JFR-futilers

To Quin Shoe from Harold Weisborg, King and Kennody assessination 2/25/80 Records Appeals:

Improper withholdings - misuse of exemptions to hide impropriation

Surveillance Items of Ring requeste; "technical" surveillance of Marina Vamali

"Director's Surveillance of Marina Vamali

"Linchun's & Roggo's)

For a long time, without any response, I've been telling you that the FEI engages in unanthorized electronic surveillances, hence index consultation does not constitute a full and proper search; that to cover this it makes improper claim to exception; that there are important records that have not been searched for (in both cases), like the "Director's Specials" (for stick purpose I provide the attached radiogram, Serial 5208 of Section 219 of the illegible file number I believe is 105-82595); and that there were many ticklers that have not been searched for.

With regard to "arina Geneld, the many records of which I provided you copies, clearly have content that could not be the result of the sole remaining caption, "physical curvedliance." If you had responded in any way or performed the appeals function in any way I'd have been saved such time and great copying and other costs. And there would be fewer outstanding questions in that case.

In Serial 5092, those nice POLA people your people think have no motive for any improper withholdings, slipped up for the first time in many thousands of pages of records in the three very large files in which this could have been filed, and for once they didn't withhold "bechnical", applied to surveillance of "arina. Fartage it was because the record has to do with rewarding those who engaged in this illegal activity. (The file holds no request for authorisation, so granting of any, and the Warren Commission was not so empowered. The former solicitor general, who as chief counsel ran the "omnission, had a paramoidal vie that Warina would flee to Mexico so he asked the FEE to keep an eye on her, but he had no authority for electronic surveillance.)

In 1978, in the fing case, I informed you that your response having to do with the electronic surveillance index was not a full and proper response. You also have not responded to my appeal after I found in what remains of the long tickler what has to have come from some form of telephone surveil once. In turn, this proves that the Paltimore office was not honest in its generohes and less honest in its compliance in response to my MA request.

LHOCKING ENT SPE

When the FEE is determined to hide what can subscreas it there is no end to its trickery and if the appeals function is sheltested it becomes part of the trickery.

By Ming requests go back sore than a decade, the JEK requests even farther, and my Ma request sugar first made in 1975. In all cases I appealed promptly enough, giving the PEE a decent interval after the time in which I could appeal under the Act. In me case did I get any response to any appeal until after I filed sait. I have not filed suit under the PA request and I have no response at all — and we are in 1980.

When you provided what was styled as a response to the surveillance Items of the Ming requests I informed you that whether or not intended your response was inaccurate, undependable, misconstrued the requests and even then limited the response to the so-called electronic index. If you read the inventories and requests for inventories that you found after my complaint of their deliberate suppression (which continues to this very moment in both cases) then you know very well that PMIN keeps itself in a demisbility position and in fact has to ask its field offices take assory holes) for such information when it wants or needs it. You have not done that, either.

I have disputed what "eag told you, second hand at that, pertaining to records of "ing information provided to the Director. I then referred to the Director's Brief only. I forget then about these "Director's Specials." I recall now that I asked for a pearch of the list(s) of them and you have ingored this also. I provided you with a number of records indicating those subjects were such "specials."