sputo-JFK

Hr. Thomas H. Bresson, Chief FOIFA Brunch FEI Washington, D.C. 20055 1/7/81

Dear in Dresses.

In your latter of Jenuary 5 you state, of the Ming assessmention spectrographic plates you refer to as produced to so by Mr. Mesa, that after 'an extensive search." none "Samed up."

Ir is my understanding that they were promised by the FMI, through Sa Horaco Seminath, and that "r. Shee merely relayed the FMI's essurences.

That you cannot find any of these plates is not consistent with the deposition testimony of Sa John Kilty.

It is not merely that those were, beletedly, promised to me. They are within the secondites of my April 15, 1975 request. Four people have seem to compliance over and over again, your seemed provides the same untruthful assumences to the Court repostably, and he is again seeking summary judgment. Of course your clain that you cannot find these plates, now made for the first time, raises substantial questions about your failing to inform the Court or me of your alloyed inability to find then wide severing to full and complete compliance with an Item requiring their production.

If you can't find seasthing like spectrographic plates how can you file affidavits claiming that I received records based on a field office's belief that they were sent to FMING?

It is my understanding that such records may not be destroyed sithest authority, and that in the files they are replaced by a citation of the authority and a report of any such destruction. If they were destroyed, it would seem that you should have a record of it. Because authority is also requested, your files should hold a copy of any request.

This is, I believe, quite unusual and entirely inconsistent with all the PBI has represented about its preservation of records, particularly with regard to a matter that is in litigation, as this has been, constantly, since 1966, in one court or another.

I would like to know who made the search, when and where it was made, and anything class per can provide partaining to the search. I regard this as important and by a carbon of this letter I will sak my counsel to call it to the attention of the Court.

Your can records reflect the fact that the Fil, supposedly, was preserving everything and in all ways being extraordinarily careful because, as of the time of my requests and continuing until after C.A. 75-1996 was filed, Ray had not exhausted his appeals. You therefore were required to have these plates long after the beginning of the instant litigation.

She FEE was also to have provided the opentrographic plates pertaining to the JPE assessination. It has not. I do not expect to hear from you, absencer you got around to with this after all the many years and that case now on recent, that those plates also coment be found becomes you, parsonally, displayed them to me in your office, when she kilty and frames were with you and my counsel was with no.

Shellty also testified to the Fol's handing information pertodates to neutron activation smaly see that had not been provided. I have not received it and have not heard anything further about it from the FM although I have ruled this question on appeal and through counsel. With regard to this the FM has also provided untruthful means assumences of full and complete compliance to the Court, even recently and max in the name of the SA whose initials are after your name. Your legal Counsel Division counsel and Department counsel both heard this Milty testimony. This withheld information has not been provided. Will you please let me know when to expect it?

You date the time of the alleged search at "shen we became more of the offer by OFIA to you of the plates." This is a rather strenge formulation. However, the time SA Becketth said the plates would be provided was the samer of 1978. You were "meare" then and long before them, from my letters. You also mere "meare" as of the time of the Kilty deposition. You do not state that you made the search in response to my counsel's letter of about three months ago to "r. Cole, although that also would reflect an exceptional delay with a case in court for more than five years and shen you are pushing motions for summary judgement.

Was not the FML aware of the pertinence of these plates at the time of my 1969 and 1975 requests that include them, or at the time I filed G.A. 75-19967 Was it not aware in 1976 when SA Kilty swere to full and complete compliance and pertiated in this after alleged continued non-tompliance?

while this is not the first occasion, in this I am making you exare of felse affirmations filled in this litigation by the FEL. The FEL has an unblemiated records of doing securings nothing at all about false securings, except, purhaps, for presenting those who atter them. I shald like to hear free you what, if enything, the FEL intendes to do, with regard to the untrathe presented to the Court and and with report to those who provide untraths to a court of law.

I am taking your table admostledgement that I have not been provided with information called for by my requests and for which me claim to any exemption was made as an education that the attestions of full and complete compliance are not truthful.

dincerely.

Harold Heleburg