

FBI

Date: 2/4/64

Transmit the following in (Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL (Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (44-24016)
FROM: SAC, SAN ANTONIO (44-748) (P)
RE: JACK L. RUBY, aka;
LEE HARVEY OSWALD, aka (Deceased) - VICTIM
CR
(00: Dallas)

Texas: On 1/30/64 Chief of Police JESSE GUNTERMAN, Waco Police Department, Waco, Texas, advised that on or about 1/29/64 he received in the mail a copy of a letter apparently prepared by B. G. LASTMAN, Post Office Box 4062, Austin, Texas, which was addressed to U. S. Senator JOHN TOWER, Washington, D. C., and which was dated 1/22/64.

GUNTERMAN noted that the letter indicated numerous copies to a large group of public officials including himself. He further noted that paragraph 3 stated: "What about the smothered report that the Chief of Police in Waco told the FBI of a meeting between JAKE RUBENSTEIN and LEE OSWALD in a rented room in Waco?"

Texas: GUNTERMAN advised that the only facts to which this could refer was the information furnished to him and through him, to the FBI, by MAUDIE CRANE indicating that she had seen OSWALD and RUBY together in Waco and that OSWALD had lived in a rent house in the Mill District in Waco during the summer of 1963. GUNTERMAN stated that this was absolutely the only information of this type that he had received.

It is to be noted that the San Antonio Division conducted extensive investigation concerning allegations made by one MAUDIE CRANE, the results of which were set forth in report of SA JOHN M. KEMMY dated 12/9/63, pages 25-42, captioned LEE HARVEY OSWALD, aka, IS - R, at San Antonio.

3 Bureau (AM)
2 Dallas (44-1639) (RM)
2 San Antonio
JMK/dnb
(7)

44-24016-1058

REC 17
SI-105

FEB 5 1964

Approved: Special Agent in Charge Sent M Per

C.C. Wick
C.C. CATS

SLA

SA 44-748

From a review by the San Antonio office of the above-mentioned letter by EASTMAN, it is noted that copies of this letter were designated for the Bureau and the Dallas Division, and, therefore, a copy of this letter is not being enclosed for either the Bureau or Dallas in this communication.

From a review of this letter it would appear that the writer thereof is merely raising certain questions and it does not appear to contain any information of substance requiring investigation. Therefore, the San Antonio office will conduct no inquiry into this matter unless advised to the contrary by Dallas, which is OO in this matter.