Dear Paul.

Your letter of the 9th with the enclosures dated 10/5/77 10/31/77 came in today's sail. It was not possible for so to look at them until about bedtime. I will be slooping as what follows.

First of all, do not assume that I receive documents that are released. The roll did not provide those you mier to in your 10/8. That must be because I have about 25 overdue requests of them. For all, and long ago including all on Casald.

The Army and Army intelligence and related ergemisations have been i nelating that they have no files on the JFK assassination of any kind. I've not only appealed, I've carried the complaint to the DeD, without results. So, unless they lied to so, all those records to which you refer to not exist.

Specifically with Powell's Dallas outfit, was it 1927-they reaced those records to Indiantown Gap, Pa., where there is a storage depot, and insists not of those records exist, too. (I forced this on a Fuell request.)

Of course I knew of army records. I did not know of those referred to in the FMI documents, however.

I've bean sitting on this for a widle. Now I think I'll make it available to bea Whitten in the morning. I'll reed a few of your quotes from their resords and from their letters to so. But I'll also give him your mass and phone and tell him to ask you for anything from you. Problem is he probably sun't get to his office until you have left for work. (I present youds work - you've never said.) Remember, he has a five-day aproad.

While I believe that army is not alone in having deried having any records until I can check files I cannot specify or be sure.

So while I want you to know immediately of the alleged non-existence of any army or army intelligence records for your own information and so you can earry your eve work forward. I mak you not to use it out there. If her can t go with it I know others who will and who will not be nutty. I also ask that you not tell the consistee. I have not changed my opinion of them. They are still in the gutters, whatever good empariences you have had with Donovan. (They have taken searet testimony from Redlich and Willens and the staff has talked to others, including biebeler and Slammon.)

I'd appreciate your sending me copies of these records, preferably in duplicate so I can give one to Jim for him to use because we are in negotiations with M lawyers on another case and because the record in the King case shows that as of more than a year ago they had two desen JFK PVIA requests they were still stonewalling. (They've given me nothing cines, either.) Let me know the cost.

I'd like to send copies of your memo to the BoD official sed to all those who claimed they had no records. (This can be very important in FOIA in terms of good faith.) But I would not do it without your permission and I should not do it with your letter to Donovan part of it. In this case I'd probably also want a few extra and would probably send one to the Secretary of the Army. So if you can manage maybe six, without the Donovan letter, I'll write those who have claimed there are no records and enclose that.

Jim may well believe that the release of those FMI records without any one coming to me when I have so many inclusive requests usmet, when we are in regular and personal contact with the FMI FULL anit and when others in the Department have been talking for menths about records about to come to me are relevant in the King case, where the FMI is really stone-walling despite/all I've gotten from it. I think it would be good if you could give him as complete a record of their release as rapidly as possible. We have another large conference with IV and FMI Friday morning and an in camera sension with them and the judge on the 21st. I have obtained internal FMI records stating that it had been ordered that my requests not be complied with. I can the it to Reever. Hims were the first, as you know. So really in all the cases this can be quite relevant. Jim may even want to try to use it an appeal.

which is where the spectro and transcripts cases are now.

1545.00 .

If you have not sent copies of these things to Howard I encourage it. If you have not been in touch with him since he moved to acknowlike, Fla., his address is 5885 Edenfield Bd., #829, mip 32211. I'll carbon him.

I've been waiting for the right moment to use the Army's claim not to have any records on the JFE assammation. That Houston/Hidell bit is what now decides me.

I'll discuss this with Jim. But again I ask you to discuss it with nobody. Unless you see what I plan in print.

Experience and knowing the people tells se that this is the kind of thing that can turn influential ones around where all the evidence and reason in the world will not.

In fact if Jim agrees we'll use this on the Fal's good faith in our meeting with them and the NV people Friday. There is no need there to use more than that they released and withheld from me despite my requests and despite my testimony on them a year ago September in C.A. 75-1996.

I sure an sorry you delayed a month letting me know that the PBI had released those records. It could have sade a bail of a difference in several case, three I think, and could have been in court records before appeal stage. It could have been of great significance to in. With comics of the irray intelligence letters to no saybe more so because of the insulting manner in which they refer to the JFE assassination. I mean the assassination itself, not critice.

Thenks to Howard's diligence we have some new Archives and RNA records relating to me and my requests. "gave Moward a release and he kept pushing. The releases are incomplete, put them in clear violation of my PA requests, and show these at a less to know what to do about me. Hep. re Meme remafer, etc. We now have them, including the lawyers, writing deliberate lies. They know all along they could not withheld. But they did. I have been working on putting the same kind of case together in the official responses in the King POLL case. I think that when is can put it all together it will assount to something.

Your Schorr meno is not ungenerous to him. I have the impression you prepared both with the conmittee in mind. That is your affair. To me they are not all Donovans. I know some of the really cramy stuff they have done since blekey. It ranges from interviewing those even farrison did not dare use, and kneying after it, to turning ad FMI informer over to fark fame. The doesdit know that I know, So don't tell anyone. Seekham is the Garrison one, if you did not see fardner's story. I teld him. And they papear to remain close to bane, as of what I heard today, attributed to bane.

But on Schoor, did not the Church/Schweiker consittee/subconsittee set that up? This is the inevitable consequence of the superficial as well as the nutty stuff.

Deat,