A" ASSECTATION, GENERALIZATION TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE SAME ASSECTATION & S. C. XIII - 40(2)

CITIZENS

•

Defection

ዴ

Citisens

DEPARTMENT OF STATE WASHINGTON

Commission Exhibit No.

= A

AIR MAIL

Ŷ

í

December 10, 1959

OFFICIAL-INFORMAL

CHILDRICAL

Sear Bobs

527

I refer to your letter of October 28, 1959 to Gene Eorter, in which you discussed action which the Embasor should take in documenting the loss of citizenship by persons who have "defected" (Lebster, Cswald cases, etc.). Gene has been locking into this matter but departed on leave yesterday beforewhis reply could be typed.

We were in the process of preparing a reply, when we learned that the Passport Office had sent a confidential Miron on the case of Lee E. Cawald which it believed answered your questions. Excever, upon reading this Miron, I see that it refers only to the responsibility falling on the Drhassy by reason of Section 1959 of the revised statutes to accept a citizenship renunciation when an American citizen makes known his wishes to this effect. Your question regarding the lecway which the Erbassy has in pursuing the question of proof of acquisition of foreign citizenship has not been commented upon.

From our discussions with the Passport Office relative to these recent remunciation cases, I gather that you have raised a delicate question. We have gone quite fully into our position in SCV over the years of giving liberal interpretations to any regulations which pertain to the status of Americans in the Soviet Union. I am quoting below PFT's guidance in this matter.

"Here it seems that the opportunity to be "lenient" is not considered to be available because specific provisions of law are involved which give us no discretionery sutherity. It would hardly seem reasonable, to say the lesst, to empatriate a man in a friendly country and not do so in an 'unfriendly' country for the same expatriative act. We cannot do this and could get into serious trouble if we were to do it. Failure to act in a proper manner in the knowledge of specific actions could result in exclusion or deportation action by IES much to our embarrassment at a later date.

trouble if we we the knowledge of tion action by I

The

Richard E. Smyder, Esquire, Consul, American Dubassy, Koscos.

CONFIDERTIAL

- 1 Commission Exhibit No. 915

CCTFILETIAL -2-

The securing of evidence necessary to establish a firm case of loss of citizenship is your responsibility and ours too. Thenever a case is before you for consideration you must expend the necessary effort to obtain evidence which establishes the real facts beyond any reasonable doubt. Such evidence is sometimed difficult beyond any reasonable doubt. Such evidence is sometimed difficult to obtain and sometimes impossible. When it is difficult to obtain, the extra effort necessary has to be empended. When it is impossible to obtain, you can record what you have and if the case fails for the want of evidence, then so be it.

"In the Webster care the evidence has been strengthened by affidavits from Rand and Epothinder. It is expected that the certificate of loss of citizenship will be approved. Your statement has been corroborated by them under cath."

Perhaps you should consider every case on its own nerits and follow through in accordance with your best inignent keeping, however, the Passport Office thoroughly informed so that they can interpose other instructions if they believe this is necessary.

Sincerely yours,

Mathaniel Davis Acting Officer in Charge USSR Affairs

CCUFIDENTIAL

Commission Exhibit No. 915