

rei new orls

MR. DELOACH FOR THE DIRECTOR

PAGE TWO

FOR THE ORGANIZATION TO PREPARE A LIST OF THE MEMBERSHIP AS OF TODAY AND SAID THE LIST WILL BE PLACED IN A SEALED ENVELOPE AND WILL BE KEPT UNDER LOCK IN THE CLERK OF COURTS OFFICE UNTIL AFTER THE CONSPORACY TRIAL OF CLAY L. SHAW. JUDGE HAGGERTY ALSO REQUESTED THAT A LIST OF THE RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BE KEPT UP ACCURATELY IN ORDER THAT THEY MAY BE EXAMINED AFTER THE TRIAL.

THE ARTICLE REPORTED THAT WITH THE PERMISSION OF JUDGE HAGGERTY, F. IRVIN DYMOND, COUNSEL FOR CLAY SHAW, WITHDREW SHAW'S FORMER PLEA OF NOT GUILTY IN ORDER TO FILE SPECIAL PROCEEDINGS AS PROVIDED FOR BY STATE LAW.

OF SECTION D. ORLEANS PARISH CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT,
TESTIFIED AS A WITNESS AND WAS QUESTIONED BY ATTORNEY DYMOND
CONCERNING THE MECHANICS HE USED IN THE SELECTION OF A
GRAND JURY. ACCORDING TO THE ARTICLE, AFTER A LEGAL WRANGLE
INVOLVING ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY ALCOCK, JUDGE HAGGERTY
AND THE DEFENSE COUNSEL DYMOND, HAGGERTY ASKED DYMOND IF
IT WAS NOT HIS INTENTION TO FILE AND AMENDED MOTION TO

PAGE THREE E

QUASH THE INDICTMENT AGAINST SHAW. WHEN DYMOND INDICATED
THAT THIS WAS THE CASE JUDGE HAGGERTY ASKED HIM IF HE DID
NOT THINK IT WOULD BE BETTER NOT TO QUESTION THE JUDGES
UNTIL AN AMENDED MOTION TO QUASH HAD BEEN FILED. WHEN DYMOND
AGREED JUDGE HAGGERTY DISMISSED THE STATE COURT JUDGES,
THE MEMBERS OF THE ORLEANS PARISH GRAND JURY AND REPRESENTATIVES
OF TRUTH AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEW ORLEANS, INC., ALL
SUBPOENAED BY THE DEFENSE FOR THE HEARING TODAY.

THE ARTICLE REPORTED THE COURT THEN TOOK UP THE NINETY

THREE QUESTIONS ASKED BY THE DEFENSE OF THE PROSECUTION

IN 11S MOTION FOR A BILL OF PARTICULARS ON THE SHAWINDICTMENT

THE ARTICLE REPORTED THAT DEFENSE ATTORNEY DYMOND

SAID THE DEFENSE WAS SATISFIED BY THE ANSWERS TO THE FIRST

THREE QUESTIONS WHICH ASKED FOR INFORMATION AS TO WHO WAS INVOLVED

IN THE CONSPIRACY AND THE ADDRESSES OF THOSE INVOLVED, BUT

AFTER THAT THE DEFENSE EXPRESSED DISSATISFACTION WITH MOST

OF THE PROSECUTION'S ANSWERS. THE ARTICLE SET FORTH THE

PAGE FOUR

LIST OF QUESTIONS TO WHICH THE DEFENSE OBJECTED TO ANSWERS GIVEN BY THE STATE WHICH IS NOT BEING INCORPORATED IN THE TELETYPE BUT COPY OF ARTICLE BEING FURNISHED BUREAU.

THE NEW ORLEANS STATES-ITEM, FINAL EDITION OF JUNE
TWELVE INSTANT, REPORTED THAT JUDGE HAGGERTY TOOK THE ENTIRE
MOTION FOR A BILL OF PARTICULARS UNDER ADVISEMENT. ACCORDING
TO THE ARTICLE, IRVIN DYMOND, DEFENSE COUNSEL, SAID THE
DEFENSE WOULD PUT OFF ARGUMENTS ON THE MOTION TO QUASH THE
INDICTMENT UNTIL TOMORROW WHEN HE WOULD FILE AN AMENDED
MOTION. THE ARTICLE REPORTED THAT DYMOND SAID HE WOULD LIKE
TO ARGUSTODAY ON THE MOTION FOR RETURN OF SEIZED PROPERTY,
ON SUPPRESSION OF EVIDENCE AND A MOTION TO INSPECT STATEMENTS GIVEN BY SHAW AND OTHER WITNESSES. IN CONNECTION
WITH MOTION TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE, DYMOND SAID HE WOULD
CALL AS WITHESSES, JUDGE BRANIFF, WHO SIGNED THE SEARCH
WARRANT FOR SAHW'S APARTMENT; LOUIS IVON, A DETECTIVE IN
GARRISON'S OFFICE; ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY JOHN VOLZ,
END PAGE FOUR

PAGE FIVE .

AND POSSIBLY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY ALCOCK. ACCORDING
TO THE ARTICLE AT THIS POINT JUDGE HAGGERTY RECESSED THE
COURT FOR ONE HOUR.

LETTICHEND MEMOCAPIUM!
NO LHM BEING SUBMITTED.

END.

GJG

FBI WASH DC

CC- MR. SITLIVAN