Dear Jim.

Thanks for the papers in arl Stern's suit for the FBI counter-intelligence files. Read them once while waiting for bil to finish her work this evening.

I find myself wondering why an FBI agent was used to execute the affidavat. Why not FBI's legal counsel himself? I think it is because they think special qualities and arcane knowledge is generally attributed to agents.

I note the language of 6, bot that this is part of an investigatory file but rather that it is "contained in an investigatory file". I note also no allegation of law enforcement purpose and the lack of perception in the decision. They can put a Gideon bible in a file and say it is "contained in " it.

Addressing your belief that he knows how the CA will rule in my case, not that on 7/20, which means earlier, he said it would rule "shortly". Well, there have been more than two months. Sorry, this is Plesser, not the judge.Rather, the Opposition to Plesser.

The judge argues as I have without success, which is not comfort but more frustration. Even on "ink (footnote 3, "when the government has initially failed to meet iys burden:" footnote 4). His reasoning, indeed his words in the first full paragraph on p. 6 I think show how right I have been from the first in insisting that we address the falsity of the Williams affidavit that Bud once agreed is perjury and subornation and promised to do every time we discussed it.

It is good on the exemptions, if not what it could have been on law-enforcement purpose. Without this there is no investigatory-file exemption, as I read the law. Here than the decision is good. It is good that a reporter filed, that this is the

kind of stuff he filed for, and that mader has decided to help a reporter.

Best.