Trottlers Stk

JFK assassination, PA records appeals Harold Weisberg 11/2/79
Records not searched or provided - ticklers
2040 andhis classification binge, continuing (can's you dry him out?)
The Dalosch "Grime Records" operation

62-109050-4119 is a Rosen to Delasch 5/1/66 meso relating to the major story, 5/29/66
accross the entire top of the purpose is not to prepare factual response to my this from reading \$24 4119. Its purpose is not to prepare factual response to my published criticisms, or those of Edward J. Epstein, but to see that the files held that could be used to seem us, the FRI's traditional scans of avoiding confrontation on fact. Accordingly there is a storing of such a stew the ingredients of which are factual error, distortion, misrepresentation and projudicial planting. If there is any salt perhaps it is in the paragraph relating to me that 2040 withheld as exempt from CDS 2 & 3 indefinitely. (I feel certain this was in violation of the then applicable executive order and certainly is of the never one. Assuming, that is, that at any time any classification manufactures.) He did this on 7/28/77. There is no indication that the record was ever classified before them.

So you can better understand what I mean by FiX filthiness I reformulate a sentence relating to me, attributed to the Civil Bervice investigation of my wife, "...Harold Weisberg had been an associate of Haurice Halperin, who was involved in Soviet esplonage conspiracy." If you had no association with them prior to Government employment causing it the reformulation is ""Quinlan Shea has been an associate of L. Patrick Gray and Robert Hardian, who were involved in the Watergete conspiracy." There would be a difference to your alleged associates were charged, Halperin wasn't.

The JFK investigation was not centered in DeLosch's Division but in Rosen's.

I therefore am led to believe that "Crime Records" holds records relating to me and to the JFK and King cases that has not been provided.

On page 3, under "action," it says that "Bureau files are being expeditiously reviewed in order to determine the complete facts to resolve any alleged discrepancies whiches were mentioned (sic) in an apticle appearing in the Washington Post..."

Hand-lettered in the margin opposite this is "ON TICKLER LIST." No such tickler and no such list have been provided.

If the FRI meant what it said the list would be of book length for prior to this I sent hoover a copy of my book. A large part of it is devoted to what the FRI domplays by terming them "alleged discrepancies."

And you know semething? In all the years it has addressed none of them yet.

They came close once, however, when there was no factual answer to my accurate quotation of heaver's testimony. The hierarchy solved the problem by making that Schott claimed was never made, a left turn.

The information in the withheld paragraph, if information it in, has to have been 20 or more years old, which appears rather old for classification under tent 2.0. with a "confidential" grade asserted. Unless it refers to red chickens, New Hampshire, Bhode Island and Cormish.

While all ticklers, all of which are within my appeals, and all such lists would be of considerable interest to me and are of considerable historical importance. I have a great pursonal interest in this can because my first book was the first book on the Warren Consissission and it remains a basic one the accuracy of which has never been questioned to st face, save by THI proxies on talk shows, where they swallowed their teeth. (Thu have not acked on those appeals, either.)