

- 1 - Mr. Belmont
- 1 - Mr. Rosen
- 1 - Mr. Malley
- 1 - Mr. Shroder
- 1 - Mr. Rogge

REC-23

3020

May 5, 1964

BY COURIER SERVICE

Honorable J. Lee Rankin
 General Counsel
 The President's Commission
 200 Maryland Avenue, Northeast
 Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Rankin:

Reference is made to my letter dated April 29, 1964, and prior communications relating to Mrs. Shirley Martin, also known as Mrs. Mark E. Martin, of Hominy, Oklahoma.

Enclosed for your information are two copies of another communication bearing the name of Mrs. Mark E. Martin which was received at FBI Headquarters on May 1, 1964, in an envelope postmarked April 29, 1964, at Hominy, Oklahoma.

Because of your prior interest in Mrs. Martin, the enclosed are being furnished.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

62-109060
 1 - 62-109099 (President's Commission)

RDR:whm
 (15)
 Enclosures (2)

- 1 - SAC, Oklahoma City (89-41)
 (Enc.) (Info)
- 1 - SAC, Dallas (89-43)
 (Enc.) (Info)

- 1 - Mr. C. D. DeLoach
- 1 - Mr. W. C. Sullivan
- 1 - Mr. J. E. Herington
- 1 - Mr. R. E. Lenihan
- 1 - Mr. D. J. Dalbey

ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT
 JOHN FITZGERALD KENNEDY
 11/22/63, DALLAS, TEXAS
 MISC. - INFO CONCERNING

SEE NOTE TO SACS PAGE TWO.

- Tolson _____
- Belmont _____
- Mohr _____
- Casper _____
- Callahan _____
- Conrad _____
- DeLoach _____
- Evans _____
- Gale _____
- Rosen _____
- Sullivan _____
- Tavel _____
- Trotter _____
- Tele. Rm. _____
- Holmes _____
- Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM TELETYPE UNIT

REC'D READING ROOM

MAY 5 4 43 PM '64

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN 100-29

JRM

✓ *Ad* *RTS*

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO.

NOTE TO SACS OKLAHOMA CITY & DALLAS:

Reference is made to SAC letter 64-23 B dated 4/28/64.

Enclosed for your information is a copy of another communication apparently authored and disseminated by Martin.

NOTE:

This is the ninth letter to the Commission on Martin. Above SAC letter alerted the field to Martin's campaign of vilification of the Director and the FBI. Martin has been described as a "bright nut" and since the assassination has been conducting her own investigation.

This scurrilous communication taken together with this woman's continuing attack on the Director suggests the possibility of libel. It is pointed out however, that malice must be shown as a fact to make a case of libel against a person criticizing a public official.

Enclosed communication criticizes the Director for denying the alleged statements made by Lt. Jack Revill of the Dallas PD that Agent James Hosty of our Dallas Office had told Revill on 11/22/63 as Oswald was being brought in that "we knew he was capable of assassinating the President but we didn't dream he would do it." She also alleges the FBI, prior to the assassination, had knowledge Oswald had a rifle, knew of his trip to Mexico and knew of his employment on the Presidential motorcade route. She further suggests that the assassination was not an error in judgment but possibly by "design."