

*Changed Serials*

To Changed Serials, King assassination records. Harold Weisberg 7/27/80  
PA request abstracts  
NSA request  
Request of records from 44-38861

In the abstracts for the records in Sections 86-91 of 44-38861 there is a series of "changed to" forms representing the shifting of a number of records pertaining to the King assassination investigation to another file, 62-11730, where in all cases they have 1 in their new serial numbers. They appear to be insertions into existing serialization.

My records indicate that 62-11730 also includes the request of the House Assassinations Committee for my FOIA requests.

All of these shifts appear to have been on three different days.

The clear purpose was to remove records I had been provided in C.A. 75-1996 from the NSKKH file. Otherwise, Not Recognized serials would have been used. I appeal this.

If the records pertain to me or to NSA, they are within two other requests, one for all records on me, the other for all provided to NSA.

At this one point 25 NSKKH records are removed, from Serial 6133 to Serial 6235.

Because this seems unusual and because I repeat your prior explanation about removed serials as inadequate and based on an atypical matter I asked Ms. Barrett to check the records as provided to me. If the blue ink copies at all I will attach her notes. I do request that you ask the FBI for an explanation, because each and every one of those removed records was properly a NSKKH record and they are a fair representation of the NSKKH file in general. However, each and every one is identified in my requests and appeals on which you have not acted. I am saying that the FBI provided records that are material to appeals you have done nothing about.

Among the topics of the removed records are where the FBI's records were never told; what NSA was investigating; what records it was examining in Memphis; ticklers not provided to me; FBI Morris Davis; McCullough and the black firemen who were present (removed from the scene of the crime just before Dr. King was killed); the Clifton Baird note or (for your information, fabrications that defamed both the FBI and me and a number of

innocent Louisville policemen. The thoroughly discredited FBI never was confronted with an affidavit from those fabrications, after which I hauled the whole thing up by providing dependable information to the lawyer for the harassed Louisville policemen.) and the FBI's providing of Royal Canadian Mounted Police and Memphis Police records to the NSA, with at least the FBI letter's approval of giving those records to NSA, which means making them public while it never causes the FBI to withdraw them from me.

There is absolutely no doubt that this is the kind of information that is not normally removed from one file and placed in another but rather in the "rest of information" the FBI removes and files in abstracts by Not Recorded Serials. It includes information potentially embarrassing to the FBI on all of the subjects above and if you had acted on my appeal.

Of course all of this information should be in the abstracts and all of it once was abstracted and now the abstracts also have been removed.

A typical sentence, from the first of those removed records, is "This does pertain to the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr." Such a record should be removed from the NSACR file with the captioned subject "MLK"? The second has the same caption. It's not checking all; but would expect this to be true of all.

Serial 6109 discloses that former FBI Memphis Davis was disclosed to NSA long before the FBI asked his permission. This confirms what I informed the Court and you, that the FBI used him to characterize the committee. Naturally the FBI would not want this to surface in a review of the NSACR records, which it is captioned.

Serial 6109 discloses Davis fear that he would be "compromised" by the way NSA was handling him - more than two months before the FBI's records reflect NSA asking his permission to identify him to NSA. By then the press was on to him.

Serial 6206 reports that the Memphis Police informed the agent St. Louis P. Johnson, who provided an affidavit in C.A. 75-1996 without including this then recent information, that its records "may be released" to NSA "without the expressed consent" of the FBI. Because this is inconsistent with the claims in this litigation there is motive for removing it from the NSACR file.

For example, if you were to check the MURKIN file in evaluating my appeal you'd not find this pertinent record, and having been persuaded incorrectly by the FBI about this "changed to" <sup>matter</sup> judge would not have any reason to go further.

Serial 6224444 passes on prejudicial information to HCCA, that the racist J.B. Storer represented <sup>federally</sup> indicated lawyer named James Tammie Buckley. It also quotes Storer as having said exactly what I informed IBD in 1969, leading to another FBI fabrication of defamation of me.) Naturally this was basis enough for the HCCA to select, from all possible lawyers, only Buckley to represent Mrs. Carol Pepper, James Earl Ray's sister, when it refused to permit her to have counsel of her choice, Jim Lester. (Mrs. Pepper insisted she wanted Lester, after one experience with Buckley, he then persisted, HCCA backed down, and the R effort to frame her as a bad woman who allegedly furnished to her brother James, who didn't meet since she was a baby, the money her other brothers allegedly got in bank robbery, the FBI's conspiracy theory, collapsed.) Buckley was only too aware of the federal charges against him and he did nothing that would displease anyone in Washington the one time he represented "Ms. Pepper."

Of course, this is only coincidence, as is the removal of 25 other clearly MURKIN records from the MURKIN file rather than its following its normal practice, of filing duplicate records. Perhaps the FBI will argue that it was cheaper to make no cash outlay and to waste clerical time of considerably higher cost not to have to pay Baros the approximately half-cent a page it charged for use of its automatic machines?

I am aware that you told me on 5/19 that you are doing nothing about the King case, even though the judge involved you in it. I am also aware that you have done virtually nothing about two file drawers of King records appeals I have filed. I know of your staff turnover and that the Department has not replaced the lost personnel; however, this case is not going to go away. I had various purposes in filing all three appeals, and the abstracts are a very important record. I therefore inform you in this appeal and again request action on all the "changed to" removals of records from all pertinent files in all cases. There is no doubt that these records belong in the file from which they were removed and are necessary for evaluation of my appeals.