

ADM 9-1

FROM: Rev. Johanda Mae Hackworth, Rt. 1, Box 285, Brenham, Texas, March 4, 1

TO : Chief John Edgar Hoover, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Washington, D. C.

Subject: Communism - Carl C. Booth, 2081 Bennet St., Dallas, Texas

My letter to you, dated March 29, 1951, relating to the late General Douglas MacArthur, come to my attention as I am transferring files, making them more accessible for my editing research. In this letter I had advised you concerning the LORD's abowing me that Mr. Arthur fulfilled Rev. 13:1-8. At that time I called him the "Anti-Christ." This was due to teachings of man; for John assures us there are many "anti-Christ's." I wrote you that the LORD had told me to advise Truman what the LORD gave me regarding MacArthur on the night of March 25-26, 1951. You recall that Truman "fired" MacArthur April 11, 1951. (At on April 3, 1951, the Carrie Nation Liquor-store trick was played on me in Brenham, Texas and I have always believed it will be proven this was caused for the purpose of discrediting in the sight of President Truman, being as he was taking seriously what I had written to him as "Thus Saith the LORD." I had been so right before that time regarding prophecies of his life being in danger, etc.)

(I also have in my files, under the name of "MacArthur" a newspaper clipping, dated LONDON March 26, 1951 by UPI, asking: "Authority Exceeded? Europe Press Flays MacArthur -- MacArthur Under Fire." (Believing this article is of interest at this time for review, I quote further, as follows:

"British and French newspapers of all parties, including the organ of the British Labor government, loosed a blast of criticism at Gen. MacArthur Monday for his latest declaration on the Korean War. Some said he had exceeded his authority. Some said he had flouted the United Nations. Some said he may have wrecked a plan for a new approach to the Chinese Communists

"(In WASHINGTON informed sources said the State Department has asked the White House and Defense Department to curb Gen. MacArthur's authority to issue announcements carrying strong diplomatic overtures.)

"MacArthur said in a statement issued in Tokyo Saturday that the Chinese Communists lacked the industrial capacity to wage modern war. He said they faced the risk of collapse if the UN decided not to contain the war in the area of Korea.

"He offered within his authority as UN military commander to confer with the enemy commander in chief on military means by which 'the realization of the political objectives of the UN in Korea... might be accomplished without further bloodshed.'

"A plan for a new approach to Communist China may have been wrecked by Gen. MacArthur's latest move in the Korean War, said the London Daily Herald, organ of the Labor government. 'Gen. MacArthur, wearing his self-tailored mantle of pro-peace, coupled his invitation for a truce with an implied threat of an extension of the war into Communist China itself.'

"It is clear, the conservative Daily Telegraph said, that the State Department in Washington is 'once again very annoyed with Gen. MacArthur.'

"The (UN) General Assembly becomes embarrassed, resentful or partly incredulous when Gen. MacArthur... speaks again of carrying the war to the Chinese mainland,' the independent London Times said. "...he cuts across nearly everything that has been said and done at Lake Success for the past six months.'

"MacArthur roused the British Communist newspaper Daily Worker to ask: 'Who the hell is MacArthur that he should claim any such functions?'

"MacArthur's offer, supplemented with hardly veiled warnings and threatenings, runs the risk of upsetting the whole situation by giving rise to Chinese suspicions,' said the Conservative Paris newspaper Oligaro. 'Had MacArthur wanted to kill the egg, he could have done it no better.'

"The conservative Le Monde said the declaration was "especially inopportune.' The SOCIALIST Le Populaire said his attitude was one of 'war with China or I resign' and commended that the latter alternative 'would be welcomed by many.'"

(What a pity that those who land MacArthur even yet do not review the circumstances of those days, comparing with present-day situations... should a general seek to take the Vietnam war responsibilities upon his shoulders!)