A number of other diagnostic possibilities have been considered and ruledcuts fully developed or borderline schizophrenia, manicedepressive pychosis, paramold state, and severe recent brain damage or deterioration. Although the confusional features are semetimes superficially similar to those found in schizophrenic functioning, they are not accompanied by the abundance of bizarre ideas and inappropriate emotions one expects from schizophrenics. Neither euphoricaer depressive features are clear enough or extreme enough to point to a manic-depressive disorder. Severe recent brain damage or deterioration is contra-indicated by the many areas of intact functioning stillu available to Mr. Ruby, evenif only on a fluctuating basis. His paramoid trend does not appear to be so extreme or pervasive as to constitute a paramoid state. There are personallty features present that might be termed hystorical, obsessive and anti-social, but these features do not appear to account for the impaired functioning observed. It is therefore strongly indicated that a thorough electroencephalographic study and physical neurological examination be carried

encephalographic study and physical nourological examination be carried out to investigate the nature and extent of the indicated brain dysfunction. (The present test results may be considered a reasonably therough mental neurological examination.) It is possible that the EEG and physical neurological examinations will produce ambiguous or neglative findings. In this event, the test results would still speak strongly for the probable presence of organic brain dysfunction; sometimes this condition emerges most clearly in the psychological test results. And in any event, the descriptioned his impaired functioning and his explosive emotionality given clear totald stands irrational, impulsive sets of aggression are alliedy to be consistending characteristics of Hr. Ruby.

Roy Pohofor Ph.D.