Oswald Case Raises

Question of Guilt

. From one point of view, it may have been well that Oswald had his mortal coil each well that Oswald has his mortal con-stuffied off to go before the Judgment Sent of God. Otherwise, the haltedness of the American scul might have been re-veated; an embarrassing skeleton would have stared at us from our national closet, and a bar sinjust might have been etched and a bar sinister might have been etched on our exoticheon. Just suppose he had come to trial! We have already developed an American way of thinking which would make it hard and certainly embarrassing to have passed judgment on Oswald for

to have passed judgment on Oswar for two reasons:

1. How could we have found him fguitty? We no longer recognize that worth. Many of our educators have been built and the second of morally creates a "guitt complex."

Furthermore, what are the sentions of seclet any way, but the second of morally creates a "guitt complex." society any way, but the sediment of so-cial tacoos, codes and commandments.

society any way, but the sediment of social takeous, codes and commandments.

The restream of the libids, it is said,
where the sediment of the libids of the sediments of sec. why should not a man give free play to another libids, namely, a gun! if youths are allowed to wreck homes at parties, to take dope and be excused on the ground that they did it 'for kicks,' then at what point of 'kicks'? fall under the category of wrong? If it is agreed that it is better to let evil out, than reproper the sediment of the sediments of the command of the sediments of t

SUPPOSE a so-called religious man manifested a similar hate and laid in wait to kill, one could not say that his religion produced the act. Rather, it would have to be said that he acted against it. But a philosophy of hete, when it is violent

GON J. SHEEN

against a human porson or religion, acts in character when it fills.

Some very embarrassing testimony might have been brought forward in a trial of this kind. The Soriet Union knew it too for they immediately printed the news that the President was killed by the control of the property of the control of t

IT MUST BE REPATE again that the point hore for not the relating hore the point hore for not the relating hore were a government and a crime. But between a government and a crime, but between an ideology and a crime. This is where it touches Communism as much as the denial of guilt touches the American people. The Communist considers faith in God as a product of economic method of production which is based on private property. On the other hand, a declining American choic assumes that a belief in American choic assumes that a belief in Coswald had lived to git in a prisoner's dock, we would have had to assadon two false ideas that immortality and anti-morality are forms of sickness, and that any ity are forms of sickness, and that any American who accepts Communist philosophy is as good as a citizen who does

sophy is as good as a curren who does not sould have gone to a full filterent kind of trial, the one in which minder is called murder on skickness, and where athelsem is called hate and not peace. In the meantime, we American people might seriously examine our thinking for a future trial, and certainly a future bidgment, and ask ourselves if we are on the right track in Our national field the state of the control of the co