RW. M.

JFK (and King) as ansination records appeals

Harold Voinberg 10/10/79

I speciate this one special abuse by 2040 because of the large number of appeals to which it is relevant:

Glassification Withholding the reasonably sugregable Withholding to forwant file numbers by PA request and Robert Kaffka By JFK appeal relating to Robert Kaffka

Arbitrariness, capriciousness, inconsistency and withholding what the Fall itself has already disclosed.

That Robert Kafike was a San Prenciseo informent and operated in Mexico you know from copies of records I provided with the cited prior appeals.

Yet in 62-109060-1697, which the San Francisco 12/4/63 minute to Ma,, every single word on the five attached pages is obliterated by 2040, under "national security" claim. The by line, word for word. Including Kaffke's name. (I do not attach this record.) of the sirtel.

However, there are no obliterations on the first of covering page imminutibility

There is where Kaffke's name and 134 file number are disclosed. Yet in other cases and under outh in court cases the FEI swears it has to withhold informant file numbers. (This matter is currently before the appeals court in G.A. 78-0249, in which you provided and affidavit, and before district court in the King case.)

The second page is entirely withheld by 2040, without any claim made for it. His written claims to indefinite exemption and to classification begin on the second page of the airtol.

The captioned subject natter is the JPK assassination, relating to which there should be no non-essential withholdings, and the "air Play for Guba Committee, which has not existed for 15 years or more, for with no legitimate "national security" aspects if there ever were any or any of other than a desestic intelligence and parampid political nature.

This is not the only instance of disclosure of an informant file number without any hurt to the informant or the FMI. Nor is it, as I believe is probably, the only instance where the withholding tends to perpetuate hurt to the imposent. (With totality of withholding I can't be certain.)

Whedronents Bee: JFK Oppoals Wassification

Maffine was used to spy on "ark "and, as the records I provided with my appeals of months ago establish. I then asked if he was used to spy on me because he was present at a small group I was asked if he was used to spy on me because he was present at a small group I was asked if he was used to spy trips to Son "rancisco. That group week lad by one active in FFCC and of other associations of interest to the FEL, as I've also informed you. I did file a PA request in 1975 and appealed the FEL's ignoring of it fairly promptly. I doubt you have any greater backlog than of about four years. Yet you did not even respond when I called this "affix matter to your attention. If you made even perfunctory inquiry of the San "rancisco Field Office you did not so inform me and I have beard no more from anyone in any companent or office.

Given good faith and the intent to comply this matter could have been handled many months ago. Not a year or more ago you asked me for a schedule of priorition and I told you my PA request was first. Since then you have provided nothing, not even responses to appeals or acknowledgement of receipt of them.

This and the kinds of records I appeal without action reduce the appeals machinery to a whitemashing machine. The fill has and had unhidden political purpose - unhidden, that is, once any records were available. Failure 2 act constitutes augmenting these entirely improper if not illegal Fill activities.

ifeed I remind you again that I saked for a review of all claims to classification under the new S.C. - and that nothing has happened since, in more than a year and in an historical case?

Oh, well, maybe my years old appeals of denials of information requested in 1968 will be acted ont in 1984.