(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

Ruby Trial Judge Defends icer Accused of Perjury

Judge Joe B. Brown defended against a charge by defense lawyers that the offiger testified defense attacks on his rulings. falsely during the Jack Ruby murder trial.

Judge Brown said the accusation is "merely a conclusion of sion "were not witnesses as a says he did not have this informathe defendant which is not supported by, but is at variance; with the official record."

The jurist made the statement as he challenged defense arguments that Ruby is entitled to a new trial.

Defense attorneys Phil Burleson, Emmett Colvin Jr., Clayton Fowler and Joe Tonahill filed 15 formal bills of exception July 28 in which they cited reasons why they think the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals should grant Ruby a new trial.

Judge Brown replied to the arguments in papers filed with his clerk Friday.

Defense lawyers said testimony Ruby's lawyers complained. by Dean was especially damaging to Ruby. They charged that of evidence.

tended to murder Lee Harvey tutional rights.) Oswald.

city jail after shooting Oswald testimony ended at 10:15 a.m. while millions watched on tele-March 13. The jurist said he in-Officer J. D. Tippit here.

Judge Brown said he believes after 7 p.m.
the testimony was admissible on Judge Brown said he asked juthese grounds: ...

The conversation between arguments during the night and Ruby and Dean took place short-they told him they did. ly after Oswald was shot.

-Defense lawyers had opened the door by asking questions about statements which Ruby

made after the shooting.

Gudge Brown, who refused Police Sgt. Patrick Dean Friday April 29 to grant Ruby a new trial, also fired back at other port the defense claim that prose

> vinced that prospective jurors received treatment in a mental who saw the shooting on televi-hospital. (Dist. Atty. Henry Wade matter of law" and, as a result, tion at the time of Ruby's trial.) were eligible to serve on the jury At any rate, defense lawyers could if they did not have fixed have obtained this information opinions.

> films during the trial without ob- and impartial" despite claims by jection from the defense, Judge Melvin Belli, chief defense attor-Brown noted.

> In addition, the judge said, de-were prejudiced against Ruby. fense lawyers showed other tele
> Defense attorneys waited too or disputed during the trial," the rejected their request. judge contended.

> must shoulder a major share of Austin. It is expected to hear ve the responsibility for the "mid-bal arguments by attorneys early night arguments" about which next year.

(Final arguments in the case did not end until 1:10 a.m. March it was false and, in addition, was 14. Defense lawyers said particiinadmissible under Texas rules pants in the lengthy trial, including jurors, were physically and; The police sergeant told jurors mentally exhausted and, as a rehe heard Ruby state that he in- sult, Ruby was denied his consti-

Judge Brown said he gave de-Ruby made the statement, Dean fense lawyer a copy of his prorelated, on the fifth floor of the posed instructions to the jury after vision Nov. 24. Oswald had been structed defense attorneys to recharged two days earlier with turn the instructions before 1:30 murdering President Kennedy and p.m. so that arguments could start at 2 p.m., but they kept them until

irors whether they wanted to hear

Brown: -There is no evidence to sup-

cutors withheld evidence that The jurist said he is still con-when Ruby was 10 years old he from Ruby or his family.

Prosecutors showed television -He believes jurors were "fair ney during the trial, that they

vision films. Incidents shown in long to request a pre-trial sanity the films "were not contradiced hearing and this is the reason he

Papers in the case will go to Sudge Brown said the defense the Court of Criminal Appeals in

(Indicate page, nan

Brymy &

The Dallas Morning News' Dallas, Texas

Editor: Jack B. Krueger

Ch Modern CORDE.

19 r SEP 4 1964

Classification:

Submitting Officer Dallas

Being investigated