

to do. This in no way makes them less patriotic or entitled them to abuse from the liberal commentators and writers."

Add to this excellent editorial the editorial quoted above from the Tulsa Tribune Monday, November 25: "For the presumption that only ultra-conservatives could be guilty of assassinating the President in Dallas was strong, it was perhaps to be expected that Pravda in Moscow immediately accused Texas 'Fascists' of killing the President. But, a number of America's TV commentators, notable for their liberal leaning, immediately began owlishly expressing the pious hope that 'certain groups' were not responsible. 'Such hopes' are a subtle way of backing into an accusation."

The American people now must demand an apology from the major television networks for the biased handling of the assassination by these ultra-left-wing commentators, with few exceptions. Protests should be sent to the NBC Television Network and also to ABC Television Network, especially Edward P. Morgan, one of the reporters representing ABC, is an enemy of every conservative, anti-communist cause in this country. He is the paid commentator on the AFL-CIO Radio News show and on every occasion possible he vents his spleen against the anti-communist movements, including Christian Crusade. To let a man like this handle the story as tragic as the assassination of the President, thus giving him a chance to give it the political interpretation that his distorted thinking is capable of, is a crime beyond measure in the annals of fair play and justice.

### WHAT HAVE THE LIBERALS LEARNED?

The question now before us is, what have the liberals learned? Have they learned the mistake of their ways, that is, trusting the communists, appeasing the communists, co-existing with the communists? Do they now see the necessity to be firm with communism internally and internationally? Or, have they been so brainwashed by internationalism and peaceful co-existence that they refuse to recognize the reality that a communist shot our President and that it was needless for him to be shot if the communists had only been prosecuted according to the law?

No, I'm afraid that most of our liberals will not gain this very obvious lesson. Instead, they are going to work on the "gun registration laws." Unbelievable, yet true. As a part of the disarmament package there is a provision to destroy all private weapons in the United States. Our Constitution provides that citizens have a right to own firearms to protect their families. The disarmament program that was passed by Congress in 1961 was designed to eventually eliminate all of our Armed Forces so that as a sovereign nation we would have no means to protect ourselves. This would also eliminate all weapons owned by private individuals. This law is obviously in direct violation of our Constitution since the Second Amendment to the Bill of Rights stipulates that "the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed upon." It is a historical fact that most of the centrally-controlled, socialistically-inclined European and Balkan countries had gun registration laws before World War II. When the armies of Hitler and Stalin conquered their countries, they acquired these gun registration lists and immediately confiscated all private weapons. This constituted a vital blow to patriotic citizens who were thus severely handicapped from carrying on effective underground movements.

For some time now, the liberals have advocated gun registration laws, that is that all firearms within the city and coun-

try should be registered, the owners of these firearms should be fingerprinted and photographed and there should be a "fee" charged for these services. Some liberals in Los Angeles decided to initiate a gun registration bill that provided for these three things in their city during the mid-1940's. When public hearings on the legislation were called, one of the persons who spoke on behalf of the proposed laws was the Los Angeles Legislative Chairman of the Communist Party. Fortunately, an informed public managed to defeat the bill.

However, you can expect now, since the assassination of the President, that there will be a new clamor to register the guns of the land. The liberals will claim this is the only way we can prevent something similar to what happened last week from happening again. These laws are presumably designed to curb the criminals but they usually succeed in curbing only the Constitutional rights and personal security of honest citizens who own guns. However, we should face the facts. The criminal will not comply to the registration law. He will not go into a store and register or give his name and address. He will not let himself be fingerprinted or photographed, nor will he submit to a cooling off period. The criminal buys his guns on the black market or he steals them. And, if he sells them, burns them or throws them away, he is certainly not going to report it to the authorities. As for restricting the enraged, impulsive killer, if he can't get a gun and is determined to kill, he will do it with a butcher knife, a club, by poison, or by some other means. In other words, only the law-abiding citizen will comply with the law and since he is a responsible person, he poses no threat. But, the red tape and restrictions are an affront to his dignity as a free man.

It is my sincere prayer that this great tragedy of the last few days will not panic the American people into giving up their Constitutional right to own weapons in the event they must defend their homes and lives should a communist dictator overthrow our government.

### WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE?

I would like to reprint here an editorial which I read today in the Daily Oklahoman entitled "Law of the Jungle."

"Americans need to think deeply and coolly about the meaning of the fatal shooting of President Kennedy's assassin by a Dallas nightclub operator.

"It is only human for any of us to think with grim satisfaction, that the assassin died by the same kind of violence he spawned. There's a temptation to say, 'He got just what he deserved.'

"Yes, in terms of our deepest, primitive emotions. But the way in which Lee Oswald was killed is as much of a danger to our way of life as any other taking the law into one's own hands. It's the law of the jungle, not of 20th century America.

"The assassination itself was a violation of every orderly process. The killing of the assassin in this fashion adds more fuel. If a conflagration of violence and lawlessness spreads across America, we could see a virulent epidemic of purge and anti-purge, attack and revenge (remember Germany and Japan in the 1930's?) that would destroy the foundations of our government and our society. It is in such an atmosphere that revolution and assassination are bred.