

I went back into the dark room and showed them the case report, and identified it tentatively as my typing, from certain peculiarities, which I pointed out. They asked me again if I was instrumental in any way in having the case dismissed along lines indicated by the alleged statements of Mr. Protherton. I again informed them that I did not recall any such conversation with Mr. Protherton in the manner outlined by them. They asked me then if there was any way a case could have been filed against my wishes. I told them, "No, not likely". I did inform them that on the face of the case report it indicated that the report was filed by a "Johnson" with an "Andrews." I elaborated on this and suggested this was probably Sgt. E. T. Johnson, who was working in the Vice Squad at this time as I recalled. I explained to them about the procedure of another officer frequently filing cases by appearing at the D. A.'s office to sign the Information and the Complaint for the officer who makes the case report; and that the authority to sign the Information was based on the officer's case report, in which he alleges the nature of the violation as he observed it, and made the arrest. They then asked me if Mr. Protherton's statement as they reported it to me as a "falsehood." I stated again that I could not say Mr. Protherton's statement as they reported it was false, that Mr. Blankenship may have conversed with Mr. Protherton, or possibly I did, and when asked specifically if such or such was the case regarding the evidence, he or I, or both of us may have made a statement which made the case appear to him to be unsuitable for prosecution. I stated that in any case the decision whether or not to try a case was up to the D. A. and not the Police Department, and in no way would this officer or Mr. Blankenship recommend dismissal of any case for some point of evidence, or for any point without referring the matter to our superior for him to handle. I told them a more