

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

# Action on Two Fronts Due in DA Plot Probe

By ROSS YOCKEY

Action was scheduled on two fronts today in Dist. Atty. Garrison's investigation of the slaying of President John Kennedy.

Garrison's office was due to file answers in Judge Edward A. Haggerty's section of Criminal District Court to a number of motions by attorneys for Clay L. Shaw, the 54-year-old retired managing director of the International Trade Mart, who the district attorney says plotted to kill Kennedy.

In the court, Dean A. Andrews Jr., the suspended Jefferson Parish assistant district attorney, was expected to file a new trial for perjury before the Or-

IN THE Shaw case, defense attorneys filed a month ago a sheaf of motions, including a petition for a bill of particulars, a motion to quash the im-mo-tion to suppress an assortment of evidence removed from Shaw's French Quarter apart-

The fourth Shaw defense motion requests the court to subpoena all records of Truth and Consequences, the organization formed by a group of local son's investigation.

A new twist to the unprecedented organization came to light Saturday, when the States-Item revealed that Dean Andrews had staked a claim to the title "Truth and Consequences Inc." several weeks ago in the office of the Secretary of State in Baton Rouge, thwarting any attempts by the New Orleans group to incorporate or to take any legal action under that name.

It was not known what attack the district attorney would take this morning in answering Shaw's motions, but some revelation was expected in the form of at least a partial bill of par-ticu-lars.

ONE PARTICULAR ~~part~~ contention recently has been the statement in the Grand Jury's indictment of Shaw that he conspired with former airline pilot David W. Ferris, accused assassin Lee Harvey Oswald "and others, not herein named, to murder John F. Kennedy." The defense has asked that Garrison reveal who the "oth-ers" are.

Garrisons has also been asked to describe some "act in further-ance of the conspiracy," neces-sary under Louisiana law for the crime of conspiracy to be committed.

In the Andrews case, Judge Shea is expected to receive a new motion to quash the indict-ment, on the grounds that it was filed under the wrong arti-cle of the code of Criminal Procedure, and a motion for a speedy trial, if the motion to quash fails.

There has been some confu-sion as to whether Andrews' testimony before the Grand Jury, revealed in an 11-foot-long indictment, comes under the heading of Article 123, which refers to wilfull falsehoods, or Article 124, which deals with contradictory statements.

ASST. DIST. Atty. James Al-cock has argued that 124 is merely a sub-title of 123, and all perjury indictments must be filed under 123.

Andrews' attorney, Sam Monk Zelden, maintains that the in-dictment should have been filed under 124, which, he says, is a separate article and carries no punishment clause.

Andrews was originally given until last Friday to file the mo-tions, but when Zelden showed up in court minus his ~~attor-ney~~ Shea extended the deadline to today.

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)

PAGE 14

STATES-ITEM

NEW ORLEANS, LA.

Date: 6-5-67

Edition: RED COMET

Author:

Editor:

Title: ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY, DALLAS, TEX.

Character: 11-22-63

or AFO

Classification: 89-

Submitting Office: N.O., LA.

Being Investigated

12-109060

ENCLOSURE

5356