

Judge John C. Casey

From this batch of abstracts and examination of the underlying records it appears that in some respects my recollection was flawed and that Ray's robbery conviction was not reversed at about the time he escaped.

Here again, there are improper withholdings in the abstracts in the usual and predicted effort to hide the impropriety of the withholdings in the underlying records. What is withheld also is disclosed, as in 44-39861-4760 (St. Louis 44-775, which also makes for unnecessary confusion. The (b)(5) claim is inappropriate.

2919. (7)(C) claim only is made for withholdings pertaining to Ray's motion, as in "(obligated) motion to set aside this conviction" and pertaining to "Ray would stand good chance of reversing his conviction," which is preceded and followed by obliterations.

2919 (in). The obliteration (again (7)(C)) follows "MOTION STILL PENDING BEFORE  
SL JUDGE JOHN C. CASEY." The OMB note for this serial includes, "...advise Judge  
John C. Casey, Sir Judge, planned to enter public order dir Ray to appear and  
prosecute his pending motions to vacate his sentence." This content is withheld from  
the underlying record, which concludes that Ray, "IF ALIVE, MAY REALIZE HE CAN BEAT  
ARMED ROBBERY RAP, WHETHER HE HAS GOOD CHANCE OF BEATING THE KING RAP BEFORE A SOUTHERN  
JURY AND GIVE HIM UP."

3012. Total withholding of content, (b)(5). There is no claim that nothing is  
reasonably segregable because that form was not used.

The nature of the FBI's withholdings and FOIA practices is indicated by Serial 4003,  
which was reprocessed. As provided initially this is among the withholdings: "SL JUDGE  
JOHN C. CASEY. CASEY STILL HAS MOTION TO DISMISS SUBJECT'S MOTION TO VACATE URGENT ADVISERMENT.  
NO PUBLICITY SOYER."

What is disclosed indicates that claims to exemption are subterfuges to hide what  
can be embarrassing and is not within any exemption.