

We went to the I. D. dark room for the interview. They told me that they were investigating Jack Ruby, and were speaking to several officers about the man. Then they read me a statement alleged to have been taken from the Dallas County District Attorney's files pertaining to an arrest I made of Jack Ruby in December, 1954, for a liquor law violation. In the alleged statement, they stated the case was dismissed against Ruby by Mr. Lem Brotherton as the result of statements by the arresting officers, Blankenship and Carlson, because the officers were unable to state that they definitely saw a patron consuming from a partly consumed bottle of beer on her table in the case mentioned. The case involved permitting consumption on licensed premises after hours, that is at 1:30 am on a Sunday morning. They asked me if I recall making this statement to Mr. Brotherton. I stated I did not recall making the statement. They also alleged that the statement by Mr. Brotherton showed that the officers stated they did not even know a case had been filed. They asked me if this was true. I stated I could not recall having made this statement. I then advised them that we should look at the case report, wherein I should be able to tell them if I typed the report, because I believe I could recognize my own typing, because of certain peculiarities in the form and language, which I could possibly identify as my own. I left them in the dark room, and got the jacket. As I started back to the dark room, I asked Lt. Knight if he knew I was being interrogated by the F. B. I. I then told him they were asking me about Jack Ruby, and I asked him if I was authorized to make any statements. Lt. Knight informed me that I was to cooperate with them, but not to give them anything from our files, unless I got permission from the Chief's office.