

The agent described as number two, made an apology for having to ask this and certain other questions, but stated he was asking all the officers he interviewed the same questions, and considering the seriousness of the matter felt it was a necessary duty, etc. Then I was asked if I knew of any other officers friendly, or acquainted with Jack Ruby. I told them I refuse to answer this question. I told them I would answer any question they asked me pertaining to me, and to incidents involving people I was with, but that I would not tell them of any other officer I may have been talking to Ruby, in the light of the question as it was presented. I told them if in any case I had the remotest suspicion naming anyone would be vitally important to helping them, then I would not hesitate to name the individual. But, to infer a relationship based on a chance observation would be improper for me. I could state that Jack was known by many officers here, and to name some and not name others would be unfair, to say the least, not only to confounding the investigation, but to the officers concerned, whose duty is to come forward if they have any information to give to the FBI, but not to be named just because I happened to remember seeing them in Ruby's presence. They restated the question, but it was essentially in the same frame of reference. I told them I would tell them anything about myself and Jack Ruby, and would have to refer them elsewhere about others, but in any case declined to answer in any manner, since I feel it is the duty of the other officers to present themselves for questioning if a request is made by the FBI.

They asked me, or a conversation was started about the general picture as it stood with Ruby's act, and how it fouled the investigation of Oswald. I told them I agreed that Ruby's act was very detrimental to the proper investigation of Oswald, and for that I condemn Ruby. I also asked them if they suspected any connection, or conspiracy involving Ruby that would make it a much more