

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATION SECTION

MAY 13 1967

TELETYPE

FBI WASH DC

FBI NEW ORLS

1:53 AM URGENT 5/18/67 CJP

TO DIRECTOR (62-109060) AND DALLAS
FROM NEW ORLEANS (89-69)

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. DeLoach	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. Felt	
Mr. Gale	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Sullivan	
Mr. Tavel	
Mr. Trotter	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN FITZGERALD KENNEDY,

DALLAS, TEXAS, NOVEMBER TWENTYTWO, SIXTYTHREE. MISCELLANEOUS
INFORMATION CONCERNING.

REFERENCE TELETYPE
RE NEW ORLEANS TELS THIS DATE. La

SPECIAL AGENT SA REGIS L. KENNEDY APPEARED AS INSTRUCTED AT THE ORLEANS
PARISH GRAND JURY ANTEROOM AT TWO P.M. AT APPROXIMATELY FOUR
FIFTY P.M. SA KENNEDY WAS CALLED BEFORE THE ORLEANS PARISH GRAND
JURY. DISTRICT ATTORNEY GARRISON WAS PRESENT AND HANDLED THE
MAJORITY OF THE INTERROGATION OF SA KENNEDY. HE WAS ASSISTED
IN HIS INTERROGATION BY HIS ASSISTANTS JAMES ALCOCK, ANDREW
SCIAMBRA AND ALVIN OSER. THE INTERROGATION LASTED UNTIL APPROX-
IMATELY SIX P.M. AT WHICH TIME DISTRICT ATTORNEY GARRISON TOLD
KENNEDY HE WAS EXCUSED.

AUSA JOHN C. CIOLINO SUBSEQUENTLY CHECKED WITH THE DISTRICT
ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND WAS TOLD THAT SA KENNEDY WAS OFFICIALLY
EXCUSED.

THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND HIS ASSISTANTS ASKED A WIDE
VARIETY OF QUESTIONS ENCOMPASSING MOST OF THE AREA THAT HAVE
BEEN THE SUBJECT MATTER OF RECENT PUBLICITY IN HIS PROBE. MANY

END PAGE ONE 1967
59 JUN 6 1967

MR. DELOACH FOR THE DIRECTOR

PERS. REC. UNIT

PAGE TWO

OF THE QUESTIONS DIRECTED TO SA KENNEDY WERE WHETHER OR NOT AGENT KENNEDY HAD A PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF A CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL OR A GIVEN SITUATION. IF AGENT KENNEDY HAD NO PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE IN THE AREA^{OR} AREAS BEING INQUIRED TO, PURSUANT TO INSTRUCTIONS OF THE USA'S OFFICE, HE REPLIED THAT HE DID NOT KNOW.

OTHER CATEGORIES OF QUESTIONING CONCERNED WHAT THE FBI'S FILES AND/OR THE DEPARTMENT'S FILES REFLECTED AND WHETHER OR NOT THE FBI HAD CONDUCTED INVESTIGATION IN CERTAIN SPECIFIC AREAS TO WHICH KENNEDY INVOKED THE PRIVILEGE, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE INSTRUCTIONS OF THE USA'S OFFICE.

USA LACOUR ADVISED THAT NO FURTHER LEGAL ACTION APPEARS INDICATED AT THIS TIME. HE HAS, HOWEVER, STATED THAT BASED UPON HIS PRELIMINARY DISCUSSION OF THIS MATTER WITH SA KENNEDY, HE FEELS THAT SA KENNEDY SHOULD HAVE INVOKED THE PRIVILEGE WITH RESPECT TO SOME QUESTIONS THAT KENNEDY ANSWERED.

SPECIFICALLY HE REFERRED TO FOUR AREAS:

1) HE REFERRED TO A GROUP OF QUESTIONS WHEREIN GARRISON ASKED AGENT KENNEDY WHETHER OR NOT HE KNEW A PARTICULAR INDIVIDUAL.

THESE NAMES WERE NOT FAMILIAR TO AGENT KENNEDY AND HE SAID HE DID NOT KNOW THEM. THE USA ADVISED HE DID NOT BELIEVE IT WOULD BE POSSIBLE FOR SA KENNEDY TO RECALL WHETHER OR NOT HE HAD EVER KNOWN OR INTERVIEWED ANY PERSONS ON SUCH A LONG LIST OF NAMES FROM MEMORY OR WHETHER THE NAMES OF PERSONS ON THIS LIST HAD BEEN

END PAGE TWO

PAGE THREE

MENTIONED BY PERSONS INTERVIEWED BY SA KENNEDY AT ANY TIME IN THE PAST. HE FELT DA GARRISON MIGHT HAVE BEEN LAYING A TRAP.

2) SA KENNEDY WAS ASKED IF HE KNEW W. GUY BANNISTER (FORMER SAC) AND HE SAID THAT HE DID. KENNEDY WAS ASKED IF HE WAS EVER IN BANNISTER'S OFFICE AND HE SAID HE HAD BEEN. KENNEDY WAS ASKED WHO "HUNG AROUND" BANNISTER'S OFFICE AND HE REPLIED JACK MARTIN AND SOME WOMEN WHOSE NAMES HE DOESN'T RECALL.

USA LACOUR STATED THAT BANNISTER HAD BEEN PUBLICLY MENTIONED IN THE NEWSPAPERS IN GARRISON'S ASSASSINATION PROBE AND HE DID NOT FEEL THAT THIS QUESTION SHOULD HAVE BEEN ANSWERED.

3) GARRISON INQUIRED OF SA KENNEDY AS TO WHETHER HE HAD INTERVIEWED DAVE FERRIE IN NINETEEN SIXTYTHREE AND KENNEDY TOLD GARRISON HE HAD NOT INTERVIEWED FERRIE IN SIXTYTHREE. GARRISON THEN ASKED WHEN KENNEDY HAD INTERVIEWED FERRIE AND THE RESULTS OF THE INTERVIEW, AND KENNEDY INVOKED THE PRIVILEGE. THE USA FELT THAT BY ANSWERING THE QUESTION ABOUT INTERVIEWING FERRIE IN SIXTYTHREE AND THEN INVOKING THE PRIVILEGE, THE OPPORTUNITY WAS PRESENTED TO GARRISON FOR FURTHER EXPLORATION THROUGH USE OF SUBPOENA POWERS TO DETERMINE THE IDENTITY OF THE AGENT WHO DID INTERVIEW FERRIE IN SIXTYTHREE.

4) KENNEDY WAS ASKED IF HE KNEW SA WARREN C. DEBRUEYS, TO WHICH HE SAID "YES". KENNEDY WAS ASKED IF HE KNEW WHERE DEBRUEYS WAS
END PAGE THREE

PAGE FOUR

AND HE SAID "YES". GARRISON THEN ASKED WHERE DEBRUEYS WAS AND KENNEDY SAID IN WASHINGTON, D.C. KENNEDY ALSO ACKNOWLEDGED THAT SA DEBRUEYS WORKED SECURITY MATTERS IN NEW ORLEANS. THE USA FELT THAT EVEN THOUGH THERE HAD BEEN PUBLICITY IN THE NEWSPAPERS IDENTIFYING SA DEBRUEYS THAT BY IDENTIFYING DEBRUEYS TO THE ORLEANS PARISH GRAND JURY, GARRISON'S OFFICE COULD USE THE LA. LAW TO OBTAIN A SUBPOENA WHICH COULD BE LEGALLY SERVED OUTSIDE THE STATE OF LA. (ACCORDING TO LA. LAW) ON SA DEBRUEYS.

SA KENNEDY WILL PREPARE A DETAILED MEMO TO THE BEST OF HIS RECOLLECTION REFLECTING THE ~~QUESTIONS~~ QUESTIONS BY GARRISON AND HIS STAFF AND HIS ANSWERS. THIS MEMO WILL BE DISCUSSED WITH THE USA. IT, TOGETHER WITH THE OBSERVATIONS OF THE USA WILL BE SUBMITTED TO THE BUREAU TOGETHER WITH APPROPRIATE OBSERVATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.

PARTICULAR ATTENTION WILL BE GIVEN TO THE INSTRUCTIONS ISSUED TO SA KENNEDY BY THE USA'S OFFICE IN THIS MATTER AS NOTED BELOW.

USA LOUIS C. LACOUR INSTRUCTED SA KENNEDY, AFTER RECEIPT OF THE SUBPOENA, THAT HE SHOULD ANSWER QUESTIONS UNDER THE SUBPOENA, AS TO HIS ANMNAME AND HIS EMPLOYMENT AND SIMILAR QUESTIONS AND ON ALL OTHER QUESTIONS HE WAS TO INVOKE DEPARTMENTAL ORDER THREE TWO FOUR DASH SIX FOUR.

FROM THE DATE OF THE INSTRUCTIONS UNTIL MAY SEVENTEEN INSTANT AND AT THE HEARING BEFORE JUDGE BERNARD J. BAGERT OF THE CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT OF ORLEANS PARISH, NEW ORLEANS, LA., SA KENNEDY
END PAGE FOUR

PAGE FIVE

STOOD MUTE, PURSUANT TO THE INSTRUCTIONS OF THE USA.

AFTER THE HEARING ON THE MORNING OF MAY SEVENTEEN INSTANT WHEN JUDGE BAGERT DENIED THE GOVERNMENT'S MOTION TO QUASH THE SUBPOENA AND PRIOR TO HIS APPEARANCE BEFORE THE ORLEANS PARISH GRAND JURY, AUSAS JOHN C. CIOLINO AND FREDERICK W. VETERs TEMPERED THE INSTRUCTIONS OF THE USA TO THE EXTENT THAT IT WOULD BE NECESSARY TO JUSTIFY THE USE OF THE PRIVILEGE INASMUCH AS IT WOULD BE SUBJECT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW AND FURTHER INSTRUCTED THAT SA KENNEDY SHOULD USE HIS OWN JUDGMENT IN INVOKING THE PRIVILEGE AND FURTHER, THAT HE SHOULD ANSWER QUESTIONS OF HIS PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE.

~~CORRECTION: PAGE FOUR, SECOND PARAGRAPH, SECOND LINE, FOURTH~~

~~WORD SHOULD BE QUESTIONS~~

END

HFL

FBI WASH DC

XP

me - via - [unclear]

2

81