

3.

CG 137-New  
CG 7-1085  
CG 44-645

He stated that she told him that the 4242 South Calumet address was "where one of daddy's wheels is". HARPER stated that she told him that the reason she wanted to go to that address was to ascertain if Mrs. ROSE KENNEDY was operating one of her father's policy wheels. He stated that WAKEFIELD kept telling him "that old heffer was there too." He stated that she was referring to Mrs. ROSE KENNEDY, who is trying to get all of LAWRENCE WAKEFIELD's money after he died and that she was "out after" "CONNIE" WAKEFIELD to get her to stop claiming she is the legal daughter of LAWRENCE WAKEFIELD. He stated that Mrs. KENNEDY was "tied up" with the syndicate and was presently operating a policy wheel.

He stated that "CONNIE" WAKEFIELD is not interested in getting any of Mrs. KENNEDY's money because she has a great deal of money of her own. He stated that she has told him on numerous occasions that she "had property everywhere" and that it was his belief that she was not in any financial trouble.

HARPER stated that he wanted to tell about when he and "CONNIE" WAKEFIELD went to the grave of LAWRENCE WAKEFIELD in Chicago, Illinois, and that "CONNIE" had pointed to the grave of Mr. ROSE WAKEFIELD's husband and that she told him that Mrs. KENNEDY had died of carbon monoxide poisoning only in the last year or so and therefore Mrs. KENNEDY could not have lived with her father (LAWRENCE WAKEFIELD) for the "last twenty years" as the newspapers and Mrs. KENNEDY said. He stated that they had gone to the graveyard on a Sunday a week or two ago.

HARPER stated that he got "CONNIE" WAKEFIELD home at about 9:45 p.m. on April 15, 1964, and that they were going to have coffee in her apartment and she was

4.

CG 137-New  
CG 7-1085  
CG 44-645

going to help him fill out his income tax. He stated that he did not have the proper tax forms and so he sent JOHNNY STEINBERG, "CONNIE" WAKEFIELD's eleven year old son, out to a store to get some tax forms of the proper kind. He stated that JOHNNY was home at 6:00 a.m. April 15, 1964, when he left for work and was in the home of "CONNIE" WAKEFIELD when they returned from 4242 South Calumet, Chicago, Illinois. He stated that he sent JOHNNY for the tax forms at 9:45 p.m., April 15, 1964, and that he (JOHNNY) returned in about ten minutes, but the tax forms were still not the kind needed to report his income tax. He stated that he had given JOHNNY fifty cents to get the forms and that JOHNNY had gone on to the store on his red bicycle.

2 APRIL 1964

HARPER advised that he then went with "CONNIE" to Johnson's Undertakers at 549 West Division, Chicago, Illinois, so that (FNU) JOHNSON could fill out his income tax forms. He stated that at 10:30 p.m. they left the undertakers to return to 1052 North Oakley, Chicago, Illinois. He stated that at 10:45 p.m. when they arrived home he saw JOHNNY. He stated that "CONNIE" went to bed and he sat by the window when JOHNNY said he was "going downstairs for a minute with a friend." He stated that JOHNNY in fact left the apartment at 11:00 p.m. to visit his friend and that "CONNIE" went to bed and he fell asleep by the front window.

He stated that he woke up at 12:00 midnight and told "CONNIE" that JOHNNY had not returned. He stated that she told him that he sometimes went out like that and was usually an hour late coming home. He

5.

CG 137-New  
CG 7-1085  
CG 44-645

stated that he went to sleep again and did not awaken until "CONNIE" got him up at 5:00 a.m., April 16, 1964, and told him "Gee, JOHNNY hasn't come in." She went to JOHNNY's friend's home (name not known) and that she told him that JOHNNY was not at the friend's house.

He stated that he went to the residence of RICHARD CLAIRBORNE at 1308 North Oakley, Chicago, Illinois, an employee of his, and that JOHNNY was not at CLAIRBORNE's house.

He stated that when he returned to "CONNIE" WAKEFIELD's that she had already telephoned the police and reported that JOHNNY had been kidnapped. He stated that she had called the police at about 6:00 a.m., April 16, 1964. He stated that when he was over at the CLAIRBORNEs he had the feeling that RICHARD CLAIRBORNE had seen JOHNNY. He stated that JOHNNY had "really liked" RICHARD CLAIRBORNE and often played over at the CLAIRBORNE apartment.

HARPER stated that the police officers had taken "CONNIE's" daughter, age ten, known to him as SANDRA KAY STEINBERG and they (the police) went to some of JOHNNY's friend's homes to look for JOHNNY. He stated that "CONNIE" did not tell him what she believed happened to JOHNNY.

HARPER stated that "CONNIE" once told him that her children were by a Lieutenant in the Armed Forces named STEINBERG and that STEINBERG had been killed in an airplane collision over the State of Nevada. He stated that she was never actually married to STEINBERG.

6.

CG 137-New  
CG 7-1085  
CG 44-645

HARPER further advised that according to "CONNIE" she had received five telephone calls concerning money and that she had received threats. He stated that she had asked him to listen in on two of these calls and that he believed the voice on the other end of the line was a male Negro. He stated that one of these calls concerned an offer of \$40,000.00 if she would leave Chicago, Illinois and that she could get the money at the Premier (ph) Hotel at 3400 West Homen, at the corner of Homen and Washington Streets, Chicago, Illinois. He stated that last week she told him "I should take these kids out of town". He stated that this statement was predicated by a telephone call received by her last week when the voice told her "it will be you or the kids". He stated that he believed he could recognize the voice if he ever heard it again. He said that one of the latest callers offered her \$60,000.00 to get out of town and that the voice told her "I can shoot you now because you are by the window." He stated that when she received this call she was not near a window. He stated that she reported these telephone calls to the Chicago Police Department.

HARPER stated that "CONNIE" told the police, when she noticed JOHNNY was missing, that she had received one of these telephone calls and the caller had told her to get \$20,000.00 ready by noon if she wanted her boy returned.

HARPER stated that in the way of miscellaneous information concerning "CONNIE" WAKEFIELD that he believed she was a mixture of French and English and he could not tell if she was Colored. He stated that she had a "heck of a temper" but was not suffering from any sort of mental illness. He stated that she never drank alcoholic drinks, smoked or used drugs to his knowledge.

7.

CG 137-New  
CG 7-1085  
CG 44-645

He stated that he did not know the present whereabouts of JOHNNY STEINBERG and that if he should learn of JOHNNY's whereabouts he would immediately call the FBI.

HARPER stated that "CONNIE" did not have any sisters or step-sisters to his knowledge but had had a twin brother who died at the time of birth, according to "CONNIE". He stated that he had lived with "CONNIE" for sometime and had never heard of anyone by the name of "CONNIE" HARBOUGH.

He stated that he had last telephoned "CONNIE" at 9:00 a.m. April 16, 1964, and that she still did not know JOHNNY's whereabouts. He further advised that as far as he knew none of RICHARD CLAIR-BORNE's children were missing. He advised that he did not know of anyone wanting to harm JOHNNY and had never heard of any threats to kidnap him except the telephone calls received by "CONNIE" possibly threatening her and her kids.

He stated that he could be reached for further questioning by telephoning his office telephone number 522-7777.

The following is a physical description of SAMUEL (SAM) HARPER obtained through observation and interview:

Race	Negro
Sex	Male
Nationality	American
Date of birth	September 24, 1920
Place of birth	Chicago, Illinois

8.

CG 137-New  
CG 7-1085  
CG 44-645

Height	5'11"
Weight	205 pounds
Hair	Black
Eyes	Brown
Occupation	Self-employed trucker

1  
MCC:vm  
DL 44-1639

Mrs. THOMAS C. BURKE, wife of SA THOMAS C. BURKE of the Buffalo Office, received through the U. S. Mails on May 7, 1964, the following letter, her name apparently having been obtained from a commercial mailing list:

"~~JACK~~ RUBY APPEAL COMMITTEE  
30 North La Salle St., Room 614  
Chicago, Ill.  
AN 3-3186

"April 30, 1964

"COMMITTEE

"~~MICHAEL~~ LEVIN,  
Chairman

"~~MARTY~~ ERITT

"~~BLANCA~~ FORTGANG

"~~ELMER~~ GERTZ

"~~ANN~~ OSBORNE

"~~BARNEY~~ ROSS

"Dear Friend:

"A non-profit committee has been organized for the purpose of obtaining funds for Mr. Jack Ruby's appeal. As you undoubtedly know, he has been sentenced to the electric chair for the shooting of Lee H. Oswald in spite of the testimony of eminent psychiatrists that he was not responsible for his conduct.

"Mr. Ruby's family were of average means before the trial. At this time they have exhausted their funds in behalf of their brother. It is with this in mind that we sincerely submit this request for a contribution from you.

"No sum of money will be considered either too large or too small.

"In the interest of humanity and justice kindly send what you can at this time. Make checks payable to JACK RUBY APPEAL COMMITTEE.

"Our sincere thanks,

"/s/ MICHAEL LEVIN

"Chairman, Jack Ruby  
Appeal Committee"

67

1

Date 5-30-64

Mrs. HAZEL LINDSAY, 416 1/2 First Avenue Northwest, Largo, Fla., was interviewed at the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office, Pinellas County International Airport, St. Petersburg, Fla. She said that she is the widow of RALPH L. LINDSAY, now deceased 10 years.

Mrs. LINDSAY furnished the following information orally:

She married RALPH L. LINDSAY in 1922. From 1923 until 1937 the couple lived at 6419 Fairfield Avenue, Chicago, Illinois. From 1937 until 1950 they lived in an apartment building on Claremont Avenue, Pittsburg, Pennsylvania. They moved to Largo, Fla., in 1950 and Mr. LINDSAY committed suicide there in 1954.

During the couple's stay in Chicago Mr. LINDSAY was employed by the newspaper CHICAGO AMERICAN as a home delivery manager. In about 1932 a young man named JACK RUBENSTEIN, then about 22 years old and living on the South Side of Chicago, was employed by Mr. LINDSAY as a collector. It was his job to collect money from the news vendors on the streets and turn the money in to the office over which Mr. LINDSAY was manager. In about 1932 RUBENSTEIN claimed to have been held up on the street and lost the cash he had collected. Mrs. LINDSAY always believed that he had not been held up but had used the money to pay off gambling debts. Sometime after that holdup one of the District Collection Offices was held up and a policeman killed. The holdup man was later apprehended but the ultimate disposition of the case is not recalled by Mrs. LINDSAY.

On one occasion at about that time Mr. and Mrs. LINDSAY were invited to the house of RUBENSTEIN to dinner. They had dinner with the RUBENSTEINS and afterward Mrs. RUBENSTEIN remarked that her husband was "crazy about cats".

Mrs. LINDSAY has no further recollection of RUBENSTEIN except that it is her recollection that he was later fired from his job as a collector or resigned and later engaged in petty gambling in the South Side of Chicago.

On 5/28/64 at St. Petersburg, Florida File # TP 44-187

by SA JOHN H. MILLER:bb Date dictated 5/28/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

68



TP 44-187

2

Mrs. LINDSAY said that she is certain that the JACK RUBY whom she has seen on television and in news photographs is identical with the JACK RUBENSTEIN referred to above although she has not seen him since about 1932 and did not know him well then.

NF 44-148  
HAW: jw/kfk  
1

On April 22, 1964, Inspector H. S. WULFORST, Postal Inspectors, Norfolk, Virginia, furnished the following information:

He pointed out that on March 24, 1964, a representative of the Mail Index, United States Naval Base, Norfolk, had advised a communication bearing the return address of "Jack Ruby, Maximum Security Section, Dallas, Texas," was sent to "G. A. Anderson, AR 542-59-13, Co. 15-64 RTD, NARTU NAS 93, Memphis 15, Tenn. 38115." The communication was sent air mail and was postmarked March 18, 1964, at Seattle, Washington. It had been forwarded from Memphis, Tennessee, to the Naval Receiving Station, Norfolk. APPROX

Mr. WULFORST further stated that on March 30, 1964, the Mail Index, United States Naval Base, Norfolk, had received another communication addressed to "G. A. Anderson, A. R. 542-59-13, Co. 1564 RTD, NARTU NAS 93, Memphis 15, Tennessee 38115." The envelope was postmarked March 16, 1964, at Seattle, Washington, and bore the return address of "Western Electric Co., Inc., 1737 Airport Way, Seattle 4, Wash." Beneath this was hand-printed "D. H. ALLSOPP." The communication had been forwarded from Memphis, Tennessee, to the Naval Receiving Station, Norfolk.

Mr. WULFORST stated that an inquiry had been made into this matter by the Bureau of Chief Postal Inspector, Post Office Department.

It was determined that the letter bearing the return address of "Western Electric Co., Inc., 1737 Airport Way, Seattle 4, Wash." had printed underneath the name of D. H. ALLSOPP.

Inquiry determined that Mr. D. H. ALLSOPP is the Stock Maintenance Supervisor for the Western Electric Company, Seattle, Washington. ALLSOPP advised that GREGORY A. ANDERSON, aged about twenty-two years, was first employed by the Western Electric Company in June, 1960. He was a stock maintenance clerk for the company when he left on February 10, 1964, to fulfill his military obligation in the Navy. Mr. ALLSOPP furnished an envelope addressed to him from ANDERSON bearing the return address which ALLSOPP used in writing to the former employee. Mr. ALLSOPP opened the envelope postmarked March 16, 1964, and it contained a number of friendly letters from ALLSOPP and from other employees in the company.

NF 44-148  
HAW:jw/kfk  
2

Mr. ALLSOPP further advised that although one or two of the employees had written separately to ANDERSON, he did not recognize the writing on the envelope postmarked March 18, 1964, and advised that he would not suspect one of his employees of such a prank. The envelope was exhibited to four employees named by ALLSOPP, and all denied having authored it. ALLSOPP stated ANDERSON had been an excellent employee and he hoped he would return to his employ when released from the service.

The inquiry by the Post Office Department further revealed that GREGORY ANDERSON was from a broken home and has a brother, TERRY, living at 1130 15th Avenue, East, in Seattle. An interview was conducted with TERRY LANE ANDERSON and this revealed that the envelope bearing the "Jack Ruby" return address was written and mailed by him. He opened the envelope, and it contained only a friendly letter. TERRY ANDERSON would not refer to use of the fictitious name as a prank, claiming that he merely thought that if the letter was not delivered, he did not want it returned to him and thought, perhaps, someone like RUBY would like a letter to read. He acknowledged, however, that he did not actually expect that the letter would not be delivered to his brother, GREGORY, indicating that perhaps RUBY's name was intended as a prank. According to the Post Office Department, there was no indication of criminal intent, and TERRY ANDERSON denied that he was aware that use of a fictitious name on a letter might constitute a violation of Federal law. A representative of the Post Office Department advised TERRY ANDERSON of the seriousness of his act and the possible violation involved. TERRY ANDERSON stated that his brother's new address is AH 542-59-13, Company D, 5th Battalion, NATTC, Naval Air Station 64, Memphis, Tennessee, 38115.

TERRY ANDERSON was born May 31, 1943, and is employed as a messenger in the Clinical Laboratory of the Swedish Hospital, Seattle, Washington. He stated that about one year ago, he was arrested and fined for drunkenness and shoplifting.

According to the Post Office Department, the investigation into this matter failed to disclose any ulterior motive in the use of the fictitious name and address. It happened that the two envelopes apparently failed to reach

NF 44-148  
HAW:jw/kfk

3

the addressee through error, as they were probably received at Memphis, Tennessee, at about the time ANDERSON's address was changed. The contents of both envelopes have been returned to the senders, and further attention by the Post Office Department was considered unnecessary.

1  
DL 44-1639  
MCC:eah

The following anonymous communication, dated December 17, 1963, and received by the President's Commission was searched through the Anonymous Letter File without identification:

"December 17, 1963

"To the Investigating Committee  
Re: Assassination

"A Reminder

"The following reminder of a T. V. news report may prove important in the investigation of 'Ruby.'

"It was before Oswald was shot.

"It came over N.B.C. TV.

"A reporter in Dallas was reporting the following:-

"Ruby and a few men were overheard talking. Punishment for Oswald was being discussed.

"One man was quoted as saying 'Ruby can take care of that.'

"Ruby was quoted as answering, 'I sure can.'

"This suggests to the writer of this note that Ruby had already 'taken care' of someone, and those men knew it.

"Thanks for listening"

November 17, "

To the Investigating Committee  
Re: Assassination

### A Reminder

The following reminder of a T.V. news report may prove important in the investigation of "Ruby."

It was before Oswald was shot.

It came over N.B.C. TV.

A reporter in Dallas was reporting the following:-

Ruby and a few men were overheard talking. Arrangement for Oswald was being discussed.

One man was quoted as saying "Ruby can take care of that."

Ruby was quoted as answering, "I sure can."

This suggests to the writer of this note that Ruby had already "taken care" of someone, and those men knew it.

Thanks for listening

11/17/63