## One-Bullet Theory of JFK Slaying Pummeled Felt

Four years after John F Kennedy's assassination, add the name of a Haverford professor of philosophy, Josiah Thompson, to the list of those who have shalen the public confidence in the Warren Commission Report and place him right on top of the list. He has worked hard on a "micro-study" of any bit of evidence bearing on the killing, including the famous Za-pruder film and any other film, document and all testimony he could get his hands on, as if he were a one-man new Warren Commission, re-invistigating the greatest invacuating the greater time, digging up leverything as "a test in archaeology." He has written a book — "Six Seconds in Dallas"-with a Saturday Evening Post preview of it.

His conclusion is that it is still a mystery; that the President's limousine was caught in a carefully prepared trap; that there were four bullets fired by three gunmen sta-tioned at different points; that one of them, stationed in the Texas Book Depository (who may not have been Lee Harvey Oswald), fired the first and third shots, both hitting the President; that a second gunman, firing from a rooftop of a Houston St. building, hit Texas Gov. John Connally; that a third man, hidden behind a stockade fence in front of the limousine, fired the last shot, which rocked the President's head back and finished him.

If this "reconstruction" of the killing is valid (Thompson himself leaves to the future to decide whether it is "history, semihistory or fiction"), then the three gunmen all made their getaway safely and are still at large among us. He refuses to speculate on who they may have been, or what their motives were, and con-tents himself with a scrupulous sifting of the evidence of the killing itself.

NOT RESTRICT 199 NOV 27 130

What about Oswald? Thompson joins ine growing list of students who believe that Oswald did not fire a shot, al-though he agrees there is no proof of this, and that he may be wrong. His theory is that there was one or possibly two, other men in that sixth-floor window of the book depository who used the gun that was fired and left behind, and that they got away through an unguarded back door.

This seems to me the weakest part of his reconstruction, and the most conjectural. Aland the most conjectural. Air though the case against Os-wald as sole assassin has loopholes in it, the case for leaving him out of it entirely has just as many. I suspect we shall have to leave him in it until we get other and better evidence, if ever, not only: because (as Dr. David Abrahamsen contends in a learned paper published by the New York Academy of Medicine) there was a fitness for the crime in his life history and personality structure, but because we have to torture too much of what is known in order to support the necessary alternative theory of Oswald as dupe and patsy as well as innocent.

The strongest part of the Thompson book lies in his disproof of the theory that a single bullet passed through both . Connally and Kennedy and his massing of evidence for the theory of the four bullets and the three directions from which they came. From now on anyone wenting to support

its accompanying position on the fascinating double-duty. bullet, will have to disprove Thompson's reading of the evidence, which on those issues is more careful and more powerful than the Warren Report. ; Like others, I have gone through several phases of belief since the Kennedy killing. I reacted strongly at first against any conspiracy theories, whether of the far-out left or the far-out right, and thought the Warren Report ex-

the single-killer theory, with

plained enough to be tolerably acceptable. The first book that shook me up was Edward Ep-stein's "I n q u e s t," which showed how sloppily and hastily the commission had reached its conclusions. . When Dist, Atty. Jim Garri-

son threw his New Orleans; bombshell, I went down to see what he had and for a moment was fascinated by it; but while have not closed my mind to his theory, it strikes me as wilder than justified by any base of evidence he can produce. (I shall discuss Harold Weisberg's new book, "Oswald in New Orleans," in another

C Gale Rosen Sulliván Tavel \_ Trotter -Tele. Room Holmes \_ Gandy \_ senselingtion of ASSASCINITION +
ASSASCINITION +
OF THE F. KENNED!

30 FIN F. KENNED!

30 FIN F. KENNED! President

piece.) It was not until Thompson's book that I became clear in my mind about some kild of collaborative shooting and about the trap that had been set for the President.

American universities, which have had to take some rough treatment recently, can point to some decidedly non-ivory tower books on the Warren Report. Epstein did The New York Times thesis at Cornell; Richard
Popkin, author of "The Second The Worker
Oswald," is a philosophy pro The New Le Oswald," is a philosophy pro The New Leader fessor at UCLA; Thompson, a The Wall Street Jo Ph.D recently out of Yale, is teaching philosophy at Haver- The National Observer ford and has a new book on People's World Kierkegaard. Evidently a few sturdy minds survive even the kind of graduate school fare we now dish out to them, or perhaps they even thrive on it. Maybe Thompson will leave Kierkegaard alone for awhile, and turn to the big problem remaining in the assassina-tion: who were the three men, where did they come from, what plot did they form, by what strange drives were they moved?

| l he | Washi  | ngton | Post |
|------|--------|-------|------|
| -    | Cimes. | Heral | a    |

| THE WASHINGTON TOSE           |
|-------------------------------|
| Times Herald                  |
| The Washington Daily News     |
| The Evening Star (Washington) |
| The Sunday Star (Washington)  |
| Daily News (New York)         |
| Sunday News (New York)        |
| New York Post                 |

The Sun (Baltimore) \_

The Wall Street Journal .

MAX LERNER

## One-Bullet Theory of JFK

Slaying Pummeled

Kennedy's assassination, add the name of a Haverford professor of philosophy, Josiah Thompson, to the list of those who have shaken the public confidence in the Warren Commission Report and place him right on top of the list. He has worked hard on a "micro-study" of any bit of evidence bearing on the killing, including the famous Zapruder film and any other film, document and all testimony he could get his hands on, as if he were a one-man new Warren Commission, reinvestigating the greatest mystery of our time, digging up everything as "a test in archaeology." He has written a book — "Six Seconds in Dallas"—with a Saturday Evening Post preview of it.

His conclusion is that it is still a mystery; that the President's limousine was caught in a carefully prepared trap; that there were four bullets fired by three gunmen stationed at different points; that one of them, stationed in the Texas Book Depository (who may not have been Lee Harvey Oswald), fired the first and third shots, both hitting the President; that a second gunman, firing from a rooftop of a Houston St. building, hit Texas Gov. John Connally; that a third man, hidden behind a stockade fence in front of the limousine, fired the last shot, which rocked the President's head back and finished him

If this "reconstruction" of the killing is valid (Thompson himself leaves to the future to decide whether it is "history, semihistory or fiction"), then the three gunmen all made their getaway safely and are still at large among us. He refuses to speculate on who they may have been, or what their motives were, and contents himself with a scrupulous siting of the evidence of the killing itself.

**55**DED 1 1987

W at about Oswald? Thompon joins the growing list of students who believe that Oswald did not fire a shot, atthough he agrees there is no proof of this, and that he may be wrong. His theory is that there was one or possibly two, other men in that sixth-floor window of the book depository who used the gun that was fired and left behind, and that they got away through an unguarded back door.

This seems to me the weakest part of his reconstruction, and the most conjectural. Although the case against Oswald as sole assassin, has loopholes in it, the case for leaving him out of it entirely has just as many. I suspect we shall have to leave him in it until we get other and better evidence, if evr, not only because (as Dr. David Abrahamsen contends in a learned paper published by the New York Academy of Medicine) there was a fitness for the crime in his life history and personality structure, but because we have to torture too much of what is known in order to support the necessary alternative, theory of Oswald as dupe and patsy as well as innocent.

The strongest part of the Thompson book lies in his disproof of the theory that a single bullet passed through both Connally and Kennedy and his massing of evidence for the theory of the four bullets and the three directions from which they came. From now on anyone wanting to support

the single-killer theory with its accompanying position on the fascinating double-duty bullet, will have to disprove Thompson's reading of the evidence, which on those issues is more careful and more powerful than the Warren Report.

Like others, I have gone through several phases of belief since the Kennedy killing. I reacted strongly at first against any conspiracy theories, whether of the far-out left or the far-out right, and thought the Warren Report ex-

plained exough to be tolerably acceptable. The first book that shook me up was Edward Epstein's "In quest," which showed how sloppily and hastily the commission had reached its conclusions.

When Dist. Atty. Jim Garrison threw his New Orleans bombshell, I went down to see what he had and for a moment was fascinated by it; but while I have not closed my mind to his theory, it strikes me as wilder than justified by any base of evidence he can produce. (I shall discuss Harold Weisberg's new book, "Oswald in New Orleans," in amount.

piece I if was not until Thempson's book that I became clear in my mind about some kind, of collaborative shooting and about the trap that had been set for the President

Callahan

The Washington Post
Times Herald
The Washington Daily News
The Evening Star (Washington)
The Sunday Star (Washington)
Daily News (New York)
Sunday News (New York)
New York Post

New York Post \_\_\_\_\_\_
The New York Times \_\_
The Sun (Baltimore) \_\_\_
The Worker \_\_\_\_\_

NOT RECORDER 199 DEC 11 1967

The New Leader \_\_\_\_\_\_ The Wall Street Journal \_

The National Observer \_\_ People's World \_\_\_\_

Date NOV 2 5 1967

62-109060

7. Ly 5. Cal

A merican universities, which have had to take some rough treatment recently, can point to some decidedly non-ivory tower books on the Warren Report. Epstein did, his book as a graduate student thesis at Cornell; Richard Popkin, author of "The Second Oswald," is a philosophy professor at UCLA; Thompson, a Ph.D recently out of Yale, is teaching philosophy at Haverford and has a new book on Kierkegaard. Evidently a few sturdy minds survive even the kind of graduate school fare we now dish out to them, or perhaps they even thrive on it. Maybe Thompson will leave Kierkegaard alone for awhile, and turn to the big problem remaining in the assassination: who were the three men, where did they come from, what plot did they form, by what strange drives were they moved?