

FBI

Date: 12/6/63

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (44-24016) ATTN. SECTION CHIEF JAMES HANDLEY
FROM: SAC, DALLAS (44-1639) P
RE: JACK L. RUBY, aka;
LEE HARVEY OSWALD, aka - VICTIM (Dec.)
CR
(OO DL)

Re: Proposed Interview of RUBY.

Dallas Office recommends Bureau approval for interview with RUBY. - Reliable information received that Secret Service representatives have interviewed RUBY several times. What information he gave to Secret Service is not known.

Prior to any interview, Dallas will secure approval from District Attorney HENRY WADE, Dallas County, and from TOM HOWARD, RUBY's attorney, or such other attorney as may be representing RUBY at the time should HOWARD be terminated.

Proposed interview would include following in detail:

1. A running account of RUBY's personal history from beginning to time of arrest, including places of residence--under true name or otherwise--identities of all roommates, etc., all legitimate occupations, all criminal activity to which he will admit, all personal associations of any pertinent length.

EX-105

REC-34 44-24016-619

10 DEC 20 1963

3 Bureau
2 Dallas
MCC:LAC
(5)

Victims to DL...

[Handwritten signature]

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

DL 44-1639

2. All travel of RUBY during period of residence in Dallas, dates, destinations, mode of transportation, purposes, persons who accompanied him, hotels occupied, etc.
3. Detailed account of RUBY's actions for several days previous to and subsequent to President's assassination.
4. Questions as to RUBY's membership, affiliation, association, activities, in organizations of all types.
5. Whether RUBY had ever seen, associated with, heard of, OSWALD prior to assassination. Facts should indicate or preclude a conspiracy of RUBY with OSWALD.
6. Any information RUBY will supply as to previous illnesses, hospitalizations, rumors of a steel plate in his head, etc.
- ~~7.~~ Details as to RUBY's exact movements November 24, 1963, how he got to City Hall premises, whom he saw, who saw him, challenges to his presence, etc.
8. Details of RUBY's association with law enforcement officers, including, specifically, any ever on his payroll, to whom he has given gratuities, his acquaintance and association with Officer J. D. TIPPIT (Deceased), information concerning any conspiracy with police officers or others which concerned the shooting of OSWALD.
9. Details as to whether RUBY has had or ever had a press pass, police courtesy card, any kind of law enforcement special commission, badge, etc.
10. Whether RUBY habitually carried a weapon, reasons, any authority he had for carrying a weapon, etc.
11. Partners and associates of RUBY in operation of his various business ventures.

Dallas recognizes it is possible RUBY's attorney may give his assent to interview upon the condition he be present. TOM HOWARD, the attorney, has a dubious reputation. He is a "hanger on" around the City Hall and Sheriff's Office. He was convicted in U. S. District Court for an income tax violation within the recent past and suspended from practice of law for a period. Newspaper accounts state he and District Attorney WADE have both been served notice to appear before the Grievance Committee of the Bar Association in connection with statements attributed to them which may affect the proper administration of justice.

HOWARD has had his name in the papers frequently since the OSWALD shooting. There is no indication he is "publicity shy". It is considered possible HOWARD would release to the press the fact that FBI Agents had interviewed RUBY and, conceivably, some indication of questions asked, although he would be specifically admonished in this regard prior to any interviews by Agents.

All questions would be so phrased as to not supply information of which he is not already aware through RUBY or otherwise.

Notwithstanding the possibilities in the paragraph above, Dallas Office considers the advantages for interview, even in the presence of the attorney, far outweigh possibilities indicated. In each instance it would be proposed that RUBY would be asked to furnish sources through whom his various statements, and answers, can be verified.

It is considered a substantial amount of time and expense can be saved by the interview, that is, that RUBY can furnish information which is subject to verification relative to various questions which now exist. Special Agents would have the advantage of information which RUBY has furnished to Secret Service or others. Agents will be able to better evaluate reports, rumors, etc., concerning RUBY's alleged presence in other areas, association, etc.