

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 9/19/64M. Josephine ~~FARRAR~~

JOSEPHINE M. FARRAR, 204 East Capitol Street, Washington, D. C., Chief of the Records Unit, President's Commission on the Assassination of President KENNEDY, advised as follows concerning the leaks of information which appeared in "The Dallas Morning News" on June 27, 1964, under the by-line of CARL FREUND, pertaining to the interview of JACK RUBY by Chief Justice EARL WARREN of the United States Supreme Court and the "New York Journal-American" on August 18, 1964, under the by-line of DOROTHY KILGALLEN, which set forth verbatim this interview:

Miss FARRAR reported to the Commission on December 23, 1963, from the National Archives for the purpose of setting up a filing system. While she has been concerned with the bulk of the Commission's papers, at no time did her records include the original transcript or any of the Xerox copies of RUBY's testimony before Chief Justice WARREN. These documents were retained in the office of Mrs. BEVERLY HECKMAN.

She has never been to Dallas, Texas, and has never met CARL FREUND or any other reporter or employee of "The Dallas Morning News." She has never met DOROTHY KILGALLEN or any reporter or employee of the "New York Journal-American."

With respect to the leak of information in the "Dallas Times Herald," on July 22, 1964, pertaining to the polygraph examination of RUBY, Miss FARRAR advised as follows:

Her records have not included the transcript of the polygraph examination or the Xerox copies, as this information was also retained in Mrs. HECKMAN's office.

Miss FARRAR does not know any reporter or employee of the "Dallas Times Herald." She did not furnish any Commission documents to anyone outside the Commission staff.

Miss FARRAR recalled that on one occasion Mr. MOSK, a law clerk on the Commission staff had requested her to leave her file cabinets unlocked at the end of the

On 9/18/64 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 44-520

by SAs JOHN G. GOLDTEL and WILFRED R. SCHELMAN/jmm Date dictated 9/18/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

COPIES DESTROYED
1 JAN 2 1973

WFO 44-520

2

normal business hour. Normally these cabinets are locked and if the staff planned to work after hours arrangements were made for someone in her unit to remain in the file room until their services were no longer required. On this occasion Mr. MOSK had not made any advance arrangements, accordingly the files were left open and later locked by the Commission night guard. She could not remember when this occurred.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 9/18/64

1

A visual examination of the original typewritten transcript of the interview of JACK L. RUBY by Chief Justice WARREN in Dallas, Texas on June 7, 1964 disclosed this transcript consists of 103 pages. The transcript is held together in a binder consisting of a clear plastic front cover and a red-colored lightweight cardboard bottom cover. The 103 pages of the transcript are held in the binder by three rivets that are spaced along the left margin of the binder.

Examination disclosed page 103 of this transcript consists of the certificate prepared by HELEN LAIDRICH, the court reporter who recorded this interview of RUBY by Chief Justice WARREN.

It was noted neither the cover of the binder, nor any of the pages of this transcript, bear any kind of a security classification.

It was also noted this transcript is typed on paper having numbers one thru twenty-five, spaced vertically along the left margin, which numbers serve to identify the lines on each page.

Examination of the five xerox copies of this transcript, which were reproduced by WARD and PAUL, Court Reporters, 917 G Street, N.W., Washington, D. C., disclosed each of these copies consists of 103 pages. Each of these copies is in a lightweight yellow-colored cardboard binder. Each is held in the binder by being tied with red binding tape. This binding tape goes through three holes that have been punched along the left margin of the transcript copy, and knotted in the center hole.

COPIES DESTROYED

On 9/16-17/64 JAN 2 1973 Washington, D. C. File # WFO 44-520

by SAs HOMER R. HAUER and
CARL E. GRAHAM HRH/jgm Date dictated 9/18/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

740

Each page of the five xerox copies of this transcript, that were reproduced by WARD and PAUL, is stamped "Confidential." This stamp appears at the top and bottom of each page, as well as at the top and bottom of the front and back binder cover. The "Confidential" stamp appears in approximately the same position, horizontally and vertically, on each page of each of the five reproductions made by WARD and PAUL. On all pages of these five copies the "Confidential" stamp is affixed above line one and below line twenty-five.

Examination of page seven of the August 18, 1964 edition of the "New York Journal-American" newspaper discloses a reproduction of what is reported to be page 103 of the transcript recording the interview of JACK L. RUBY by Chief Justice WARREN.

Examination of the reproduction of page 103, as it appears in the newspaper, discloses the top, bottom, and left margins of this page appear to have been reduced in size. It is noted the top margin does not disclose a "Confidential" classification; however, the bottom portion of this page does bear the classification.

The page 103 classification appearing in the newspaper is raised to a position between line twenty-four and twenty-five; however, the horizontal spacing of this classification appears to be the same as the classification appearing on the five copies reproduced by WARD and PAUL.

Examination of page one of the August 18, 1964 edition of the "New York Journal-American" newspaper disclosed the heading of the article publishing the transcript of the Chief Justice WARREN interview with JACK L. RUBY is in exact conformity with the heading appearing on page two of each of the WARD and PAUL reproductions of this transcript, with the exception of the horizontal spacing of the "Confidential" classification.

WFO 44-520

3

Examination of four xerox copies of this transcript, which were reproduced by employees of the Commission, disclosed that none bore the "Confidential" classification on any of the pages.

1-12

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 9/20/64

1

DAVID W. EELIN, home address 7704 College Drive, Des Moines, Iowa, telephone 277-3383, who is a member of the law firm of Herrick, Langdon, Sandblom and Belin, was interviewed at the headquarters of the President's Commission on the Assassination of President Kennedy, 200 Maryland Avenue, N. E., Washington, D. C.

Mr. EELIN advised he has been employed as a Legal Consultant by the President's Commission on the Assassination of President Kennedy since approximately January 20, 1964. He said he had been employed on a relatively full time basis until Memorial Day, 1964, and from then until mid July, 1964, was in Washington, D. C., nearly every weekend in connection with his duties as a Legal Consultant. Mr. EELIN said the weekend of September 19-20, 1964, is the first occasion he has had to be in Washington, D. C., since mid July.

Mr. EELIN said his duties as a Legal Consultant have been in relation to the events immediately prior to and after the assassination as they pertain to LEE HARVEY OSWALD. He stated he has never seen a transcript of the interview of JACK L. RUBY before Chief Justice EARL WARREN, or a transcript of the interview of RUBY wherein the polygraph was used. Mr. EELIN commented the reason for his not having observed either of these transcripts is the fact they are generally outside his area of interest.

Mr. EELIN stated he does not know how many copies of the transcripts of either of these interviews that were made; however, he said he believed ten such copies were generally made of this type material. He said he is also unaware as to what distribution was made of these transcripts but assumed one had been made available to each Commission member, one had been furnished to the office of Mr. J. LEE RANKIN, General Counsel to the Commission, and one had been furnished to NORMAN REDLICH, administrative assistant to Mr. RANKIN. He said he is certain any of the staff attorneys would have had access to this material.

COPIES DESTROYED

On 21 JAN 2 1973 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 44-520
8/19/64

by SA HOMER R. HAUER:nln Date dictated 9/20/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

Mr. BELIN informed he is unaware of any documents that have been lost or misplaced and has received no indication any material in possession of the Commission had been stolen. He said he does know that some of the Commission members have had people reviewing material for them. Mr. BELIN related he had obtained this information after receiving a letter from an attorney in New York City who related he had been in contact with another New York attorney, who in turn mentioned he had been reviewing "galley proofs" of material for Mr. MC CLOY. Mr. BELIN said Senator RUSSELL had a woman attorney reviewing material for him at one time. He said he feels a possibility exists the leak of information regarding material obtained by the Commission could have come from some such person.

Mr. BELIN said he believes the Texas Attorney General's Office also had certain material available to them regarding the Commission's inquiry and he felt this was another possibility where a leak of information could occur.

Mr. BELIN said he has no information as to who is responsible for making material available to news media representatives and suggested the above only because he feels these are possibilities that should be considered.

Mr. BELIN said he certainly was not responsible for the leak of any information; nor does he know anyone who is. He said he does not know DOROTHY KILGALLEN and is not aware that any of the staff employees know KILGALLEN. Mr. BELIN said he would be very much surprised and shocked if any of the staff attorneys with whom he had closely worked were responsible for any leaks of information. He said he feels certain in his own mind that Attorneys SPECTER, BALL, JENNER, LIEBELER, COLEMAN, SLANSON, HUBERT, GRIFFIN, STERN, WILLENS, EISENBERG, or REDLICH were not responsible for any leak of information. It was noted Mr. BELIN did not include Staff Attorney FRANCIS W. H. ADAMS in this list of attorneys. Mr. BELIN stated he had not worked closely enough with ADAMS to form an opinion since ADAMS had only worked at the Commission one or two days a month during the time he, BELIN, was at the Commission on a relatively full time basis. Mr. BELIN related ADAMS is believed to live in New York City and he recalled that about the time ADAMS became associated with the Commission

WFO 44-520

3

a news article appeared in a New York paper regarding ADAMS accepting a position with the Commission. Mr. BELIN said he considered this article, which was written in the vein ADAMS was to be the "star of the show" but would not admit to such, to be somewhat hypocritical since ADAMS had not actually spent much time working at the Commission and had only taken one deposition to his knowledge. Mr. BELIN said he does not remember what newspaper had published this article regarding ADAMS, but thought it was possibly the "New York Journal-American." Mr. BELIN said based on the newspaper article, he gathered ADAMS possibly had some relationship with some news media representative.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 9/20/64

1
 WILLIAM T. COLEMAN, JR., home address 612 West
 Hortter Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Telephone
 Number VI 8-2304, a member of the law firm of Dilworth,
 Paxton, Kalish, Kohn and Dilks, 123 South Broad Street,
 Philadelphia, was interviewed at the headquarters of the
 President's Commission on the Assassination of President
 KENNEDY, 200 Maryland Avenue, N.E.

Mr. COLEMAN stated he has been employed as a
 Legal Consultant with the President's Commission on the
 Assassination of President KENNEDY since approximately
 January 22, 1964. Mr. COLEMAN stated his primary area
 of interest in the inquiry being conducted by the Commission
 pertains to LEE HARVEY OSWALD. He said that in connection
 with his duties he had reviewed some of the material
 collected by the Commission pertaining to JACK L. RUBY.

Mr. COLEMAN advised he had not been present in
 Dallas, Texas, during any of the interviews of RUBY. He
 said he had not been in Dallas, Texas, in connection with
 his duties with the Commission except on one occasion when
 a plane on which he was returning to Washington, D. C.
 had stopped in Dallas for a very short time. Mr. COLEMAN
 recalled it was during April or May of 1964 when he had
 occasion to be in Dallas. He said that during that time
 he had had no contact with any news media representatives and,
 in fact, has had no contact with any news media representatives
 regarding the Commission's inquiry at any time.

Mr. COLEMAN informed he has read a transcript of
 the interview of JACK L. RUBY by Chief Justice EARL WARREN.
 He said he recalls reading both the DOROTHY KILGALLEN
 account of this interview as well as the official Commission
 transcript. Mr. COLEMAN said he does not now remember
 whether or not the Commission transcript he read was one
 prepared by Ward and Faul; however, he said he believes he
 recalls that it was. Mr. COLEMAN advised he is under the

COPIES DESTROYED

21 JAN 2 1973

On 9/19/64 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 44-520by SA HOMER R. BAUER /JEW Date dictated 9/20/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency;
 It and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

impression the Commission transcript of the Chief Justice WARREN--JACK L. RUBY interview observed by him was in a yellow binder and bore "a "Confidential" classification. He said he is unable to remember from whom he obtained this transcript, but thought it had been obtained from DAVE SLAWSON, a Legal Consultant of the Commission. He said that if he did not obtain this transcript from SLAWSON, then he could have obtained it from any other staff member of the Commission.

Mr. COLEMAN informed he has also read a copy of the Commission transcript recording the interview of JACK L. RUBY, which was conducted with the aid of a polygraph. He said he remembers reading the newspaper account of this same interview. Mr. COLEMAN recalled there were obvious discrepancies between the official transcript and the newspaper account. He said he did not recall any such discrepancies between the official transcript of the Chief Justice--WARREN--JACK L. RUBY interview and the newspaper account of this interview.

Mr. COLEMAN informed he does not know how many copies of the transcript of Chief Justice WARREN--JACK L. RUBY interview would have been prepared; however, he said he believes 11 is the usual number of transcripts that were made of such testimony. He said he does not know the exact distribution that would have been made of this transcript but he believed one copy would have been furnished to the attorney who took the testimony; one to Mr. J. LEE RANKIN, General Counsel to the Commission; one or two to the Legal Consultants who would have been interested in the RUBY area of the Commission inquiry; one would have been furnished to Chief Justice WARREN; one would have been furnished to Mr. WILLENS, an Administrative Assistant to Mr. RANKIN; one to Mr. NORMAN REDLICH, Administrative Assistant to Mr. RANKIN; and one copy would have been placed in the file. Mr. COLEMAN reiterated he does not know if such distribution was made regarding the transcript of the Chief Justice WARREN--JACK L. RUBY interview, but said this is the general distribution of material that was made in his area of interest of the Commission inquiry.

Mr. COLEMAN advised any of the attorneys working on the staff of the Commission could have had access to the RUBY testimony. He said he bases this statement on the fact that there have been no instances where any of the material obtained by the Commission was not made available to him if he requested such material.

Mr. COLEMAN advised he is unaware of any security problems that have arisen during the Commission's inquiry. He said a possible exception to this is that witnesses furnishing information to the Commission are permitted to review the record of their testimony. Mr. COLEMAN said such a review might give the witnesses an opportunity to have exact knowledge of the form of the record of their testimony. He said it is his understanding that in those instances where the witnesses are not available to review the record of their testimony at Commission Headquarters, the practice of the Commission is to send the transcript to the United States Attorney in the district where the witness resides and have the United States Attorney make this record available to the witness for review.

Mr. COLEMAN said he has no knowledge as to how any of the leaks pertaining to interviews of JACK L. RUBY have occurred. He said that he had theorized that someone representing RUBY might have made this information available to the news media. Mr. COLEMAN said the basis for his theory was the fact that such transcripts would be available to RUBY or persons representing him. Mr. COLEMAN said he has no information whatsoever indicating RUBY or someone representing him is responsible for these leaks.

Mr. COLEMAN advised he did not make any information available to the news media; that he does not know who did; that he does not know any news reporters in Dallas, Texas; that he does not know DOROTHY KILGALLEN; and that he does not know anyone who is acquainted with KILGALLEN.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 9/18/64

BURT W. GRIFFIN, residing Continental Hotel, Washington, D. C., permanent residence 18318 Lorond Boulevard, Shaker-Heights, Cleveland, Ohio, telephone Skyline 1-0899, upon interview advised he occupies position of an assistant counsel of the Commission and entered on duty January 13, 1964. GRIFFIN is permanently employed as an attorney with the firm McDonald, Hopkins, and Hardy, 1105 East Ohio Building, Cleveland, Ohio, phone 621-3480. He was formerly an Assistant United States Attorney at Cleveland.

*J.C.
T-102*

GRIFFIN advised he was not present on July 18, 1964, when the polygraph examination was afforded JACK L. RUBY. GRIFFIN stated from the staff of the Commission, only Attorney ARLEN SPECTER attended. GRIFFIN mentioned he was in Washington, D. C., on that day. GRIFFIN advised SPECTER was actively involved in the polygraph examination and had worked several weeks in the formulation of questions for the examination. He stated SPECTER participated in the preparation of RUBY for the polygraph test up to the point of the starting of the machine, which was operated by Special Agent BELL BERNDON of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

GRIFFIN advised SPECTER returned to Washington, D.C., from Dallas on Monday, July 20, 1964, and GRIFFIN recalled an item of interest related to him by SPECTER at that time. GRIFFIN said SPECTER advised that RUBY had stated he made the decision to shoot LEE HARVEY OSWALD at 9:15 a.m. on Sunday, November 24, 1963. GRIFFIN mentioned that some discussion arose concerning whether any further action would be required from this development, and it was decided to await arrival of the transcript of the examination. GRIFFIN said he did not recall when the transcript arrived but saw a copy several days after the original transcript arrived at the Commission. GRIFFIN related he departed Washington, D. C., at 8:30 p.m., July 22, 1964, and proceeded to Chicago, Illinois, to take some depositions. On July 24, 1964, he went to Dallas, Texas, where he remained July 24 - 25, 1964, and returned to

COPY 21 JAN 3 1964

On 9/17-18/64 at Washington, D.C. File # WFO 44-520

by SAG VENNETH T. DELAVIGNE and MAURICE A. TAYLOR MAT:cjl/nln Date dictated 9/18/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

Washington, D. C., after 11 p.m., July 25, 1964, GRIFFIN said while in Chicago, he saw an article in the "Chicago Tribune" purporting to set forth the results of the polygraph examination given RUBY and noted it did not contain the statement attributed to RUBY by SPECTER as to when RUBY made up his mind to kill OSWALD. GRIFFIN stated he was not certain whether he had read the article in the "Dallas Times Herald," originally reporting the polygraph examination. GRIFFIN stated while in Dallas, he had talked with Mrs. MARTHA JO STROUD, Assistant United States Attorney, who indicated from her deductions WILLIAM F. ALEXANDER, Assistant District Attorney, Dallas, Texas, was the logical suspect for the news leak concerning the polygraph examination of RUBY. Texas

GRIFFIN advised the official transcript of the polygraph examination discloses that ALEXANDER and JOE TOMAHILL, an attorney of RUBY, were in and out of the room during the polygraph examination and that ALEXANDER returned to the room on more than one occasion. GRIFFIN advised the transcript indicated immediately prior to the questions asked concerning when RUBY decided to shoot OSWALD, ALEXANDER endeavored to ask RUBY a question involving telling someone something by telephone. GRIFFIN stated the transcript indicated ARLEN SPECTER asked ALEXANDER to leave the room, and ALEXANDER did so. GRIFFIN stated this occurred sometime after 3:27 p.m., which time was noted in the transcript. GRIFFIN stated in the absence of ALEXANDER, RUBY was questioned concerning his state of mind and his intentions to kill OSWALD and whether he had told anyone of his intentions. Upon conclusion of this line of questioning, SPECTER announced ALEXANDER could return to the room, and the record indicated he did return, and this was prior to a time recorded as 3:59 p.m.

GRIFFIN recalled ARLEN SPECTER had mentioned that during the polygraph hearing, E. L. HOLMAN of the Dallas Sheriff's Office had exhibited no interest in the polygraph examination of RUBY and slept during the entire hearing. Texas

GRIFFIN stated he knew nothing concerning the origin of the news article which appeared in the July 22, 1964 issue of the "Dallas Times Herald" concerning the polygraph test of RUBY, and as indicated, was not certain he had read it. GRIFFIN advised he did not know any reporter

or other employee of this newspaper nor did he know any member of the Commission or its staff who was acquainted or associated with any employee of the "Times Herald." GRIFFIN also stated he was not acquainted with WILLIAM F. ALEXANDER. In conclusion, GRIFFIN stated he had never furnished any information to any reporter or other unauthorized individual from the records of the Commission.

GRIFFIN further advised he was not present in Dallas, Texas, on June 7, 1964, when a deposition was taken from JACK L. RUBY by Chief Justice EARL WARREN. GRIFFIN stated he saw a copy of the "New York Journal-American" of August 18, 1964, which carried a copyright article under the by-line of DOROTHY KILGALLEN which set forth verbatim the questions and answers in the deposition taken from JACK L. RUBY on June 7, 1964, and read sufficiently from this article to state it appeared to be an authentic version. GRIFFIN said he discussed this article with Mrs. BEVERLY HECKMAN, secretary at the Commission, who noted the security classification shown in the newspaper article. GRIFFIN stated at first that the document quoted in the news article was secret but subsequently recalled it was confidential. He stated Mrs. HECKMAN had observed in view of the confidential classification, the article must have been taken from one of the Xerox copies made by Ward and Paul Reporters, which bore this classification. GRIFFIN stated he had received a Xerox copy shortly after they were prepared from the original by Ward and Paul, and he ascertained from Mrs. HECKMAN that copy number 3 was charged to him on June 23, 1964. GRIFFIN stated he had worked with this copy for a long time and maintained it in his office. He did not know whether he still had the copy; however, it was located in one of GRIFFIN's file drawers by Mrs. HECKMAN on the date of the interview and was identified further as copy 3 of 5 copies, made by Ward and Paul. GRIFFIN stated he and his associate MURRAY J. LAULICHT had used the above copy often and utilized it as needed. He stated it was possible that other attorneys of the Commission, such as HOWARD WILLENS, ARLEN SPECTER, and NORMAN REDLICH had examined his copy, but he could not specifically recall other men using it and remarked he believed REDLICH had a copy charged to him. GRIFFIN

could not state how many copies of the transcript of the RUBY deposition were made. He was aware that Ward and Paul had made Xerox copies but did not know whether additional Xerox copies were made at the Commission.

GRIFFIN located copy of cover letter dated June 27, 1964, reflecting he had transmitted a copy of the deposition to JOE TONAHILL, Attorney for JACK L. RUBY. GRIFFIN could not recall where this copy came from. He said he did not believe it was a copy made from his copy number 3 of the Ward and Paul copy. He also did not remember any duplicate copies being made from the Ward and Paul material. The copy transmitted to TONAHILL was in response to a letter from TONAHILL dated June 23, 1964, Jasper, Texas, requesting it and advising that TONAHILL wanted to place it in the hands of JOYLOM WEST, who had wanted it in connection with his diagnosis and recommended treatment of RUBY. TONAHILL indicated Doctor WEST wanted all data with reference to statements RUBY was then making.

With further reference to the copy of deposition sent to TONAHILL, mentioned above, GRIFFIN advised he did not know the disposition of other copies and could not state that the copy furnished to TONAHILL was not a copy made at the Commission from the original deposition on file there.

GRIFFIN recalled that he and his associate MURRAY LAULICHT had discovered an error on pages 32 and 33 of the transcript of the deposition of JACK L. RUBY. He stated that the name of a policeman appeared as HARRY CARLSON, whereas it should have been HARRY OLSEN. GRIFFIN stated he made handwritten correction on page 33 of his copy number 3 and also made the correction in the proofs for final printing of the Commission report. However, he did not believe any of the other copies of the deposition were changed. He advised he made this change after correspondence with the Office of the United States Attorney at Dallas, Texas, which office confirmed the error with the court reporter Miss HELEN LAIDRICH, and so advised by letter dated June 26, 1964. GRIFFIN stated he may have telephoned MARTHA JO STROUD, Assistant United States Attorney, in addition to his correspondence concerning this error.

GRIFFIN advised Miss HELEN LAIDRICH, court reporter who transcribed the RUBY deposition, had visited the Commission Office possibly around July 4, 1964, en route to New York to visit the World's Fair. He stated she was driving and was accompanied by her elderly mother. He stated she spent the entire time visiting with him, and he showed her around the office, estimating the visit of Miss LAIDRICH consumed about one half hour.

GRIFFIN advised he does not know DOROTHY KILGALLEN and knows of no one on the Commission or its staff who is acquainted with or associated in any way with Miss KILGALLEN. He stated he possessed no information that DOROTHY KILGALLEN had been in Washington, D. C., around August 18, 1964, or prior to this time. GRIFFIN stated he knew of no one connected with "The Dallas Morning News" and specifically stated he was not acquainted with CARL FREUND, HUGH AYNESWORTH, or PAULA AYNESWORTH. GRIFFIN stated he could offer no tangible lead to identify individual responsible for this leak of information. He said he had a very vague recollection of some luncheon conversation in which it was mentioned or suggested some congressional member of the President's Commission had a staff employee who knew DOROTHY KILGALLEN and was in some way associated with her. GRIFFIN said his recollection of this was so vague and obscure it may even be the product of his own speculations or deductions.

GRIFFIN also speculated upon the possibility that the Xerox copy transmitted to Defense Attorney JOE TONAHILL was possibly made from a Ward and Paul copy and could be the basis for the KILGALLEN articles. GRIFFIN stated TONAHILL was an associate of MELVIN M. BELLI, also former counsel of RUBY, and had handled accident cases in Texas for BELLI. GRIFFIN learned this from Commission Attorney LEON HUBERT. GRIFFIN suggested an analysis of long-distance calls of TONAHILL and KILGALLEN might be productive. GRIFFIN also mentioned that another of the attorneys of RUBY was PHILL BURLESON, who had the reputation of being a straight shooter and might be of some assistance.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 9/20/641

Mr. ALBERT E. JENNER, JR., Senior Staff Attorney was interviewed in the offices of the President's Commission, 200 Maryland Avenue, N.E. He has been with the Commission since January, 1964. He is concerned with the entire background of LEE HARVEY OSWALD and his family with the exception of periods in foreign countries.

He was aware that Chief Justice EARL WARREN was going to Dallas, Texas, to interview JACK RUBY on June 7, 1964. He had been invited to participate but declined since he only has a collateral interest in the RUBY phase of the investigation.

Likewise he is aware that RUBY was to have been afforded a polygraph interview on July 18, 1964. He argued, to no avail, against the advisability of this and took no part in the preliminaries.

He has never read the Commission transcript of either interview, but has read them from galley proofs. He has been to Dallas, Texas, on two or three occasions on Commission business. He has never met any representatives of the press there, or given any interviews. He felt this was the prerogative of the General Counsel.

Mr. JENNER is not acquainted with DOROTHY KILGALLEN or anyone affiliated with the "Dallas Times Herald" or the "New York Journal-American."

He stated he has never furnished any Commission document or information to anyone not entitled to receive it.

Mr. JENNER knows nothing that would excite his suspicions that any member of the Commission staff may have been the source of the disclosures.

COPIES DESTROYED

2 1 JAN 2 1973On 9/19/64 at Washington, D.C. File# WFO 44-520by SA WILLIAM J. LANDER/jgm:jsw Date dictated 9/19/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 9/18/64

WESLEY J. LIEBELER of Newfane, Vermont, presently residing at the Gramercy Motel, Washington, D. C., who is an attorney on the staff of the President's Commission on the Assassination of President **KENNEDY** advised as follows concerning the leaks of information appearing in "The Dallas Morning News," on June 27, 1964, under the by-line of **CARL FREUND**, pertaining to the interview of **JACK RUBY** by Chief Justice **EARL WARREN** of the United States Supreme Court, on June 7, 1964, and the "New York Journal - American," on August 18, 1964, under the by-line of **DOROTHY KILGALLEN** which set forth the results of this interview in verbatim:

His area of assignment pertaining to Commission's work concerns **LEE HARVEY OSWALD**'s background and activities in the United States. Admittedly he had no reason to review the transcripts of Chief Justice **WARREN**'s interview with **RUBY** or **RUBY**'s polygraph examination. Accordingly, he stated he has never seen or read these transcripts. He could, however, have obtained these transcripts from Mrs. **BEVERLY HECKMAN** had he so desired.

He does not know **CARL FREUND** of "The Dallas Morning News" or any other reporter or employee of that newspaper. He does not personally know **DOROTHY KILGALLEN**. He has not furnished transcripts of the **RUBY** interview or **RUBY** polygraph examination to any news media, newspaper, reporter or anyone outside the Commission.

He was in Dallas, Texas, having arrived from New Orleans, on July 22, 1964. He was there for the purpose of taking depositions from two reporters of the "Dallas Times Herald" in connection with **OSWALD**'s purchase of a rifle from a sporting goods store.

While in Dallas, on July 22, 1964, an article appeared in the "Dallas Times Herald" pertaining to **RUBY**'s polygraph examination. He was in the company of **ODELL OLIVER**, a court reporter, at the time and she remarked that the newspaper account was not accurate but close to what transpired.

On 9/17/64 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 44-520

by SAs WILFRED R. SCHLARKAN and JOHN G. GOEDTEL/ jmm Date dictated 9/17/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

WFO 44-520

2

She commented that she had the notes of the polygraph examination which were not yet transcribed.

From the sequence of events, Mr. LIEBELER concluded that the leak of this information could not have occurred in the Commission and must have taken place in Dallas, possibly by someone in attendance at the examination who took down questions and answers, noting that the newspaper account was not verbatim.

He was not aware of any polygraph questions prepared in advance of the examination. He is aware that ARLEN SPECTER did ask the questions. He has no suspicions concerning any members of the staff having leaked this information to the press.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 9/18/64

Mr. **ARTHUR MAEMOR**, Editor and Historian, was interviewed in the offices of the Presidential Commission, 200 Maryland Avenue, N.E., and advised that he is principally concerned with editing and sight checking the report of the Presidential Commission. In this connection he has very little necessity for viewing original transcripts of depositions of testimony.

Concerning the polygraph examination of JACK RUBY, which was held on July 18, 1964, Mr. MAEMOR stated that he had no prior knowledge that the test was to be given. To the best of his recollection he has never seen a transcript of the examination. He knows no one who is affiliated with the "Dallas Times Herald" newspaper and never furnished any Commission information to anyone not entitled to receive it.

Concerning the June 7, 1964, testimony of JACK RUBY taken before Chief Justice EARL WARREN, Mr. MAEMOR stated that to the best of his recollection he has never seen a transcript of this testimony. He is not acquainted with DOROTY KILGALLEN or anyone affiliated with the "New York Journal American" newspaper.

Mr. MAEMOR explained that in his position he deals principally with page proofs and printed pages and only has occasional need to check originals for editing and accuracy. He stated that the most logical people at the Commission to have any necessity to utilize copies of transcripts are the attorneys who conduct the interviews and the authors who write the particular chapters in the Commission report. He continued that most of the editors try to avoid reading transcripts, since the numbering of the pages in the transcripts are different than the numbering of the pages in the page proofs and printed pages of the Commission report.

COPIES DESTROYED

! 21 JAN 2 1973

On 8/17/64 at Washington, D.C. File# WFO 44-520by SAC WILLIAM J. LANDER and WJL:pkg Date dictated 9/17/64ALBERT H. SOLOMON, JR.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 9/19/64

Mr. **NORMAN REDLICH**, 523 Constitution Avenue, N.E., Apartment Number Four, Washington, D. C., advised his permanent residence is 29 Washington Square, New York 11, New York. Mr. REDLICH advised he is Assistant Counsel to the President's Commission on the Assassination of President KENNEDY. He entered on duty with the Commission December 20, 1963. Mr. REDLICH advised he is one of the Special Assistants to J. LEE RANKIN, General Counsel to the Commission. Mr. REDLICH said it is his job to have full knowledge of all facets to the assassination. He does not have any one specific field of interest as do some of the other assistant counsels.

With regard to the unauthorized publication of the polygraph examination of JACK L. RUBY in the "Dallas Times Herald" on July 22, 1964, Mr. REDLICH advised he personally did not divulge the contents of the polygraph examination of RUBY nor does he know who may have done so. Mr. REDLICH said he was in Dallas, Texas, in connection with the Commission's work on only one occasion. That was on the weekend prior to Memorial Day, 1964, when a re-enactment of the assassination took place. Mr. REDLICH said he was not in Dallas at the time the polygraph examination was given to RUBY. He said he does not know FELIX R. MC KNIGHT, Executive Vice President and Editor of the "Dallas Times Herald" nor does he know anyone else connected with that paper. Mr. REDLICH said he has seen the transcript of the polygraph examination and it would have been available to him in connection with his duties within a short time after it was sent to the Commission in Washington. In the ordinary course of events all transcripts were forwarded to him within a day or two after a transcript was received. Mr. REDLICH had a continuing interest in the contents of all documents and because of his interest in all facets of the assassination he would have had the polygraph examination available to him.

21 JAN 2 1973

On 9/18/64 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 44-520by SAs DONALD E. WALTER and AUBREY S. BRENT DEW/eeb/jgm Date dictated 9/18/64

Concerning the unauthorized publication of the complete testimony of JACK L. RUBY before Chief Justice WARREN on June 7, 1964, which appeared in the "New York Journal-American" on August 18, 1964, under the by-line of DOROTHY KILGALLEN, Mr. REDLICH advised he did not disclose the information, or make a copy of the transcript available to her. Furthermore, he has no idea who may have done so. He said he is not personally acquainted with DOROTHY KILGALLEN nor does he know anyone employed by the "Journal-American" including JOSEPH KINGSBURY SMITH, Publisher of the "New York Journal-American." Mr. REDLICH said he had read the RUBY testimony before Chief Justice WARREN as this came to him in connection with his normal duties of being informed on all phases of the assassination. He said he knows of no one on the Commission staff who is personally acquainted with DOROTHY KILGALLEN. He has no idea how she may have come into possession of a transcript. NY

Mr. REDLICH advised that with regard to the unauthorized publication of the testimony of JACK L. RUBY before Chief Justice WARREN on June 7, 1964, which appeared in the "Dallas Morning News" on June 27, 1964, he has no knowledge as to the source of the information for the newspaper. He said he did not personally disclose such information nor does he know who did. He does not know CARL FREUND, a reporter, nor anyone else connected with the "Dallas Morning News."

Mr. REDLICH said he has no specific knowledge on the number of copies made of transcripts sent to the Commission nor does he know how they are distributed internally. It is a normal procedure for him personally to receive a copy of all transcripts on important documents within a day or two after arrival in the office. He has no knowledge concerning the internal control of the documents nor whether he has been

WFO 44-520

charged specifically with each item. He has made no effort to return any of the documents as he has continuing use for many of the items. Some of the drafts he would take to his home to read but they were secure in his possession. He knows of no receipt system for documents and explained attorneys on the Commission have felt free to use copies of testimony in which they were interested which had been sent to other attorneys. Mr. REDLICH said he has made no effort to become knowledgeable on the administrative detail on handling Commission documents.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1Date 9/18/64

Miss ALFREDDA SCOBEEY, ~~Attorney~~, and legal consultant on the staff of the President's Commission on the Assassination of President Kennedy, was interviewed at the office of the Commission on September 17, 1964. Miss SCOBEEY advised she represents Senator RICHARD RUSSELL, member of the Commission, and reads material directed for his attention in order that Senator RUSSELL might be kept fully and currently informed of all proceedings. Miss SCOBEEY maintains permanent residence at 1283 Cumbrind Road, N. E., Atlanta, Georgia. Miss SCOBEEY's legal office address is at the Court of Appeals, State of Georgia, Atlanta, Georgia.

Miss SCOBEEY advised she was cognizant of the "leak" of the JACK L. RUBY testimony which was published under the by-line of DOROTHY KILGALLEN in the "New York Journal-American" newspaper. Referring to the transcript of the JACK RUBY testimony on June 7, 1964, at Dallas, Texas, before Chief Justice EARL WARREN, Miss SCOBEEY stated that she received copy number two of five of the reproductions which were bound in yellow cover (Ward and Paul copies) and in addition, had received a Xerox copy furnished to Senator RUSSELL when such copies were directed to all Commission members by a memo from J. LEE RANKIN, General Counsel. Miss SCOBEEY stated she had turned her copy number two (Ward and Paul copy) over to Mrs. BEVERLY HECKMAN on this date and in Agents' presence, Miss SCOBEEY initialed this copy which previously had been furnished by Miss HECKMAN.

Miss SCOBEEY advised she was not personally acquainted with DOROTHY KILGALLEN; did not know any Commission member or employee who was acquainted with DOROTHY KILGALLEN and she stated she had no suggestion as to how DOROTHY KILGALLEN came into possession of the testimony which appeared in the newspaper.

Miss SCOBEEY stated she has not been responsible for any information pertaining to interviews of JACK RUBY being "leaked" to any news media.

COPIES DESTROYED

21 JAN 2 1973

On 9/17/64 at Washington, D. C. File# WFO 44-520by SAs HOMER E. HAUER and
CARL E. GRAHAM CEG:nlm Date dictated 9/18/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 9/18/64

Mr. W. DAVID SLAWSON, 1838 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Apartment 404, and 1129 Pearl Street, Apartment 4, Denver, Colorado, advised he is Assistant Counsel with the President's Commission on the Assassination of President KENNEDY. He entered on duty with the Commission January 21, 1964. His field of interest in the Commission's work has been foreign connections of LEE HARVEY OSWALD, principally Cuba and Russia.

With regard to the unauthorized publication of the polygraph examination of JACK L. RUBY in "The Dallas Times Herald" on July 22, 1964, Mr. SLAWSON advised he did not personally divulge the information, nor does he know who did. He said he has never been in Dallas, Texas. He stated he is not acquainted with FELIX R. MC KNIGHT, Executive Vice President and Editor of "The Dallas Times Herald" nor, so far as he knows, anyone else on that paper or living in the city of Dallas, Texas. Mr. SLAWSON said he believes he has never seen a copy of the polygraph examination of RUBY. He explained the work he handles is voluminous and his specialization in the foreign area would limit his interest in such testimony as given by RUBY on the polygraph.

Concerning the unauthorized publication of the complete testimony of JACK L. RUBY before Chief Justice WARREN on June 7, 1964, which appeared in the "New York Journal-American" on August 18, 1964, under the by-line of DOROTHY KILGALLEN, Mr. SLAWSON said he did not furnish a copy of the testimony to DOROTHY KILGALLEN, nor does he know who may have done so. He said he is not acquainted with DOROTHY KILGALLEN, nor does he know anyone who knows her personally. He said he does not know JOSEPH KINGSBURY-SMITH, publisher of the "New York Journal-American" nor anyone else on that newspaper. He added he has never read the transcript of RUBY which was printed in the "New York Journal-American" explaining that it too was far removed from his special field in the Commission's work.

21 JAN 2 1973

On 9/17/64 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 44-520

by SAS AUBREY S. BRENT and DONALD E. WALTER DEW:nln/jaw Date dictated 9/17/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

WFO 44-520

2
f

Mr. SLAWSON advised that with regard to the unauthorized publication of the testimony of JACK L. RUBY before Chief Justice WARREN on June 7, 1964, which appeared in "The Dallas Morning News" on June 27, 1964, he has no knowledge as to the source of the information for the newspaper. He said he personally did not disclose such information, nor does he know who did. He advised he is not acquainted with CARL FREUND, a reporter, nor anyone else connected with "The Dallas Morning News."

As noted above, Mr. SLAWSON stated that he has never seen the transcript of JACK L. RUBY's testimony taken on June 7, 1964, at Dallas, Texas, and he has no idea how many copies of this transcript were reproduced and has no information whatsoever concerning the dissemination of such copies. He knows of no charge-out system and it is his observation that documents in possession of the Commission freely move from person to person.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 9/19/64

SAMUEL A. STERN, 3110 Newark Street, N. W., Washington, D. C., a member of the staff of the President's Commission on the Assassination of President **KENNEDY**, advised as follows concerning the leaks of information which appeared in "The Dallas Morning News," on June 27, 1964, under the by-line of **CARL FREUND** pertaining to the interview of **JACK RUBY** by Chief Justice **EARL WARREN** of the United States Supreme Court and the "New York Journal-American," on August 18, 1964, under the by-line of **DOROTHY KILGALLEN** which set forth this information verbatim:

His area of assignment as a staff member of the Commission concerned the adequacy of Presidential protection. In this connection, he made only one trip to Dallas, Texas, during April or May, 1964, for approximately four days duration. While in Dallas, he had no contact with the press. He does not know **CARL FREUND** or any other reporters or employees of "The Dallas Morning News."

He does not personally know **DOROTHY KILGALLEN** or any other reporters and employees of the "New York Journal-American."

In light of the nature of his assignment, he had no occasion to use the transcript of Chief Justice **WARREN**'s interview of **RUBY** on June 7, 1964. Admittedly, he has never seen the **RUBY** testimony.

He has never furnished to any news media, newspaper, reporter or to anyone else outside the Commission or staff for deliverance to the press, any information pertaining to Commission documents.

With respect to an article which appeared in the "Dallas Times Herald," on July 22, 1964, pertaining to the polygraph examination of **RUBY**, Mr. **STERN** advised as follows:

COPIES DESTROYED

21 JAN 2 1973

On 9/18/64 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 44-520

by SAs WILFRED R. SCHLARMAN and JOHN G. GOEDEL/ jmm Date dictated 9/18/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

He has never seen the transcript of the polygraph examination and as a matter of fact was unaware that this examination had taken place until he read about it in the press. He was aware that the possibility of such an examination had been discussed within the Commission but had never learned of the decision to proceed.

He stated about the time the examination was made he had substantially returned to his private law practice and had little contact thereafter with Commission work.

He neither knows any reporters nor employees of the "Dallas Times Herald." He never furnished any Commission documents or other information to the press or anyone else outside the Commission or its staff for deliverance to the press.

He only has a general knowledge of the administrative procedure in handling transcripts of witnesses. He believes that when a witness testifies before the Commission, ten copies of the results are prepared by Ward and Paul, a legal publishing firm, in Washington, D. C. Of the ten copies one each goes to six Commission members, Mr. J. LEE RANKIN, the staff lawyer involved and the witness. He does not know what procedure was followed in making the RUBY transcript available to the Dallas, Texas, authorities or to RUBY's attorney. However, he believes that a copy of testimony regarding RUBY matters is furnished to RUBY's attorney. He does not know if the firm of Ward and Paul retains any copies but believes this may be possible.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 9/18/64

Mr. HOWARD P. WILLENS, Executive Assistant to General Counsel J. LEE RANKIN, President's Commission on the Assassination of President KENNEDY, was interviewed at the offices of the Commission, 200 Maryland Avenue, N.E. He advised that he is an attorney, and has been attached to the Commission since its inception, specifically since December 17, 1963. He added that he has handled liaison matters between the Commission and the Department of Justice, and is responsible to Mr. RANKIN for administrative direction of the Commission's activities.

In regard to the interview of JACK RUBY at Dallas, Texas, on June 7, 1964, by Chief Justice EARL WARREN and staff, information concerning the results of which appeared in the June 27, 1964, issue of "The Dallas Morning News," in an article under the by-line of CARL FREUND, and the full transcript of which appeared in the August 18, 1964, issue of the "New York Journal American," under the by-line of DOROTHY KILGALLEN, Mr. WILLENS advised as follows:

He stated that he was not present at this interview of RUBY in Dallas, on June 7, 1964. He said also that in his assignment at the Commission, he receives documents and materials shortly after their arrival at the Commission offices, and therefore saw and read the transcript of this interview a few days after the interview took place. Mr. WILLENS recalled that after having read this transcript, he had made arrangements to have copies made by the firm of Ward and Paul, in Washington, for use of the Commission and staff. Sometime later, additional copies of this transcript were prepared in the offices of the Commission for the use of Commission members and the staff. Mr. WILLENS maintained one of these copies in his desk in his office at the Commission until the time of the KILGALLEN story in the "Journal American." He had had no occasion to refer to this document for some time, and made a point of checking to see whether his copy was still in his possession. He said that he did locate the copy in his desk drawer, and on September 17, 1964, had turned it over to Mrs. BEVERLY HECKMAN, Mr. RANKIN's secretary, in connection with instant inquiry.

21 JAN 2 1973

On 9/17/64 at Washington, D.C. File # WFO 44-520by SAs ALBERT H. SOLOMON, JR. and WILLIAM J. LANDER AHS:knnDate dictated 9/17/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to you and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

Mr. WILLENS stated that on a trip to Dallas in March, 1964, on Commission business, he had granted interviews to two reporters, one from each of the Dallas newspapers. It is his recollection that neither of these men was CARL FREUND. He added that he can not recall having met anyone else connected with the Dallas papers. Mr. WILLENS stated that he does not know DOROTHY KILGALLEN, and that he can not recall ever having met anyone connected with the "New York Journal American."

Mr. WILLENS stated emphatically that he had not furnished documents or information which resulted in the disclosures in the press on this matter, to anyone.

Mr. WILLENS commented, when asked whether he had any information which might assist in determining the possible source of this unauthorized disclosure, that during the Democratic National Convention at Atlantic City, in late August, 1964, he had been told by a reporter for "Newsweek" magazine, whom he stated he considered young but reliable, that a rumor was prevalent among the newsmen that DOROTHY KILGALLEN had been seen on the West Coast in the company of MELVIN BELLI shortly before the appearance of the KILGALLEN articles on the June 7, 1964, interview of RUBY. Mr. WILLENS stated that this was strictly rumor, according to his source, and that he could not offer any additional information concerning the matter.

With regard to the polygraph examination afforded JACK RUBY at Dallas, Texas, on July 18, 1964, the complete transcript of which appeared in the July 22, 1964, issue of the "Dallas Times-Herald" newspaper, Mr. WILLENS advised that he was not present at this polygraph examination of RUBY. He stated, however, that prior to the examination, he had seen and discussed with Commission staff members proposed questions to be used in the examination. According to Mr. WILLENS these were questions on specific subjects, and was not in any sense, the complete list of questions to be asked of RUBY.

Mr. WILLENS said that his first knowledge of the results of the polygraph examination was obtained by means of a telephone call made to him by ARLEN SPECTER, staff attorney, who was present at the examination. SPECTER, according to Mr. WILLENS, had given him a summary of what had transpired at this examination, including RUBY's reactions during the

examination. It was Mr. WILLENS' recollection that he had received this call from SPECTER two or three days after the examination, and before the transcript thereof had reached the Commission. Mr. WILLENS stated specifically that SPECTER had not furnished him information concerning specific questions and answers in the examination, and that SPECTER certainly had not made available to him anything that could be considered the complete testimony of RUBY on this occasion.

Mr. WILLENS said that, as with almost all documents coming in to the Commission, he had seen the transcript of this examination virtually at the moment it arrived at the Commission. He believed this to have been within several days after the examination took place.

Mr. WILLENS stated that except as explained earlier, he can not recall having met any representative of the Dallas newspapers. He commented that he can not recall ever having known anyone connected with the "Dallas Times Herald."

Mr. WILLENS stated emphatically that he had not furnished documents or information which resulted in the disclosure in the press on this matter to anyone.

Mr. WILLENS commented that in the administrative procedures of the Commission, there is no problem in the reproducing of Commission documents by any member of the staff, for staff use.

Mr. WILLENS said that he has read the FBI reports of investigation into the unauthorized disclosures of Commission information and documents in the press. He said that he has formed the conclusion that these disclosures occurred in Dallas. He added that he has implicit faith in the members of the Commission staff, and is satisfied that no Commission employee was the source of any of these disclosures.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 9/18/64

Mr. EDWARD A. CONROY, Planning and Program Branch, Inspection Service, Internal Revenue Service, Washington, D. C., advised he worked for the President's Commission looking into the assassination of President KENNEDY from January 16, 1964, until May 18, 1964. He said since his employment with the Commission terminated prior to June 7, 1964, that he had no knowledge concerning the transcript of the interview Chief Justice WARREN and members of the President's Commission had with JACK RUBY at Dallas, Texas, on June 7, 1964. He said DOROTHY KILGALLEN is not known to him personally.

Mr. CONROY also advised he has never seen the results of the polygraph examination afforded JACK RUBY at the Dallas County Jail, Dallas, Texas, on July 18, 1964.

COPIES DESTROYED

21 JAN 2 1973

On 9/18/64 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 44-520

by SA ARNOLD L. PARRHAM/jmm Date dictated 9/18/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 9/18/64

D.C.

Dr. ALFRED GOLDBERG, Editor, was interviewed in the offices of the Presidential Commission, 200 Maryland Avenue, N.E., and advised that he has never seen a transcript of the polygraph examination afforded to JACK RUBY on July 18, 1964, in Dallas, Texas. He has only been to Dallas, Texas, on Commission business on one occasion, that being in early April, 1964. He had nothing to do with prior preparation of polygraph questions and is not acquainted with anyone affiliated with the "Dallas Times Herald" newspaper. Dr. GOLDBERG stated that he has read some of the Federal Bureau of Investigation reports on the investigation conducted in Dallas, Texas, concerning the leak of information concerning the polygraph examination and has always felt that the leak took place in Dallas, rather than at the Commission.

Dr. GOLDBERG continued that he very probably saw the Commission transcript of the June 7, 1964, interview between JACK RUBY and Chief Justice EARL WARREN within a week after it was received at the Commission offices. As he recalls, the transcript was bound in a jacket that was probably yellow in color, but may have been blue. He stated that he read this transcript and then returned it to the front office of the Commission. He cannot recall having seen it since and added that in his work he would have no occasion to refer back to the original transcript.

Dr. GOLDBERG explained that his editorial responsibility is spread over the entire Commission report and his individual writing is only indirectly concerned with JACK RUBY.

He stated that he is not acquainted with DOROTHY KILGALLEN or anyone affiliated with the "New York Journal American" newspaper. He stated he has never made any Commission information available to anyone not entitled to receive it.

COPIES DESTROYED

On 9/17/64 at Washington, D.C. File # WFO 44-520

by SAs WILLIAM J. LANDER and WJL:pkg Date dictated 9/17/64

ALBERT H. SOLOKON, JR.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

WFO 44-520

2

Dr. GOLDBERG stated that he recalls reading somewhere to the effect that during the time that RUBY was being interviewed by Chief Justice WARREN, DOROTHY KILGALLEN was in Dallas, Texas, and had been seen talking to RUBY and his attorneys.

He added that frequently copies are made of Commission documents by people in the Commission who have need for them. He added that this is not normally done in the case of transcripts and that additional copies of these are usually made commercially.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date: 9/19/64

1
 Mr. JOHN HART ELY, Law Clerk to Chief Justice EARL WARREN, was interviewed in the offices of the President's Commission, 200 Maryland Avenue, N.E. He stated that from approximately February 15 to June 15, 1964, he was on loan to the President's Commission. From approximately June 15 to July 15, 1964, he was on vacation, during which time he was studying for and took a bar examination. From approximately July 15 to August 15, 1964, he was employed as Law Clerk in the office of Chief Justice WARREN. Effective August 15, 1964, he returned to the President's Commission, where he has been exclusively engaged working on the LEE HARVEY OSWALD biography up to the night before the assassination of President JOHN F. KENNEDY.

He stated that he has been to Dallas, Texas, on one occasion in either March or April, 1964, on Commission business. During that period he met no reporters and is not acquainted with any reporters on either of the Dallas newspapers. He does not know anyone affiliated with "The Dallas Morning News" or the "Dallas Times Herald." Mr. ELY stated that he knows nothing concerning the June 7, 1964, interview between JACK RUBY and Chief Justice WARREN and has never seen a transcript of that interview. He is not acquainted with DOROTHY KILGALIEN and knows no one affiliated with the "New York Journal American." He never made any Commission information available to anyone not entitled to receive it.

Mr. ELY stated that he has never seen a transcript of the polygraph examination afforded to JACK RUBY on July 18, 1964, in Dallas, Texas, and had no prior knowledge that the interview was to be conducted. He did not make any information available concerning this examination to anyone.

Mr. ELY stated that during the period he was on vacation and working as Law Clerk for Chief Justice WARREN he had no access to Commission documents and had no occasion to be cognizant of them.

COPIES DESTROYED :

2 JAN 2 1973

On 9/18/64 at Washington, D.C. File # WFO 44-520by SAs WILLIAM J. LANDER and W.JL:pkg Date dictated 9/18/64ALBERT H. SOLOMON, JR.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 9/19/64

1
 JOHN J. O'BRIEN, Assistant Chief, Investigative Branch, Inspection Service, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Headquarters, Washington, D. C., furnished the following information:

O'BRIEN was detailed to the President's Commission by the IRS from January to May, 1964, along with EDWARD A. CONROY, also of IRS. During Commission assignment, O'BRIEN worked in the Commission Office located in the Veterans of Foreign Wars Building, 200 Maryland Avenue, N.E., Washington, where he and CONROY had been furnished a private office and a personal secretary.

O'BRIEN and CONROY had been assigned the specific project of the "Preparation of Chronological History of the Principal Characters of the Assassination." These "Principal Characters" consisted of President JOHN F. KENNEDY, LEE HARVEY OSWALD, Mrs. LEE HARVEY OSWALD and JACK RUBY. In addition, O'BRIEN and CONROY were requested to submit recommendations for additional coverage of possible value to the investigation. When these specific assignments were completed, O'BRIEN and CONROY were released from detail with the Commission and returned to direct IRS employment.

O'BRIEN and CONROY were detailed to the Commission during the early phases of the Commission's work, and in the completion of their assignment they personally reviewed all Federal Bureau of Investigation and Secret Service reports from which they extracted material needed to compile their chronological history.

O'BRIEN had all Commission records and documents available to him during the entire duration of his detail to the Commission. All other Commission staff members and employees also had free and unlimited access to the Commission

COPIES DESTROYED

On 9/18/64 ² of JAN 2, 1972 Washington, D. C. File # WFO 44-520

by SA EUGENE C. GIES/jgm Date dictated 9/18/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

records and documents. General distribution of documents among Committee employees was necessary to effect efficient operations.

The Commission staff was divided into six groups, each of which consisted of a Senior Attorney, a Junior Attorney and other staff members. The Commission also employed an abundance of secretarial and clerical employees.

The American Bar Association also had been provided space within the Commission Offices where Commission documents would have been available to them.

Security from outside penetration of Commission space by the press or other unauthorized personnel was maintained in an effective manner by the General Services Administration guard force in the building. All pertinent documents and papers of the Commission were maintained in safes and other security devices when not in use during evening hours.

O'BRIEN does not consider the leak of information from Commission files to have been resultant from unauthorized penetration of Commission space. He does consider that the information could have been leaked by members of the Committee's staff or by members of some other governmental agency or business concern which had authorized access to the pertinent information during some phase of the Commission's investigation.

O'BRIEN was unable to furnish any specific information revealing or indicating the sources of leakage of Commission material. He could provide no suspects in this regard.

O'BRIEN noted that he was no longer with the Commission at the time of JACK RUBY's testimony on June 7, 1964 before the Commission nor at the time of RUBY's polygraph examination on July 18, 1964 at the Dallas County, Texas, Jail.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 9/18/64

Mr. STUART R. POLLAK, 3603 R Street, N. W., Washington, D. C., an attorney on the staff of the President's Commission on the Assassination of President KENNEDY, advised as follows concerning the leaks of information appearing in "The Dallas Morning News," on June 27, 1964, under the by-line of CARL FREUND, pertaining to the interview of JACK RUBY by Chief Justice EARL WARREN of the United States Supreme Court, on June 7, 1964, and the "New York Journal-American," on August 18, 1964, under the by-line of DOROTHY KILGALLEN which set forth the results of this interview verbatim:

Concerning his area of work, Mr. POLLAK stated that he frequently used one of the bound copies of RUBY's testimony before Chief Justice WARREN until approximately four weeks ago when the galley proofs of this testimony were obtained from the Government Printing Office. Since that time he has worked solely from the galley proofs. Generally he obtained the bound copy of the testimony from the Office of Mr. BURT GRIFFIN and did not have to charge it out. On other occasions he obtained the document from Mrs. JULIA EIDE or Mrs. BEVERLY HECKMAN and it was charged to him.

Mr. POLLAK said that while it was in his office overnight it was locked in his file cabinet. He has no specific recollection of taking it home with him although he did take home other material relating to his work.

Mr. POLLAK does not know CARL FREUND of "The Dallas Morning News," nor any other employee or reporter of that paper. He does not know DOROTHY KILGALLEN nor any other employee or reporter of the "New York Journal - American."

Mr. POLLAK said he did not make a copy of RUBY's testimony available to anyone connected with any news media nor anyone else outside the Commission.

Concerning the article appearing in the "Dallas Times Herald" on July 22, 1964, pertaining to the polygraph examination of RUBY on July 18, 1964, Mr. POLLAK advised as follows:

On 9/17/64 at Washington, D. C. File# WFO 44-520

by SAs JOHN G. GOEDEL and WILFRED R. SCHLARMAN/ jmm Date dictated 9/17/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

COPIES DESTROYED

2 JAN 2 1973

WFO 44-520

2

He had occasion to utilize the polygraph transcript to a somewhat lesser degree than the copy of RUBY's testimony. He obtained this transcript in the same manner as the testimony and provided the same degree of security.

Mr. POLLAK does not know any reporter or employee of the "Dallas Times Herald" and did not make the polygraph transcript available to any news media nor anyone else outside the Commission.

Mr. POLLAK said he could not conceive of any member of the Commission or staff leaking this information outside the Commission. He noted that copies of this material are available to RUBY or his attorneys and in view of the attitude of RUBY's sister, EVA GRANT, feels that she may be the source of these leaks. Mr. POLLAK added that he has no basis in fact for this opinion.

Texas

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 9/18/64

CHARLES NORMAN SHAFFER, 8510 16th Street, N. W.,
Silver Spring, Maryland, furnished the following information:

Mr. SHAFFER advised that he is presently employed as a Special Attorney, Criminal Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D. C., and was so employed just prior to December 25, 1963, when he was assigned to the legal staff of Commission Counsel J. LEE RANKIN, of the President's Commission handling the investigation of the assassination of President JOHN F. KENNEDY. He continued in this capacity until the early part of April, 1964, at which time he returned to the Department of Justice to handle several cases involving court trials. He said that because of the pressure of his own work he did not return to the Commission legal staff.

He indicated that his work on the legal staff of the Commission entailed reviewing reports, suggesting courses of investigation, and assisting at conferences concerning legal phases of the investigation. He said that inasmuch as he left the Commission in April, 1964, he had not seen or handled the testimony of JACK RUBY which was taken by Chief Justice EARL WARREN at Dallas, Texas, on June 7, 1964. He said he was not aware of how many copies were made of this testimony nor who would have the copies nor who would have access to them.

He said that at no time was he ever approached by anyone to reveal the results of the investigation by the Commission, nor did he know of anyone who had been approached in this manner. He said he was aware that DOROTHY KILGALLEN had published part of the JACK RUBY testimony, but he did not know how this testimony was obtained by her or who might have been responsible for the leak. He said he could furnish the names of no suspects who might have been responsible for leaking results of the Commission investigation to the press.

COPIES DESTROYED

21 JAN 2 1973On 9/18/64 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 44-520by SAs PHILIP C. LEWIS and
EDEARD W. PENNYPACKER/jmm Date dictated 9/18/64

8
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONDate 9/18/64

Mr. LLOYD L. WEINREB, Attorney, was interviewed in the offices of the Presidential Commission, 200 Maryland Avenue, N.E., and advised that he has only been assigned to the Commission staff since August 3, 1964. Prior to that he was a clerk at the Supreme Court. DC

He has never read a transcript of the polygraph examination which was afforded to JACK RUBY on July 18, 1964, in Dallas, Texas, and was not aware that a purported copy of the transcript appeared in the "Dallas Times Herald" until he was so advised during the interview. He is not acquainted with anyone affiliated with the "Dallas Times Herald."

Mr. WEINREB continued that he has never read a copy of the transcript of the interview conducted with JACK RUBY by Chief Justice EARL WARREN. He has read bits of the transcript which appeared in newspapers in the Washington, D.C., area. He is not acquainted with DOROTHY KILGALLEN or anyone affiliated with the "New York Journal American" newspaper. He has never made any Commission information available to anyone not entitled to receive it.

Mr. WEINREB stated that his duties at the Commission are concerned solely with the biography of LEE HARVEY OSWALD up to the night before the assassination of President JOHN F. KENNEDY.

He stated that while at the Commission he heard some gossip at lunch to the effect that DOROTHY KILGALLEN had been seen in Dallas with RUBY's attorneys during the time Chief Justice WARREN was interviewing RUBY.

COPIES DESTROYED

21 JAN 2 1973

On 9/17/64 at Washington, D.C. File # WFO 44-520

by SAs WILLIAM J. LANDER and ALBERT H. SOLOMON, JR. WJL:pkg Date dictated 9/17/64

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 9/18/64

MURRAY J. LAULICHT advised he is presently residing at 6040 14th Street, N.W., Washington, D. C., Apartment 119, telephone 882-1736. His permanent address is at 67-30 Clyde Street, Forest Hills, New York, telephone number BO 3-6924. He stated he graduated from the Columbia Law School on June 2, 1964, and the following date entered on duty with the Staff of the President's Commission on the Assassination of President Kennedy (The Commission), at Washington, D. C., as a Legal Clerk.

Mr. LAULICHT stated that when initially employed, he applied his time to the reading of various Commission reports for the purpose of acquiring background and also read trial transcripts, did some editing and read newspapers to denote articles of interest to the Commission. In late June or early July, 1964, it was decided to prepare a biography on JACK L. RUBY and he was assigned this task. LAULICHT stated that since this assignment, his work has been principally concerned with matters relating to RUBY, substantially excluding other individuals and aspects of interest to the Commission. During this time, he has worked under the supervision of Staff Attorney BURT W. GRIFFIN and has had considerable contact with two other staff personnel who are associated with GRIFFIN, LEON HUBERT and STUART POLLAK.

LAULICHT stated that since joining the Commission Staff on June 3, 1964, he has never been on any official out of town travel. On weekends, holidays and religious holy days, he visits at his home in New York or at the home of his fiancée in Elizabeth, New Jersey.

LAULICHT stated that some time in advance of July 18, 1964, possibly a week or ten days, he became aware that the Commission was making efforts to afford a polygraph examination to JACK L. RUBY. These efforts were being supervised by Staff Member ARLEN SPECTER. He recalled that BURT W. GRIFFIN had prepared a list of suggested questions for use at the planned polygraph examination. At the request

21 JAN 2 1973

9/17/64 at Washington, D. C.

File # WFO 44-520

SAs MAURICE A. TAYLOR and
KENNETH T. DELAVIGNE

Date dictated 9/17/64

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76

of Mr. J. LEE RANKIN, LAULICHT also prepared a set of proposed questions which he felt would develop the Commission's interest in RUBY. During the preparation of these questions, the exact date the polygraph test was to be held was uncertain, this being due to the many legal and ethical questions which were raised in connection with the giving of the examination. LAULICHT stated he did not attend the polygraph examination at Dallas on July 18, 1964. It is his understanding that the only representative of the Commission was SPECTER. LAULICHT recalled that he, LAULICHT, was in Washington, D. C., on July 18 and 19, 1964. On Sunday, July 19, 1964, he dropped by the Commission Offices for a short while and at this time was told by one of the employees present that the polygraph test had been given to RUBY at Dallas, July 18, 1964. LAULICHT recalled that probably on July 23, 1964, he read in "The Washington Post" a reprint of "The Dallas Times Herald" article of July 22, 1964, purporting to contain the complete questions and answers of the polygraph examination. He recalls that when he later read the actual transcript of the polygraph examination, and compared it with his recollection of the article contained in "The Washington Post," that the "Post" article had consisted of a substantially verbatim account of the proceedings.

LAULICHT believes he did not see a transcript of the questions and answers of the polygraph examination until about ten days following the examination, possibly on July 27, 1964. He was positive he did not first read the transcript until some days after his reading of "The Washington Post" article on about July 23, 1964. He stated he does not know when the transcript was received at the Commission Offices but presumes official records will indicate this. He explained that in the normal course of business at the Commission, when original transcripts of depositions or testimony are received, the original copy is immediately sent to the Ward and Paul Reporting Company for duplicating in order that sufficient copies will be available for contemporaneous review by the interested Commission personnel. In this connection, LAULICHT pointed out that this procedure necessarily makes the deposition unavailable for a day to several days during the duplicating process at Ward and Paul. It is his recollection that he first read the original transcript of the polygraph proceedings which, he explained, indicates that the copies

WFO 44-520
31

had been made by Ward and Paul and returned to the Commission. LAULICHT stated he does not know the location of the offices of Ward and Paul and had never had contact with any representative of that company.

When questioned concerning additional duplication of the polygraph transcript beyond the initial duplication shortly following its arrival by Ward and Paul, LAULICHT stated he had no information whatever concerning this and did not know whether there had been any additional duplication. He was positive the transcript had not been duplicated by anyone on his instructions.

LAULICHT advised that on Monday, July 20, 1964, he was present during the conversation between BURT W. GRIFFIN and ARLEN SPECTER, SPECTER having just returned from the RUBY polygraph examination. LAULICHT was not certain of his recollection but believes that SPECTER told GRIFFIN that during the polygraph examination, RUBY had stated he had initially conceived the idea of shooting OSWALD at 9:30 a.m. on Sunday, November 24, 1963. SPECTER also told GRIFFIN that RUBY stated he had told his roommate, GEORGE SENATOR, he was going to kill OSWALD. LAULICHT stated he considered this to be extremely important information at the time received, because he felt it had direct relevance to premeditation and conspiracy on the part of RUBY. LAULICHT added he was relieved when he subsequently read the news item in "The Washington Post" referred to above, and realized the newspaper had not reported the two items just mentioned above. LAULICHT advised when he subsequently reviewed the transcript of the polygraph test of RUBY, he found that ARLEN SPECTER had not been quite accurate and that the transcript did not specifically state RUBY said he planned to kill OSWALD nor did RUBY say he told GEORGE SENATOR that he was going to kill OSWALD, but rather stated if someone killed OSWALD, Mrs. KENNEDY would not have to return to Dallas.

LAULICHT advised he had no idea who furnished the information which was the basis for the news article published July 22, 1964, in "The Dallas Times Herald," and could furnish no suspects. He stated he had not furnished

any information to anyone concerning the polygraph test afforded JACK RUBY. He stated there had been some speculations made in his presence and recalled his associates, BURT GRIFFIN and Dr. ALFRED GOLDBERG in this connection. LAULICHT stated as he recalled, remarks had been made that this leak could have been caused by WILLIAM F. ALEXANDER, Assistant District Attorney, Dallas, Texas, or JOE TONAHILL, a member of the RUBY defense counsel. In connection with the above, LAULICHT stated he does not know ALEXANDER or TONAHILL, and knew no one connected with any of the Dallas newspapers. He specifically stated he had no reason to suspect any member or employee of the Commission as being involved in the leak of information.

LAULICHT was also questioned concerning his knowledge of the deposition taken from JACK L. RUBY, June 7, 1964, at the Dallas County Jail by Chief Justice EARL WARREN and two news articles purporting to disclose the results of the contents of this deposition, one of which appeared June 27, 1964, in "The Dallas Morning News" under byline of CARL FREUND and the other, a copyrighted story by DOROTHY KILGALLEN, which appeared August 18, 1964, in the "New York Journal American" and quoted the transcript of the RUBY deposition.

LAULICHT stated he was not present in Dallas at the taking of the deposition and observed he did not begin his employment with the Commission Staff until June 3, 1964. He stated that he cannot fix the exact date, but recalls some days following June 7, 1964, he read a copy of the RUBY deposition and is confident this copy was one prepared by the Ward and Paul Reporting Company, the copy then being in the possession of BURT W. GRIFFIN. He said he is confident he never saw or read the original typed copy prepared by Court Reporter HELEN LAIDRICH. He recalled that at various times when GRIFFIN was utilizing his copy of the deposition, that from time to time he may have borrowed another Ward and Paul copy which was then in the possession of Commission employee, NORMAN REDLICH.

LAULICHT stated that in addition to the Ward and Paul copies of the transcript in possession of GRIFFIN and REDLICH, that probably Commission employees LEON HUBERT,

STUART POLLAK and ALFRED GOLDBERG either had copies of the transcript in their possession or from time to time read from the copies in the possession of GRIFFIN or REDLICH. LAULICHT stated that in normal practice, five copies are made of depositions by Ward and Paul. He was positive he had never seen any copies of the RUBY deposition other than Ward and Paul copy. He said he has no information indicating that any other duplications were made, particularly in the Commission Offices. He was positive in his assertion that he made no copies of the transcript and did not furnish or cause to be furnished a copy of the transcript to anyone.

LAULICHT recalled that he read the June 27, 1964, issue of "The Dallas Morning News" following its receipt at the Commission Offices. As he recalled, he probably read it as a part of his newspaper review assignment. He was positive that prior to reading this newspaper article, he had already reviewed a copy of the RUEY deposition. Concerning the newspaper article, it was his recollection that it did not quote the deposition and appeared to consist of a reporter's analysis of the deposition with some quotations added. He further recalled that a large part of the article was concerned with the state of mind of JACK L. RUBY as developed in the deposition.

LAULICHT denied he had ever taken the RUBY transcript out of the Commission Offices, although he did state he had taken depositions of witnesses GEORGE SENATOR and LARRY CRAFT to New York or Elizabeth, New Jersey, on weekends for purpose of review during his leisure time.

LAULICHT repeated that he knew no one on any of the Dallas newspapers and specifically had never met Reporter CARL FREUND.

Concerning the publication in the "New York Journal American" appearing on August 18, 1964, LAULICHT stated he does not know DOROTHY KILGALLEN or anyone associated with her or with the named newspaper. He said he does not know of anyone on the Commission Staff who has any association with Miss KILGALLEN or any representative of the "New York Journal American." He stated he has heard

TO 44-520

no gossip or speculation concerning the identity of the person responsible for the leak to Miss KILGALLEN. He stated he had no information concerning any missing page from any copy of the RUBY deposition nor had he heard of any query made of the Commission Staff in connection with any missing page. He specifically denied he had furnished or permitted the furnishing of the transcript to anyone.

LAULICHT's attention was directed to the visit to Washington, D. C., by Court Reporter HELEN LAIDRICH. He recalled this incident and fixed the date as having been in early July, 1964. He recalled that when she arrived at the Commission Offices, LAIDRICH inquired for BURT GRIFFIN and he chatted with her for a few minutes while she was waiting for GRIFFIN to arrive. The conversation concerned RUBY. He stated he does not recall where GRIFFIN's copy of the RUBY deposition was during the time Miss LAIDRICH was in GRIFFIN's Office, but he speculated it could have been on GRIFFIN's desk or on his own. He was quite positive in his belief that only one copy of the RUBY deposition could have been in the office and visible to Miss LAIDRICH during the fifteen or twenty minutes she was in GRIFFIN's Office.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1

D.C. Date 9/18/64

Mrs. JULIA T. EIDE, administrative assistant to J. LEE RANKIN, General Counsel, President's Commission on the Assassination of President Kennedy, was interviewed at her office on September 17, 1964. Mrs. EIDE stated she presently resides at 4550 MacArthur Boulevard, Washington, D. C., and she has been employed by the Commission since December 15, 1963.

Mrs. EIDE advised that as administrative assistant to Mr. RANKIN, her duties ordinarily do not include the overseeing of documents, as such, as such work generally is handled by Mrs. BEVELLY HECKMAN, secretary; however, on occasion when Mrs. HECKMAN has been absent Mrs. EIDE has handled such matters.

With regard to the testimony of JACK L. RUBY, who was interviewed June 7, 1964, at Dallas, Texas, by Chief Justice EARL WARREN, Mrs. EIDE has no certain recollection of how that testimony physically was brought to the premises of the Commission headquarters, although it was her belief this particular transcript originally was considered as a deposition rather than testimony. She said it was her recollection that because the RUBY hearing on June 7, 1964, was considered a deposition there were only five copies requested to be made of the original transcript. Mrs. EIDE stated she did not handle this matter, although she was aware that later on when the transcription of the hearing was considered as "testimony" additional copies were made of the transcript at the office of the Commission. Mrs. EIDE stated she was not certain how many instances in which hearing proceedings initially had been labeled depositions and later were considered "testimony" but she said she thought this procedure may also have occurred with regard to the hearing of MARINA OSWALD.

Mrs. EIDE stated she did not have the responsibility for recording or the responsibility of the distribution of any documents either within the staff of the Commission or

PIES DESTROYED

JAN 2 1973

9/17/64 at Washington, D. C.File# WFO 44-520SAs HOKER B. HAUER andCARL E. GRAHAM CEG:nln:malDate dictated 9/18/64

Document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

to Commission members. She also stated she was not aware that a copy of the RUBY testimony had been furnished to Attorney JOE TONAHILL at Jasper, Texas. Mrs. EIDE related she was cognizant that a "leak" of the JACK L. RUBY testimony had occurred by its having been published in a newspaper column by DOROTHY KILGALLEN in the "New York Journal-American" newspaper. She stated that she does not know DOROTHY KILGALLEN personally; does not know any Commission member or staff member who might possibly be acquainted with DOROTHY KILGALLEN; and Mrs. EIDE stated she had no information or suggestion as to how this "leak" to DOROTHY KILGALLEN could have occurred.

In response to specific question, Mrs. EIDE stated she was cognizant of a "leak" or "leaks" which occurred with regard to the interrogation of JACK L. RUBY when he was questioned with the use of a polygraph. Again, Mrs. EIDE stated she had no knowledge of how such "leak" or "leaks" might have occurred and no information as to the identity of any person or persons responsible for such "leaks."

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 9/19/64

Jeanne C. Hauer

Mrs. JEANNE HAUER, Secretary, President's Commission on the Assassination of President KENNEDY, was interviewed at the offices of the Commission, 200 Maryland Avenue, N.E. She said that she first came to work for the Commission on April 28, 1964, and that she has served as secretary during the ensuing period for WESLEY J. LIEBELER, Staff Attorney. Mrs. HAUER said that Mr. LIEBELER's area of interest in the Commission's investigation has been the background and possible motive of LEE HARVEY OSWALD. He has been concerned with the life of OSWALD from his birth to the morning of the day on which President KENNEDY was assassinated. D.C.

Mrs. HAUER advised that during her employment with the Commission she has never worked on the phases of the investigation dealing with JACK RUBY. She stated that she has never visited Dallas, Texas. She said specifically that she cannot recall ever having seen or read the transcript of the June 7, 1964, interview of JACK RUBY by Chief Justice EALL WARREN and staff at Dallas, Texas, and that she is satisfied that she has never seen nor read the transcript of the polygraph examination afforded RUBY on July 18, 1964, at Dallas, Texas. Mrs. HAUER said that she is not acquainted with anyone whom she knows to be connected with any Dallas, Texas, newspaper. She said also that she is not acquainted with DOROTHY KILGALLEN or anyone else connected with the "New York Journal American" newspaper. Mrs. HAUER stated specifically that she has never made available to anyone not authorized to receive same, information or documents coming into her possession through her employment with the Commission. In regard to DOROTHY KILGALLEN, Mrs. HAUER said that there was a rumor in the Commission that JOHN J. MC CLOY, member of the Commission, is reportedly a close friend of DOROTHY KILGALLEN.

Mrs. HAUER advised that she has worked in other agencies where strict security of documents was enforced but that her experience indicated this is not the case with the Commission. She said that any member of the Commission staff

COPIES DESTROYED

21 JAN 2 1973

On 9/18/64 at Washington, D.C. File # WFO 44-520by SAs WILLIAM J. LANDER and AHS:phg Date dictated 9/18/64
ALBERT H. SOLOMON, JR.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.