Court in Texas Orders Retrial Outside Dallas

Police Testimony Admitted in Error, Panel Decides

AUSTIN, Tex. (AP) - The Texas Court of Criminal Appeals reversed today the death-penalty conviction of Jack Ruhy for the murder of Lee Harvey Oswald. Oswald was identified by the Warren Commission as President Kennedy's assassin.

The state's highest court for criminal cases sent the case back for retrial in some other county than Dallas, where it originally was tried.

The three-man court held that the trial court erred in admitting as evidence testimony by police officers of conversations with Ruby shortly after the killing.

Conversation Related

A policeman testified that Ruby told him he had seen Oswald in a police lineup and that when he saw the expression on Oswald's face he decided he would kill Oswald if he got the chance.

"Obviously, this statement constituted an oral confession of premeditation made while in police custody and therefore was not admissible. The admission of this testimony was clearly injurious and calls for reversal of this conviction," the opinion said.

Ruby was convicted in March, 1964, for the slaying, which was nationally televised. An esti-mated 140 million viewers saw Ruby gun down Oswald Nov. 24, 1963, as Oswald was being taken from the Dallas City Jail to the Dallas County Jail.

The court's order by presiding Judge W. A. Morrison said that the reversal on grounds of the inadmissible testimony made it unnecessary to discuss in detail "the error of the court in failing to grant (Ruby's) change in venue."

venue."

Behearing Motion Planned Wade, who led prosecution in the Ruby trial, said, "We don't think there was an error. We will file a motion for rehearing in that court down there (the

within two weeks and nope to get them to change their opin-ion. This is not final yet."

Asked what role he would play in prosecution if the trial moves to a new county. Wade replied, "It will depend on where it's moved. Wherever it's moved, it depends on the attorney there. If he needs us to help him, we will, of course."

In Detroit, Sol Dann, one of attorneys who argued Ruby's case before the Court of Criminal Appeals last June,

"I'm naturally very pleased that the Texas Court of Appeals followed the law of Texas and protected the legal rights of lack Ruby, which were vio-

"The maximum penalty under Texas law for murder without malice and premeditation is only five years. The jury was not justified in returning the death verdict."

The appeals opinion said recent decisions by the U.S. Supreme Court in the cases of Billie Sol Estes and Dr. Samuel Sheppard, as well as the record in the Ruby trial, make it "abundantly clear" that the trial court "reversibly erred in refusing (Ruby's) motion for a change in venue" (to a trial site viner than Dallast.

Estes' state conviction for fraud was reversed and a new trial ordered. He is in prison on a 15-year federal conviction for the same sort of fraudselling fertilizer tank mort-gages when the tanks did not

Press Coverage Cited

In the Sheppard case the U.S. Supreme Court reversed the Cleveland osteopath's murder conviction on grounds that extensive newspaper coverage had created such climate of opinion that he was denied a fair trial. The high court reversal of the Estes case concerned television coverage.

"For the errors pointed out, the judgment is reversed, and the cause is remanded with directions that venue (the trial site) be changed to some county other than Dallas," the court's order said.

Compared to the voluminuous record and appeal briefs in the case, Morrison's opinion for the court was dramatically short-

three pages.
Judge W. T. McDonald, who lost a re-election campaign this spring and goes out of office in January, entered a concurring opinion based on the relusar of

Trial hadge Joe (cow move the trial elsewhere. COWN TO

Chy on Trial

"The writer feels it fair to assume that the citizenry of Dallas consciously and subcon-sciously felt that Dallas was on trial and the Dallas image was uppermost in their minds to such an extent that Ruby could not be tried there fairly while the state, nation and write judged Dallas for the tragic November events," McDonald's (opinion said.

Phil Burleson, a Dallas lawyer who has remained on Ruby's defense team from the very first, said of the decision:

"I'm tickled pink. I'm extremely excited and pleased at the action of the court." He went to the county court-

house to tell Ruby. He said Ruby's sister, Mrs. Eva Grant of Dallas, was equal-

ly delighted when he told her the news. "She nearly fainted with give and happiness." Burle-son said. "She was extremely pleased. She was very, very happy."

Cites Court's Order

Burleson said that when he helped write the motion for a new trial, his request for change of venue "had no criticism of Dallas as such."

He explained that the order for new trial in another city "is what the court ordered, and we don't second-guess courts. The circumstantces in Dallas at the time of the first trial were such that Jack could not get a fair trial."

The Dallas lawyer seemed most pleased that the reversal came on the trial court's acceptance of testimony from the po-

lice officers.
That trial court erred in admitting it," Burleson said. "I argued in that trial that the evidence showed it to be a murder-without-malice case.

Burleson added, "I still think

Burleson added, "I sull think it's a murder without malice case, and that this is, in effect, what the court has said."

Not Sure of Defense Team

The Dallas attorney said he is not sure yet who will serve on the defense team for a new trial, but he expressed doubt that the appeals court would withdraw its ruling on Wade's motion asking that the court reconsider.
Of that motion, he said the

court "will not keep it too long, unless they change it around which they seldom do." Burleson said he could go to trial

	DeLoach
~	Mohr
4.7 K/ 50	Wick
)	Casper
	Callahan
4 -	Conrad
المالية المالية	Fell
WINE	Gale
1 × E	Rosen
13:	Surlivan
1.3	Tovel
2 11 2	Trotter
3.	Tele. Room
	Holmes
	Gandy
	Ouney

immediately, if that were necpp-- 216

The defense relied strongly on a recent U.S. Supreme Cour. decision overturning the conviction of Dr. Sheppard for th murder of his wife. Sheppar won a new trial because, th court said, excessive newspape publicity inflamed Clevelar. against him.

The state pointed out in it supplemental brief that defenattorneys should have asked it a continuance—as Sheppard r peatedly did, in vain—if the thought Dallas had been in flamed against Ruby. The sta brief was written by Dall: Assistant Dist. Atty. James ! Williamson. Williamson also clended Judge Brown's denial a change of venue. Such ma ters are in the judge's discr tion, the brief said.

The Washington Post and Times Herald The Washington Daily News _ The Washington Evening Star New York Daily News _ New York Herald Tribune _ New York Post __ The New York Times .. New York World Journal

New York World ___ Journal Tribune The Baltimore Sun _ The Worker _ The New Leader _ The Wall Street Journal . The National Observer _

People's World NOT RECORDE

46 DCT 11 1960

OCT 5