the president's bead with the brain protruding; did you observe any other hole or wound on the president's bead with the brain protruding; did you observe any other hole or wound on the president's bead with the brain protruding; did you observe any other hole or wound on the president turned over all president turned over all president t

have to establish adequate circulation. Before this was accomplished the president's cardiac activity had ceased and closed cardiac massage was instituted, which made it impossible to inspect his back."