withhold uy, class, treation of public domain

JIX assassingtion records appeals Harold Weisberg 9/1/79 Improper "national security" claims; withholding of the reasonably segregable and of the public domein

Because of field office files withholdings these appeals apply also to field office cases.

In the earliest sections of the Gauald file unnecessary "national security" claims are asserted. These have referred to are by that most willing of stampflailers, #2040. In most cases I believe there is no basis for the assertion of the claim to exemption and in many it is obvious that reasonable segregable information if withheld. There also appears to be disregard of the 5/5/77 FOIA policy and the standards that supposedly control in historical cases.

Attached Jevone to Conrad of 11/23/63, which appears to be limited to what the Lab detected in examining evidence, has an entire paragraph withheld on the second page. There appears to be neither need nor basis for the assertion of any exception, particularly not of "national security," for an information relating to the examination of physical evidence.

(Jovens extended hisself a little at the bottom of page one in representing that all the fingments from the Prosidential lineousibe "were identified" as having been fired from the so-called Osweld mills. The FEI did not take this claim for three of those five fingments.)

On the same day the FRI started scarting the White House with angled reporting pointing to Oswald as the lone assaussin and as Soviet-connected. (Not recorded Serial) It is not accurate to state that Oswald had "recently" visited the Soviet Embassy in D.C., as is stated in the second paragraph on page 4. and I question the "Secret" classification of whath is withheld on this and the next page. If as appears certain it relates to Oswald's trip to Hexico that information, as even a 2040 should have known, is within the public domain. (Or is it assuming too much to ampsume that FRI classifiers get past the comic strips in the papers of the police serials on TV?) What is end hong has been within the public domain includes the surveillances and interceptions and picturetakings, together with names. It also is in the Warren Report. The entire text of Serial 50 is obliterated. Some certainly is reasonably segregable. In addition, if the content refers to the Communist Party, the Daily Verker or the Fair Play for Sube Committee and to interceptions and surveilances, etc., all that also bes been within the public domain for a decade and a half. copies

Here "Yellow" appears to refer to a set of States from which no records have been provided. They may held information not on other copies, may have importance as a separate compilation and may even held copies missing from other files.

All three pages of the supposedly "Top Secret" Dallas 11/23/63 toletype are obliterated with such determination and totality that it extendes to some of 2040's classification stamp notations. It appears possible that this relates to an appeal you have ignored for about two years having to do with Oswald and Mexico and information flown to Dallas by them Legal S& Elden Rudd. I believe it is beyond question that some of the withheld information is reasonably sogregable and highly probable that all is and long has been within the public domain. In this connection I also requested a review of all that was withheld under "national security" claim under the new E.O. Is the Department analting the second coming of its sainted founding Director to act on <u>surgiting</u>?

Whatever the content of the No t Recorded 11/25/65 Baumgardner to W.C. Sullivan may be 2040 found not a single word reasonably segregable on two pages other than the typical promise to watch the (obliterated) matter oldely. It is impossible that nothing is reasonably segregable and improbable that the information is not within the public domain. (It also is possible that Baumgardner was heating the job up in attempting to contrive a non-existing jurisdiction, assaulting a federal officer, which the assausination wasn't.)

The appeal relating to Not Recorded 11/25/63 Director to Legat Nexico is that above relating to the previously appealed 11/23/63 withholding. By then the other hogmash fed to our Mexico City spocks may have surfaced in part. It is public domain and false.

It is fortunate that there waam an error in the transmission of the Not Recorded 11/26/63 teletype to HQ, Baltimore, Dallas and New Orleans because the correction discloses that the total obliteration of all three pages under minimal "national security" claim is not justified. The word "learned" at the very least is reasonable segregable.

2

For allachments SEE! JEK apprecha Methined Security

With 2040 making only "Confidential" claim it appears that there is no involvement of any symbolied CPUSA informant or any other. This leads to the belief that if the withheld information is not flase it is all or at least mostly within the public domain. Even including garbology.

That no copy of the WFO 11/26/63 airtel to HQ and Dallas was sent to the CIA tends to add to the belief that the contentian attached HW is based on an interview with a Russian defector. The content is identical with the political insenity earlier released by the CIA. One of the two more important ones is named Deriabin, with the name also spelled in other ways. I've forgotten but can provide the other major one. At least one, according to the FEI of later period, is undependable. I believe you have held that where people provide bad information or malicious fabrications they are not false enttilled to the protection of the exemptions. In this case the fibre and inflamatory content of the misinformation make it is greater historical importance that the source not be withheld. (Some of them types, especially the Latine, wanted to convert bad assessingtion intermation into World War III - and were not entirely alone in this.)

Because there is no correction in the 11/26/63 Not "ecorded NY TT 100% of the content of which 2040 withheld I can't provide a segregable word of the content but I am no less certain that it has reasonably segregable content and intend the reat of the above appear to relate to this withholding.

The records attached and so many others like it, processed in 1977, makes me wonder withholding if the FMI by then continued to regard/information relating to the assassination of a President as some kind of speck or gumshoe game to be played with what the country could know about that orime and the FEI's investigation of it.

in the section