ficationments were set appeals PX Johnson

JFK assassination records ap eals

Photographers and their film: Hughes and MESU (Jesse Core)

Fill control over what would and could be known, including in HQ
Secrecy, internally, and motive for withholding

Attached are 62-109090-456 and 707. The former relates to a completely unidentified flim I identify as the Sughes film, the latter to MDSU's footage and to Jesse Core, not fully identified in the Record. He was also public relations director for the International Trade "art.

Why the Rosen to Relacut neme, 456, fails to identify Hughes and reports incompletely and in fact sislesdingly I leave to your own conjectures. I believe this and the omissions of what I have already told you in the Gere mene are consistent with the control within FRIEQ of what would be and could be known in HQ, including by Boover. These address motive for withholding. Additionally they serve to control the investigation of the assassination of the President to eliminate all not consistent with the PEI's preconceptions so that what was ordered in the first few moments, prior to any investigation, would be the unquestioned conclusions. Also motive for present withholdings.

The implies file does not show anyone in the viscow at the time the shots were fired, despite what tide memo implies. It also is not the only such file, another is the Powell film, subject of my 1968 request on the appeal of which you have not acted. Still another is one I learned about in the Bellas field offices files. It shows two images in motion in that window but the FMI said it didn't even show the window. (My private information is that only recently has the FMI tried to obtain it and then refused a copy when the owner was usualling to part with the original.)

787 confirms what I told you in my Core-MDSU appeals, that he had gone to MDSU and seen the footage on their "ovicela right after Oswald's name was published 11/22/63. After that, at 6:30, which was pretty soon, he told the FBI, with which he was in frequent touch, that the footage was provided to the NBC not. Why theFBI emitted what else fore said again you can conjecture about but it did emit relevant information or still withholds field office information I requested... I am aware that request have to be for existing information, which I believe there is. However, I am also trying to provide you with explanations for their withholding - with motive for withholding.