

8 Nov 1962

Dear Sir;  
It is with GREAT FAITH  
IN YOUR INTEGRITY, HONOR, DECENCY  
AND PASSION FOR JUSTICE THAT  
I AM WRITING THIS.

For the last 6 1/2 months  
I HAVE BEEN UNDER A MODIFIED  
FORM OF HOUSE ARREST AT  
THIS SMALL HOTEL IN  
LONG BEACH.

I WAS ACCUSED WITH  
CONSCIOUS AND PRIOR FALSIFICATION  
OF BEING A POSSIBLE AND/OR  
PRESUMABLE ASSASSIN LIKE THE  
PERSON LEE OSWALD (ACCORDING  
TO THE WARREN COMMISSION).  
FOR THAT REASON A 24 HOUR  
A DAY SURVEILLANCE STARTED ON  
MY LIFE AND WITH IT PRESENTS  
THE AN IMPOSSIBILITY TO INTERVIEW

34-NOV-12 1964

5297

Deleted Copy sent  
by letter 1/27/72  
Per FOIA Request

LEO R. O'CONNOR REC-39

NOV 12 1964

ENCLOSURE

NOV 25 1964

CONFIDENTIAL

60  
80  
The conduct of the agents  
of the Bureau during this  
time has had such a  
profound degree of contempt,  
vicious cynicism, and has been  
for the laws of our land,  
the honor of our courts  
and the rights of American  
citizens that it is my  
conservation opinion that  
the honor of the Federal  
Bureau of Investigation, which  
you, Sir, did so much to  
build has been destroyed  
by these men who to the  
degree that the only way it  
can be restored is for every  
man in the Federal Bureau  
of Investigation to go to  
the House of God at their  
conscience and on their knees  
humbly beg God for forgiveness  
for the terrible conditions  
of their souls and how  
they have fallen to live  
the type of characterless

LIFE THEY HAVE SHOWN OVER  
THE LAST 6 MONTHS.

FOR THE LOVE OF GOD,  
ASK YOU TO LOOK INTO THIS  
MATTER AND END THIS  
MUCKERY OF JUSTICE.

Sincerely,  
J. L. Brown

Leo A. Gorman  
3712 E. ANTHEM ST.  
LONG BEACH, CALIF.

8 Nov 1964

Mr. Belmont  
Mr. Mohr  
Mr. DeLoach  
Mr. Casper  
Mr. Callahan  
Mr. Conrad  
Mr. Evans  
Mr. Gale  
Mr. Rosen  
Mr. Sullivan  
Mr. Tavel  
Mr. Trotter  
Tele. Room  
Miss Holmes  
Miss Gandy

Dear Sir;

It is with great faith in your integrity, honor, decency and passion for justice that I am writing this.

For the last 6 1/2 months I have been under a modified form of house arrest at this small hotel I'm at in Long Beach.

I was accused with conscious and prior falsehood of being a possible and/or probable assassin like the person Lee Oswald (according to the Warren Commission). For that reason a 24 hour a day surveillance started on my life which it appears an impossibility to withdraw. The conduct of the agents of the Bureau during this time has had such a profound degree of contempt, vicious cynicism, and scorn for the laws of our land, the honor of our courts and the rights of American citizens that it is my considered opinion that the honor of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, which you, Sir, did so much to build has been destroyed by these men to the degree that the only way it can be restored is for every man in the Federal Bureau of Investigation to go to the House of God of their conscience and on their knees humbly beg God for forgiveness for the terrible condition of their souls and vow never again to live the type of characterless life they have shown over the last 6 1/2 months.

For the love of God, I ask you to look into this matter and end this mockery of justice.

Sincerely,

Leo A. Gorman

Leo A. Gorman  
3712 E. Anaheim St.  
Long Beach, Calif.

COPY:skw

AN ANALYSIS OF THE CHARACTER OF THE ASSASSIN  
PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY

Lee Harvey Oswald died before he was given the opportunity of a trial for the murder of the President and thus the case of the assassination of President John F. Kennedy will never legally be solved. Oswald will always be recorded in American History as the suspected and probable assassin. We have the further knowledge that one of the sternest admonitions in the Bible is that of: "Judge not lest ye be judged."

With the above being accepted as a foundation, the reason I have undertaken this analysis of the probable assassin of the President is that to the extent that the personality characteristics of this man and any man in general like him could constitute the makeup of a man who might and would possibly assassinate a President or other high government official, it would be a civic duty in conscience of a private citizen to communicate such an analysis to agencies which are charged by law with the responsibilities of safeguarding the life of the President and other officials since they have the obligation in prudence to consider possible individuals who might be construed as a cause for concern in the fulfilling of the tasks of their profession.

I don't know Oswald personally but taking the reports of him and the manifestations of his character as given in the news media, I would hold that an atheist could perpetrate this horrible crime. For the purposes of this analysis I will assume a fictional character named Oswald who does perpetrate this crime to re-examine the facts that, while the human being Oswald cannot be licitly judged, the mind naturally seeks a solution to the crime.

When a person believes in and has obedience to Almighty God, he accepts the existence and obligation to build a spiritual life and moral code as given by this Creator as ruling his conduct as a person individually and in association with other persons in society. For such a person, the center of his life is Almighty God. His actions, behavior and thoughts are performed with reference to God and His Laws. There also exists other possibilities as a philosophy of life. A person can deny that there is a one, true God in Heaven Who created life and rules men and all that exists, and in so doing the person who believes this way now makes himself the center of life and society and in so doing, in effect, makes himself a god. The false "god" that an atheist makes of himself is all-powerful and almighty to the extent of the maximum effect that his will can have in life.

As opposed to the Creator Who is perfection itself and Whose goodness, mercy and justice are infinite, the false "god" that an atheist makes of himself may exercise his will to its maximum power in envy, hatred and malice. To such a person, if the impulse presents itself of beating his wife, since his will is the center of the universe and its exercise is held to be a good, he therefore beats his wife. If the envy, malice and hatred of our country and its constitutional head, the President of the United States as an office and the individual man who holds that office with courage and dedication, is powerful enough to present to the will of that atheist the desire to express these evil qualities and, further, if there is no moral frame of reference restraining this will but the will acting is the good sought after; then the person may take the life of

this man who was the President of our nation in an assassination murder. Let us assume a fictional Oswald is such a man.

Los Angeles Times by Robert E. Thompson datelined Dallas - approximately November 23, 1963.

"Lee H. Oswald, 24, an ex-Marine, was booked as Mr. Kennedy's alleged assassin after he had been interrogated for nearly eight hours by federal, state and local law enforcement officers, Dallas Police Chief J. E. Curry, told newsmen Oswald had not confessed.

But, the Chief said, he would be charged with murder based on physical evidence. . . Investigators said they still have considerable work to do on the case but are convinced that Oswald is guilty of the President's murder. Police said Oswald admitted he was in the textbook warehouse building from which the shots that killed the President were fired. Oswald would not account for his whereabouts at the time of the assassination, police said. . . but from the moment Oswald was apprehended, the primary objective of the FBI, the Secret Service, Texas Rangers, and the Dallas Police was to determine whether he fired the fatal shot at the President. Curry said Oswald admitted his Communist sympathies and activities in the pro-Castro "Fair Play for Cuba Committee." But, beyond that, Curry said, Oswald would admit nothing. The Police Chief described Oswald as a stoic."

The reason he refuses to account for his whereabouts and does not confess follows from his past pattern of behavior of defiance of authority. His face shows considerable frustration as a general condition but from the news photos of him just before the warehouse shooting between officers J. R. Leavelle and L. C. Graves, as a specific condition his face and bearing show considerable self-composure and contentment.

In the police interrogation room while all the investigations from the different agencies stand around him, he has this self-assurance and refuses to confess a crime he committed since he feels a sense of power and superiority to the men around him as he watches their helplessness in the face of the effect of his will. Their chief interest is not in obtaining a confession from him as such. On this day, every man questioning him ardently wishes that this deed could be undone. He sees their uneasiness and exults in the fact that it was the action of his will that is the power in front of which they are helpless.

Most people seldom look at other persons as those persons are, but rather superimpose the qualities they consider important as an overlay to another's character. The average person refers to the assassin as a "kook" or "nut". The reason they say this is that they never look at the assassin as one human being and what he is like but rather project this overlay of their own traits on him and come up with confusion. Nearly all of us spent the weekend of November 22-24 in a state of shock as a result of the difficulty in assimilating the enormity of this crime.

The average person starts out with the following premises:

- (1) I love my country and assume that others do too.
- (2) I would never murder any one and I assume that no one else would.

When a person comes along who retains in his character contradictions of both of these statements to a profound degree and is prepared and does act in reality with these evil elements in his character as motives, no one has to be ashamed of their confusion in trying to understand such an individual.

While the suspect has this sense of power, there also is the fact of his lack of comprehension of the significance of what he has done since if, to him, the exercise of his will is the main good in life, then the social implications of his acts is a meaningless term. With such a person you could mention Kennedy, assassination, Connally and murder all day long and he wouldn't bat an eyelash or twitch a lip. These terms are of no importance to him.

The exercise of his will is important to him. The people who are hurt in this exercise of his will are extraneous to his interest. If he was shown a picture of Mrs. Kennedy and her children and was told that as a result of his action this young woman is now a widow and will have to bring up her children without a father, this would have no effect on him since his will acting is important to him and the suffering of this woman and her children are not.

For the purposes of speculation assuming he had not been shot, when he was visited in jail by his wife and mother, he'd ask for newspapers to read what they were saying about him and exult in the glow of his self-importance. This would be an example of how morally obtuse this man is. He would have a silent glow of attention being paid him but would not, because of his moral and spiritual condition, comprehend the abhorrence that the public would experience for his act. At the time of his trial assuming he was found guilty, the newspapers would report that Oswald maintained the same stoic composure as the judge sentenced him that he had all during the trial. The word here would not be stoic but uncomprehending. He would not comprehend the fact that society found his act reprehensible.

Assuming he was sentenced to life imprisonment, as the years passed and the Communist and leftist but non-Communist news media around the world raised the cry that Oswald was innocent and that the assassination was a rightist plot he would never conscientiously say anything to contradict this and would enjoy the attention given him.

As an example which contrasts with this line of behavior and might be considered as the way the average murderer from a motive of passion acts, they had a case in Sicily where an Italian laborer killed his unfaithful wife in a very brutal and vicious manner. For the first fifteen hours he was in custody, the man admitted nothing. At this point the suspect was reported as having going into a catatonic like fit in which he remained his crime fearfully sobbing all the while. Eight hours later after sleeping, he denied any previous verbal confession which he had made. What probably occurred was that the enormity of his act filled him with overwhelming emotions which caused him to act against his own self-interest.

A father of a young boy hits the child in anger and then immediately remorseful. The reason he experiences remorse is because of the love the father has for the child. If he didn't have this love, he wouldn't experience sorrow.

If the reader accepts as a speculation the foregoing analysis and then compares the picture of Oswald from the newspapers before and after he was shot, I would predict that the key feature on his face as he is shot is the lack of comprehension he has even of the significance of his own death.

The question presents itself of the spiritual implications of this event. At the second that the assassin was squeezing the trigger to fire the bullet at 12:30 P.M. on the 22nd of November, Almighty God saw this and as the Ruler of the world, all the societies and people in it and every act and power to act that exists in His creatures could have caused a slight gust of wind which would have swerved the bullet to miss the President by 2 inches. Our Lord had the power to do this, but He did not use it.

It is said that Our Lord never causes evil but rather permits it to occur and that even then He draws good out of the evil act. This assassination was a singularly monstrously evil act.

- (1) Why did our Lord permit it to occur?
- (2) What good does our Lord expect to derive out of this evil act?
- (3) What does He wish that we, His creatures, learn from this event, an understanding which will reflect His glory and goodness?

Possibly we may have to put aside the image of the happy, pleasant America of the past and its problems which has millions of its citizens fleeing a confrontation with their consciences by occupying their attention with subscription pay television, new cars and cars with windows that move at the press of a button and other distractions.

The purpose of our Lord in permitting this horrible crime to occur may well be that he is no longer willing to accept only the implicit belief in God that is the foundation of our society and country but wishes that this profession of allegiance and obedience to Almighty God be publicly made and acted upon by both the individuals and institutions of our country.

This implicit belief exists when a person places his hand on the Bible in court and says that: "I will tell the truth and nothing but the truth, so help me God". The implication is that the words and the Judicial system that rests on their meaning are worthless without the witness of our Lord.

The people of our country have experienced much grief over this event and possibly some of it is excessive. The assassination of President John F. Kennedy, shocking though it is, has to be viewed in a spiritual perspective.

The life of John F. Kennedy belonged to Almighty God and when He, in His wisdom, chose to call his servant to his eternal reward whether on that day, two days before, ten years before or ten years after November 22, 1963, was an expression of His



will--a will which has been received by His creatures, a submissiveness characterized by humility and meekness.

Is all the grief that our country experienced, both individually and collectively, equal to the sorrow that our Blessed Mother felt on the day that she saw her Son carry His cross along the road to Calvary and there watch Jesus be crucified?

As individuals and a country, it may be said that to the extent:

- (a) of our faith in materialism that our scientists are our priests,
- (b) of our faith in secular humanism that our psychiatrists are our priests,
- (c) of our faith in Almighty God that our Catholic Priests are our Priests (i.e. author's partisan view - It would be a Rabbi or Minister for those other faiths).

Truths may be drawn from this terrible event that will show forth the glory of God and contribute to the possibility of drawing more souls to Him. As the natural life of John Kennedy ebbed away, despite the frantic efforts of our magnificent medical doctors and the facilities they have, the faiths of Materialism and Secular Humanism and its ministers, the scientists and psychiatrists, stood by helpless and despairing. One faith, that in Almighty God, demonstrated that it lives even through such terrible events in Glory and Majesty and provides to its adherents the spiritual power of the Love of God which has overcome death.

At the end of this analysis, it is necessary to mention once again that as a person who has left the living Oswald will never be able to be tried for this crime. It is the duty of a Christian to wish the mercy of our Lord on the soul of the man Lee Oswald and leave to Almighty God His judgement.

The only justification that can be presented for undertaking such an analysis is that: confusion most people experience due to the main suspect's untimely death, ignorance of motives and the utmost seriousness of the act perpetrated as of now need themselves with a realistic knowledge of human weakness, to unscrupulous individuals using this situation to make unfounded charges against second parties and in turn leading to unproductive investigative work.

*Leo A. Gorman*  
Leo A. Gorman

July 22, 1964

# Memorandum

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
Belmont \_\_\_\_\_  
Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
DeLoach \_\_\_\_\_  
Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
Evans \_\_\_\_\_  
Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
Trotter \_\_\_\_\_  
Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

TO : Mr. Belmont

DATE: November 19, 1964

FROM : A. Rosen

- 1 - N. P. Callahan
- 1 - C. D. DeLoach
- 1 - W. C. Sullivan  
(R. E. Lenihan)
- 1 - Mr. Belmont
- 1 - Mr. Rosen
- 1 - Mr. Malley
- 1 - Mr. Shroder
- 1 - Mr. Rogge

SUBJECT: LEE HARVEY OSWALD, AKA  
IS - R - CUBA

## BACKGROUND:

Mrs. J. T. (Gertrude) Hunter of Irving, Texas, was one of two women who gave credence to an allegation made by an employee of a gun shop that Oswald, his wife and child visited the gun shop prior to the assassination to have a telescopic sight mounted and sighted on a rifle. Mrs. Hunter and the other woman stated they saw the Oswalds and directed them to the gun shop. Our investigation suggests the allegation was fabricated and that Mrs. Hunter was mistaken in her identification, or lied.

## DETAILS:

On 9/29/64, after publication of the Commission's report and again on 11/12/64, Mrs. Hunter contacted our Dallas Office to express displeasure with the President's Commission Report because it "labeled her a liar." In her most recent contact she stated the FBI was also to blame and endeavored to learn the identity of an Agent from our Houston Office (Edwin Dalrymple) who interviewed Mrs. Doris M. Dominey, responsible for the information used by the Commission to discredit Mrs. Hunter. Mrs. Hunter indicated she might sue Mrs. Dominey. She stated she could not understand why the FBI took the word of Mrs. Dominey without checking out Dominey's personal reputation which she now claims is poor. Mrs. Hunter was advised the FBI had no control over the preparation and publication of the Commission's report, and any inquiries were at the specific request of the Commission.

Dallas advised Mrs. Hunter was upset at the beginning of the interview but became more cordial at the end stating she had no complaint with the FBI but was still angry with the Commission. She indicated she was still going to try to learn the Agent's identity who interviewed Mrs. Dominey through friends in Houston and might write the Commission. (She was not furnished SA Dalrymple's identity.)

Enclosures (2) sent 11-20-64

RDR:jad

(9)

CONTINUED - OVER

79 NOV 25 1964

6298  
NOV 23 1964

Rosen to Belmont memo  
RE: LEE HARVEY OSWALD, AKA

Basis for Hunter Inquiry:

The Commission by letter 8/6/64, advised certain statements made by Mrs. Hunter, contained in a deposition obtained by a Commission staff member, needed verification. We were requested to interview Mrs. Dominey and specifically what areas to cover in our questioning.

On 8/13/64, Mrs. Dominey was interviewed and based on the information furnished by her it would appear the statements made by Mrs. Hunter in her deposition were inaccurate to say the least. At this time Mrs. Dominey stated she felt the authorities should be aware of certain "personality traits" of Mrs. Hunter. According to Mrs. Dominey, Mrs. Hunter had a strange obsession for attempting to inject herself into any big event which comes to her attention, that Mrs. Hunter is likely to claim some personal knowledge of any major crime which receives much publicity and that the entire family was aware of the "tall tales" told by Mrs. Hunter and normally the family paid no attention to her.

The results of this interview, including Mrs. Dominey's observations about Mrs. Hunter, were furnished to the Commission by Bureau letter dated 8/19/64. On pages 317 and 318 of the Commission's Report the above observations made by Mrs. Dominey, which were included with our letter to the Commission dated 8/19/64, are set out.

OBSERVATIONS:

The Commission advised us that for all intents and purposes its work terminated as of 9/24/64, when its report was presented to President Johnson. We now disseminate investigative developments to the Department. In view of the possibility of a suit by Mrs. Hunter which might indirectly involve us, it is felt this matter should be brought to the attention of the Department.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the attached communications relating to this matter be approved for transmittal to the Department, and the President's Commission.

gs  
Φ  
Rosen  
Ev  
✓  
W  
K  
A

FBI

Date: 11/18/64

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_

(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL

(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (105-82555)

FROM: SAC, ALBUQUERQUE (105-991) RUC

LEE HARVEY OSWALD  
 IS - R - CUBA  
 OO: DL

Re report SA WILLIAM J. ANDRIS at Newark 11/10/64.

Discreet inquiry Santa Fe reflects MARINA OSWALD has returned to Dallas, exact address not known.

In view of the foregoing, the investigation requested at Albuquerque in rerep should be conducted by Dallas.

The photo of EDWARD NEUNSON furnished by the Newark Office is herewith forwarded to Dallas.

- 3 - Bureau (RM)
  - 2 - Dallas (RM) (100-10461) (Enc.-1)
  - 1 - Newark (105-15291) (Info.) (RM)
  - 1 - Albuquerque
- WRJ:fd  
(7)

*J*

REC-39

5299

*EX-114*

*pal*

*Stoffe*

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_

79 NOV 25 1964 Special Agent in Charge

