Bulkies

Withholding without claim to examption

Classification without claim to classification examption

Referrals not acted on
Piles not searched Laboratory - including King assassination records

Legnored requests and appeals

All photographs

62-109060-4182 EEF is a large bulky because it was broken into two parts. Each, as provided to me, is quite small, of but a few pages. Each fart begins with a sheet that states it "consists entirely of photographic negatives produced by the aboratory during examination of evidence pertaining to JFK assassination" shd will be printed only upon receipt of specific request..."

Aside from the "specific request" I made for all photographs there is no way in which anyone can make a specific request because there is no list or other identification provided.

There was no law enforcement function of the FMI. Its sole role was as an adjunct of the Presidential Commission. The "evidence" would therefore appear to be Commission evidence, but the note does not specify.

While a desire not to waste money in duplication of what is included elsewhere is understandable what is not understandable is withholding any identification of what is withhold from these farts. There is also the matter of missing records and a question as to whether or not any might be included in what is not printed.

4177 ERF has significant notations added to a Lab Work Sheet. One, with triple underscoring, states that the materials are to be returned to the Laboratory. Another that it was placed in "evid cabinet," another that "report separate" and another that "Lab will retain evidence."

In common with other EBFs this Volume was transferred from the Lab under date of 9/1/66, which was long after the time of the Commission. Only them, despite the representations in my cases, did this information reach Central Records.

There is Laboratory information still not provided in the King case, C.A. 75-1996.

I do not recall any affidavit attesting to a search of the Laboratory and there is none

in any JFK tase. You have not acted on my appeals in both JEK and King cases for a search of Divisional records. And when in recent depositions we undertook to try to learn what records are in the hab and what searches were made all we got was skilled and practised evasions, side bits of lectures and constant interruptions and obfuscations by Department counsel.

I believe this record is among those indicating that Divisional searches, particularly in the Laboratory, are necessary and will be frustrated by the Lab if that is possible. To now it has been able to avoid any real search.

Part 1 of 4178 EBF identifies it as "Transcripts of Testimony." A note added to the cover, which is not entirely legible in the xerox, states Maintain this in Excised Enclosure Drawer at end of Warren Commission Red (illegible) as Bulky consists entirely of Chassified Material."

I am not aware of any search of any "Excised Enclosure Drawer." The transcripts were published by the Commission, no doubt accounting for the emphasis by capitalization of "Classified."