

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

OCT 06 1975  
TELETYPE

Asst. Dir.:	
Admin.	_____
Comp. Syst.	_____
Ext. Affairs	_____
Files & Com.	_____
Gen. Inv.	_____
Ident.	_____
Inspection	_____
Intell.	_____
Laboratory	_____
Plan. & Eval.	_____
Spec. Inv.	_____
Training	_____
Legal Coun.	_____
Telephone Rm.	_____
Director Sec'y	_____

NR003 NO CODE

1115PM NITEL OCTOBER 5, 1975 PHJ

TO DIRECTOR (62-109060)  
FROM NEW ORLEANS (89-69)

*Handwritten initials/signature*

ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT KENNEDY, NOVEMBER 22, 1963, DALLAS,  
TEXAS.

RE NEW ORLEANS TEL CALL TO BUREAU OCTOBER 4, 1975.

ROBERT FINK, PROFESSIONAL STAFF MEMBER, U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, REPRESENTING THE GOVERNMENT INFORMATION AND INDIVIDUALS RIGHTS SUB-COMMITTEE OF THE GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS COMMITTEE, APPEARED AT THE RESIDENCE OF SA ERNEST C. WALL, JR., OCTOBER 4, 1975, TO INTERVIEW SA WALL REGARDING ALLEGATIONS MADE BY WILLIAM S. WALTER CONCERNING THE RECEIPT OF A TELETYPE BY THE NEW ORLEANS DIVISION

ON NOVEMBER 17, 1963 ALLEDGING AN ATTEMPT WOULD BE MADE TO ASSASSINATE PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY AT DALLAS, TEXAS, ON NOVEMBER 22, 1963. FINK WAS ADVISED BY SA WALL THAT FBI DIRECTOR CLARENCE KELLY AND SAC JOSEPH T. SYLVESTER, JR., NEW ORLEANS DIVISION HAVE MADE PERFECTLY CLEAR THE POSITION OF THE FBI REGARDING WALTER'S ALLEGATIONS AND THAT HE STOOD ON THESE PUBLIC STATEMENTS.

ENCLOSURE

REC-4 62 109060-7389

*Handwritten notes:*  
Cable to Washington 10/5/75  
W. J. [unclear]  
10/1/75

PERS. REC. UNIT

NO (89-69)

PAGE TWO

FINK ASKED SA WALL IF HE WOULD TESTIFY UNDER OATH CONCERNING THIS MATTER. SA WALL DID NOT REPLY TO THIS QUESTION.

MR. FINK ALSO CONTACTED CHIEF CLERK LEO W. HEBERT, NEW ORLEANS DIVISION, AND ASKED HEBERT IF HE HAD SEEN THE PREVIOUSLY MENTIONED TELETYPE TO WHICH HEBERT REPLIED HE HAD NOT. FINK ADDITIONALLY ASKED HEBERT IF HE WOULD TESTIFY TO THIS UNDER OATH. HEBERT STATED HE WOULD.

HEBERT WAS CONTACTED BY FORMER CLERICAL EMPLOYEE ROY E. SIMON WHO ADVISED THAT HE HAD BEEN CONTACTED BY FINK <sup>WHO</sup> WHO DISPLAYED TO SIMON A REPLICA OF THE TELETYPE WALTER CLAIMS HE TYPED FROM MEMORY. SIMON ASKED FINK TO HAVE A COPY OF THE REPLICA AND FINK DECLINED TO FURNISH A COPY. SIMON DESCRIBED THE REPLICA OF THE TELETYPE AND INDICATED THAT THERE WERE INITIALS REFLECTING THE SENDER ON THE TOP OF THE TELETYPE AS BEING "W.F.H." NEAR THE TITLE WRITTEN IN PENCIL WAS "62-0". THE TELETYPE ALSO HAD A NUMBER OF MISPELLED WORDS AND SEVERAL X'S.

SIMON STATED THAT THE NAMES LISTED ON THE RIGHT HAND SIDE OF THE TELETYPE WERE MAYNOR, WALL, DEBRUIZ, QUIGLEY AND KAACK. SIMON POINTED OUT TO FINK THAT DEBRUEYS NAME WAS MISPELLED AND SIMON COULD NOT UNDERSTAND HOW A PERSON LIKE WALTER WHO HAD WORKED WITH AGENT DEBRUEYS FOR FIVE AND ONE-HALF YEARS COULD MISSPELL HIS NAME.

NO (89-69)

PAGE THREE

SIMON FURTHER ADVISED THAT IT APPEARED THE PRINT ON THE REPLICA RESEMBLED THAT PRINT FOUND ON TELETYPES.

SIMON STATED THAT FINK INQUIRED WHETHER OR NOT LEE HARVEY OSWALD WAS AN INFORMANT OF THE NEW ORLEANS OFFICE. SIMON REPLIED THAT HE DID NOT KNOW.

FINK ALSO QUESTIONED SIMON ABOUT HOW FILES WERE DESTROYED BY THE FBI TO WHICH SIMON REPLIED THERE WERE VARI<sup>OUS</sup>ES RULES FOR THE DESTRUCTION OF FILES AND THAT HE IS NOT FAMILAR WITH THESE RULES. FINK THEN ASKED SIMON QUESTIONS CONCERNING THE DESTRUCTION OF "67" FILES. SIMON REPLIED THAT HE DID NOT KNOW.

FINK ASKED SIMON IF HE WOULD TESTIFY UNDER OATH TO WHAT HE HAS SAID AND SIMON REPLIED THAT HE WOULD. FINK THEN TOLD SIMON THAT HE WOULD PROBABLY RECEIVE A SUBPOENA.

FINK ATTEMPTED TO CONTACT SA J. WOODROW GILMORE ON OCTOBER 4, 1975 WITHOUT SUCCESS AND INDICATED HE WOULD ATTEMPT TO CONTACT SA GILMORE ON OCTOBER 5, 1975.

FINK ALSO ATTEMPTED TO CONTACT FORMER SA MILTON KAACK WITHOUT SUCCESS.

END MESSAGE.....

QACP

MRF FBI HDQS

cc-5