While this relates to a shock of the two versions of the so-called propositionial index in the King case what I state is, I believe, applicable to all indices in both Ring and JPK cases.

The vague and indefibite and evacive — I believe also incompetent — representations made by the FMI only long after the incumment of an Order — about the Hempits subject index and then failure to make any response to what I sent you led me to ask Ma. Deprott to check the two versions of the presentational index. She made a few notes for me paint to a temporary return to Versoust. I attach a copy.

I believe a tracing of the history with regard to all indices is particular, partupe necessary to understanding.

In the King case my request includes all indices. There was no initial compliance.

In the JRK crosses my requests include all indices. Again there was no initial compliance.

In both cases the FRI withheld knowledge of the existence of any indices at all.

In both cases I learned of their existence from close examination of the records.

In both cases the PM and you continue to withheld from no copies of records I have identified that will disclose further details about indices. My appeals are years old. They have been repeated often. You have, in fact, included reference to such records in seco of your letters but have not provided capter of any.

Obviously this does not represent either minimal compliance, intent to comply or good faith.

In the King case, once I specified that there was the so-called presentarial index the PMI first refused to provide a copy and then pretended not to be able to locate it. In the and it was located where I said it was. The PMI then held that it was not within my request, as it clearly was, and again refused to provide it, only to be compelled to under discovery.

Among the later MRKIF recerds - obtained is a mean in which the PHI admitted that it was empaging in emperified withholdings. This was empaging in emperified withholdings. This was empaging in emperified withholdings.

Ducker Week

simples that reproceeding sight be required, would be where privacy exception mas claimed. I have provided a copy of this record.

The withholdings were in wholetics of the Act, the 5/5/77 POIA policy statement and an Order of the Court. They should not have been made to begin with in an historical case and were. I believe, made to make it impossible to have a clear understanding of what the PEI had and had not done in its investigation.

Over a puriod of time I endeavored to get the PMI the process the index properly.

I provided proofs of enoughs withholdings but the PMI was adopted in refusing to
do any reprocessing, all of my afforts to must out any compromises were rebuffed,
forcefully. Then, in Hovember 1977, I accorded a counter-proposal, the only one it
even made, the PMI visitable its one proposal. From them to now it has done nothing.

This related to the names of prisoners.

Date after the representing was completed or almost ompleted, the very week before the representations are provided, Check "atthems and his FN associates were installing with some Volumence that they would not represent this index.

When the original index was provided it was not bound in any way. There were perhaps 3,000 loose sheets. It was a inherican tack for so to peach and bind them as I not with my fact alsowed and tried to figure out if the paper were in proper under and sheet each part of the unsural lades began and enist.

thather the index was virtually impossible becomes of the nature of the withholdings.

The reprocessed index was provided the securing of a conference in the Civil Division, just before that secting began. This was in the FM building, Again the index consisted of cettrally scheme, loose pages - one escences stack of them. I saked that during our conference cherical help be asked to package the thousands of pages so at the locat I might enery them safely. When the conference ended, just before my afternoon has been, this had not been done. When I invisted the FM agents found an imadequate box and placed some of the index in it. I placed the root, bound only with rubber bonds, in my large attache case.

Prior to this the PM had been mulling records to see. I do not know sky it did

not mail this, for do I know the it did not apparents the various parts of the index on it was served. I do know the consequences.

The PSI later I was in considerably academic condition. It know it had held to serving to park it. Later's car incide the PIE building because by making capabilities were so greatly reduced. This was at the time of the diagnostic of an size arborial insufficiency.

the beary attache came in the other one jarred against a most bondle and came a blow to my grada. Promose of the high level of unth-congulant on shich " live (as the Fif brance) I am not allowed to bruine or out symbol and a large homographage resulted. "t could have been quite dangerous to me.

So,000 pages. It did not have to refuse to represent the full had sailed no more than represented to after it had been represented, only to be management by the conference with the Vivil Division and the in-court situation. It was no great chore to seaponts the various parts as they were copied. In fact doing wast would have been right would have been mader and lose contly. I therefore believe that the Fill had its can propose to be served.

Once again I was forced to ait for hours and tay to make the replacement index columnes with the version provided under discovery, all the while purching and blading it, as best I could without any guide to the various parts or their separations.

As I did this I became some of inconsistenches. I called one to your attention after there was the lask, projecticial to James Sarl May, alleging that he knew of a bounty allegadly offered by shore anchors to have Pr. Mag billed. This relates to a convicted markered, one "obs Paul Spice. When you did the checking I saked you found that the PAI claimed an impossibility, that its automatic marking machines picked up and copied, as I now recall it, a loose paperchip. (Here get meroxing machines picked inconsistent with the PAI claims?)

becently, as I have informed you, Spice was bluck to his reward

by a large number of sticks of dynamics attached to ide late-social Cadiddac, the kind of vehicle one would not ordinarily expect to be within the financial capabilities of a men not long out of juil or free the earnings of a fruit stand.

Test there is no Spice, allegedly, in the prisoner part of the index although Spice was from the same area and allegedly was an in-jail associate of Ray's, and, of course, allegedly let Bay brow of the alleged bounty.

"row is. derivit's check it appears that that was not withheld in the original version of the prosecutorial index is withheld after reproceedings that the cards are not in the same order or sequences that pages are missing; and that parts are even wired up.

This is from a spot check, not an item-by-item check, which I am correct will disclose such more withholding - in the name of greater disclosure, of course. However, such an item-by-item check is beyond my capabilities.

There are similar flows in the processing of the Dallas JFK documents index.

Solbling had been done about my appeal after much sore than a year. There was mithielding, as in the prosecutorial index, mithout claim to exception. Share this was torond an overedght, which I do not believe, it has not been corrected.

Now the Dallas subject index is being processed in exactly the some namear, with the unbelow primary objective being the covering up of improper withholdings in the underlying records, which ' did appeal promptly and quite long ago. long before the processing of that index was communicate.

and here we are, four years C. L. 75-19% was filled faith the situation described above true of the represented prosocutorial index.

As I have already called to your attention, with the master subject index to the same complete records of the Office of Origin there was only one sparent used for a supporte index to the many fewer prosecutorial volumes, the only one the PHI introduct to let out of its prosecutor. That was to let the PHI know in Startly what it had not kept secret, as distinguished from information it has said did no let others, include law the prosecutors and the Commitment. Include

an incomplete index the FM destroyed the complete index while preserving the incomplete index would be index to be in court and clearly destined long to be in court and of other. This congregational and Materical interest, giving every process of continuing long into the future.

any first-person affirmation of the destruction of so wast a record as an index to the many trouvable of records in the case of the fill's greatest manheat ever. There is no need for this destruction allogation there was no such seed, even authorization.

Consistent with tide the reprocessed, some limited processusorial index engages in unjustified withholdings, as stated above.

The FEI coes to it that there will be no end other than by non-compliance, and there is non-compliance in the reprocessed propositional index.

Aside from continued improper withholdings the only purposed served by what is indicated by he, "arrett's checking and repeated above is stonesalling and bareassent of all other parties, including so, my counsel and the Court.

I do not accept this.

I am compelled to ask for a proper proceeding of the processitorial index.

I also ask that it be accompanied by a proposedired-person afficient attenting to full and complete compliance, including with the vator of the vourt relating to the (non)mithholding of names and the 5/5/77 FOLA policy - and that it be supersted as the index itself is separated, so that it can be used, the sole purpose of obtaining it.

for attachment see: MLK Appeals - Prosecutoreral

and all owners, likes be limited and interested and classical states and a complete can be admitted and the court and classic constituted to the terms of the court and classical and cl

the cure calculate that he complete absorbed and solventions of any people and military and the complete absorbed of any factorial afficient of the destruction of the fall's president anniumt ever. There has no model for this destruction allegation there are no each need, even authorization.

The no model for this distruction allegation there are no each need, even authorization.

The notion of the distribution, as stated above.

the fil sees to it that there will be an unit often by non-compliance, and

as take to be the continued the missing the only purposed by what he indicated by what and indicated by the property and present indicated and the court of all other parties, including me, so counsel and the court.

- l do not accept this.
- restal laborations with to present processing of the processing later.
- I also ask that it be accompanied as a proportional passes attached at the court relating to the full and complete compilerous, including with the vector of the court relating to the following plans and that it be separated as the include its and include its angularities of that it can be used, the mate jumples of obtaining it.