To Grin Shea from Haraid Velsberg, King assassination records appeals 6/2/80

Answracts David and Shirley Gaines Korris Davis.

With the other emclosures and the two attached appeals pertaining to the Gainses and David I believe I have come to the end of those pertaining to the abstracts or to which my attention was directed by reviewing the abstracts. There may be one or two Ms. Barrett has not checked but until she returns I will not know.

I regret it was not possible to provide this information in a more systematic and structured manner and that I was interrupted so often while working on it. I hope that the captioning may be of some assistance.

There is another set of abstracts. It should be searched for those said to be missing. There really is no point in the Department's paraisting in its false pretense that there is but a single set, in part because its own publication explains why there are duplicates and the copy provided to me was zerozed from the carbon copy.

The stamped date on the FRI's letter to me, responded to under today's date, did not come out in copying. It is May 30. What that letter really says is that the FRI claimed to have disclosed as previously processed an additionally withheld 2369 pages.

In attachments pel: MK appeals— Davis, of granders per sense appeals of printed per strength of the sense standard to the property of granders of gran

David and Shirloy Gaines Morris Davis.

With the other enclosures and the two attached appeals pertaining to the Gainses and havid I believe I have come to the and pf those pertaining to the abstracts or to winder my attention was directed by reviewing the abstracts. There may be one or two has not checked but until she returns I will not know.

I regret it was not possible to provide this information in a more systematic and structured remer and that I was interrupted so often while working on it. I hope that the esptioning may be of some assistance.

There is another set of abstracts. It should be searched for those said to be missing. There really is no point in the Department's persisting in its false pretense that there is but a single set, in part because its own publication explains why there are duplicates and the copy provided to me was reported from the carbon copy.

The stamped date on the FM's letter to me, responded to under today's date, did not some out in copying. It is May 30, what that letter really says is that the FM claimed to have disclosed as previously processed an Admittedly withheld 2369 pages.