We are in receipt of an allegation that District Attorney James C. Garrison may have committed a fraud against the Government and we must now decide how this allegation will be furnished to the Department and whether the Eurosu should initiate a Fraud investigation.

By sirted the New Orleans Office forwarded information \(\) received from Aeron Kohn, managing director of the Metropolitan Orime Commission in New Orleans. Generally, the information relates to some of the legal excesses of the Garrison investigation. Specifically, Kohn is alleged to have advised that he learned that District Attorney Garrison had been offered the opportunity of resigning his commission with the National Guard or facing military charges. Kohn advised that Garrison is alleged to have falsified his drill duty certifications for approximately six months for which he was paid. Mr. Kohn advised that Garrison might be in violation of Federal statutes for this alleged fraud.

In March, 1967, Raymond Huff, district director of the U.S. Customs Service in New Orleans, advised that he received \/\sim information that Garrison had been permitted to resign his commission in the National Guard as of the last weak in February, 1967. Huff stated that it was his understanding that Garrison, who held the rank of lieutenant colonel, was falsifying his attendance records and claimed to be at "drill" when, in fact, he was not. Huff added that he did not know if this could be confirmed.

As reflected in memorandum 4-12-67, this information was supplied to Assistant Attorney General Vinson of the Criminal Division and Assistant Attorney General Yeagley of the Enternal Security Division for information purposes and without highlighting Think 1967 RMA (C.A.C.) 5306

59JUNG 1967 **K4-MAY 29-196**7 CONTINUED - CVINDT ENCORDED:

maiorameur ega na. Seilli*nh* 20: Junis C. Clarest

the ressible transitional violation and without comment as to any action contemplated by the Eureau. At the same time, it was pointed out that any investigation of Garrison by us could well result in criticism of the Eureau and could be construed by Garrison and his associates as an attempt by the Eureau to impede Garrison's investigation of the assassination of President Kennedy.

CBSERVATIONS:

We have now received from two sources the same basic allegation of fraud in connection with Garrison's service in the Louisiana National Guard. The situation today is the same as existed in April, 1957. Any investigation of the fraud allegation by the Eureau would become known in the New Orleans area. Rightly or wrongly, the Eureau would be accused of trying to intimidate Garrison and engaging in the same tactics which are currently being charged to Garrison himself.

ACTION:

It is believed the Bureau's best course of action here would be to disseminate to Assistant Attorneys General Sanders, Vinson and Yeagley the information we have received since these individuals are the normal recipients of all data volunteered to the Eureau concerning the current Garrison investigation. It would not be in the Eureau's best interest to voluntarily institute a fraud investigation of Garrison at this time.

Dine V NCV

cc of No hit of 575767 ment 1: AGGO Yangsup, Noveles Numi, by 1-1. 5/15/17 mg

- 2