levy appeals-hum Butkies

7/6/80

My. Unin Shem, Director FOIPA Appeals Department of Justice Sashington, D.C. 20530

Dear br. Shee,

I write again about one of your unkept 1978 processe in C.A. 75-1996. You processed that I would be provided with a copy of the entire HURLH bulky exhibit. I ask for it again promptly because I need it now to be able to inform my counsel accurately about what I regard as important issues pertaining to compliance, observator of the searches and FMI truthfulness or untruthfulness, under oath pertaining to the searches and compliance.

There should be on doubt about my purposes or the immediacy of my need for the entire bulky. I have just reviewed records which bear on false representations under each by Sis Wissman and Kilty. It is possible that the bulky, if closely examined, may indicate that the testimony was not false.

Because of the urgancy I ask that you inform no by phone whether or not I will now receive this overdue bulky and if I will, when to supect it.

By way of background, the bulky is the last BL MERLE record processed in this litting as it had with all other BQ MERLE records, just copying it for me, the PEL made an exception to its consistent practise and offered it to me for examination. That was then beyond my capabilities, so I asked SA harp to provide copies of those portions in which I had indicated an interest. After examining what was provided I informed the PEL that pertinent information was not provided. Thereafter you informed me that the entire bulky would be provided. Since then I have asked for it a number of times.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

bee: see 190-703- 71X17, X55(?) 197-184-X5 and my notes on them