Dear Mr. Levi.

This is my appeal from all the denials of all the FOIA/PA requests I've made going back to 1970.

It is also a request that if belatedly you'do something about unprofessional conduct my complaint about which remains after more than a month without even acknowledgement.

In addition I ask that I be provided with the numbers assigned to all my FOIA/PA requests. The Department has not acted on my earlier request that I be given a list and an identification of all of those that have not been acted on.

The public piety is that these requests are handled in the order in which they are received. As it relates to me this is false. You cashed my 1970 check and years later, without compliance, gave part of what I asked for to another. The Department was reminded of this in court long enough ago to have complied with this request. It has not. My requests of last year remain to be complied with. The Department even pretended not to have received some when I asked. I had to trick it into admission. If from the record I have no reason to expect you will end this lawlessness over which you preside I do what I can to make you witting.

In the course of a falsely-sworn and deceptive affidavit filed in C.A.75-1996, federal district court in Washington, FBI SA Thomas Wiseman of the FBI FOIA unit defamed me by what I regard as misuse of the processes of the court. The defamation consisted in swearing that he had to withhold from me the names of FBI personnel because of he did not I would abuse them. Whatever his real purposes, and I believe they were to deceive the court, they were lied about in court by AUSA John Dugan, who falsely told the court that this masking is the regular practice. In fact it is the first time within my extensive experience. In any even, the names masked are not secret and never have been. Over the 13 years for which it has been possible I have never sought out any one of these supposedly apprehensive FBI agents, never phoned any one of them, never spoken to any one except on official invitation and then only in the presence of counsel. This record lims the grossness and the deliberateness of the defamation.

The one purpose served by the masking of the names is to deter discovery. The defamation of me is clearly a cover for that. I believe it is a further unprofessional act for "r. Dugan and "r. Viseman not to have complied with the instructions of the court to justify all these maskings. They have had at least four months.

I was shocked when I read this defamation in that affidavit. I wrote Ar. Wiseman immediately by certified mail. The receipt itself was inordinately delayed. Mr. Wiseman has not responded. I asked that he either justify this foul charge or retract it so that no defemation of me be preserved in the records of a court. I now ask this of you.

When more than enough time for response passed I wrote Mr. Melley so he would be informed and asked that he forward my protest as a complaint to your Office of Professional Responsibility. Mr. Kelley's delayed response gives no indication of the contents of my letter and did not acknowledge this complaint or report forwarding it to the OFR. I then wrote him again and repeated this request. Because he has not informed me of having done this I now ask you that you direct action on it by those to whom you have estensibly given this duty. (Long before Mr. Kelley claimed to have lied only because he was lied to or not informed I started sending him certified letters so he would be informed. I have also written you and Mr. Tyler about misconduct in this and other cases. I recall no meaningful response but I do recall another defanation by Mr. Shea that I challenged without response. I ask that this also be a complaint to your OFR.) Unless you cause this to be done promptly you will have established that your OFR is a cover-up not a cleaner-up.