

considered him very interesting, and very unique. They asked me if I believed he was mentally ill. I told them I had made no observations which would lead me to this conclusion, but that I felt he was very aggressive, and not to become detailed, very interesting as a study. They asked me about his aggressiveness, and I told them the record of his fighting ability spoke for itself. They said something about or relating to Jack that led to a conversation in which I told them I investigated Jack Ruby from the aspect of whether or not he was a homosexual, and I had in fact discussed this subject directly with Jack Ruby, after I ran down all the leads on this subject I could get. I did this as a last resort to satisfy my mind, and to observe his reaction to my question. I came away reasonably certain that Jack Ruby was not a homosexual, as could be determined by my investigation, and in lieu of any other evidence.

The agents then changed the subject to the security measures around the department during Oswald's presence, and more specifically while he was being transferred. They asked me I believed an officer had passed Jack into the building. I told them it was not in my power to even speculate on this subject. They asked me where I was when the Oswald-Ruby incident took place. I told them I was at home, and heard it on the news. Later, at three o'clock, I reported on duty. They asked me more questions about security measures. I told them that this building is a public building, freely accessible to the public, and that I had no knowledge of what the orders to the officers assigned to secure the building were. They then asked me, "If the orders were to prevent entrance" or words to this effect, did I know of any officer who would allow Ruby to enter. I told them this was not in my power to answer, but that I believe no officer would knowingly violate this order. Then I expressed displeasure at this line of questioning. I felt it was out of order, and in any case beyond my knowledge to answer.