

EAC, Detroit (62-3550)

May 12, 1964

Director, FBI (62-109069) - 305 |

711
ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN
FITZGERALD KENNEDY, NOVEMBER 23, 1963
DALLAS, TEXAS
MISCELLANEOUS - INFORMATION CONCERNING
OO: DALLAS

ReButel 5-C-64, to Birmingham, et al., and urlet
5-C-64, relating to the investigation of one Jerry Coleman
based on information furnished by Arthur D. Shores.

Your interpretation of Bureau instructions is
accurate; however, in view of the controversial nature
of complainant, Bureau desired to be in a position to
evaluate investigative developments to preclude any
possibility of embarrassment or criticism to the Bureau.
Consequently, request for copy of investigative results
requested in reButel.

You should continue to abide by existing
instructions in this particular matter, and if the Bureau
desires copy of investigation, an appropriate request,
such as that set out in reButel, will be forthcoming.

RDR:hw
) 1 (v)

SEE NOTE PAGE 2.

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

MAILED 25
MAY 12 1964
COMM-FBI

MAY 13 1964

MAIL ROOM TELETYPE UNIT

his
As
~~11-11-64~~

NOTE: For full details, see Rosen to Belmont memorandum 5-6-64, in above-captioned case, RWR:hw.

Briefly, Sharon, a Negro NAACP attorney [redacted] advised our Birmingham Office on 5-2-64, that one Jerry Coleman visited his office on 4-24-64, and in his absence, advised an unidentified receptionist that Governor George C. Wallace of Alabama, two days before the assassination, went to Texas and gave Jack Ruby \$35,000 to be divided between Ruby, Oswald and a "cop." Efforts to identify Coleman have been negative.

In view of prominence of complainant who possibly was endeavoring to embarrass the Bureau, in reButel 5-6-64, it was requested that offices conducting investigation furnish a copy of the results to the Bureau, as well as furnishing inserts to Dallas for subsequent reporting.

Detroit in relet requested Bureau advice as to whether copies of investigation in the future should be submitted to the Bureau. This, of course, is unnecessary, and when the necessity arises, appropriate instructions are issued.