To Quin Shea from "arold Weisberg, JFK records appeals 9/15/78

Deliberate FHI discrimination and non-compliance
Repeated withholding of what FHI supplied to chars

Hew violation of order in C.A. 77-2055 before Judge Genell

Attached are copies of betters between another applicant and the FMI relating to JFE assessination photographs and relevant records.

These requests are for what are known as Powell and Betmer photographs. The PBI's denial of now having any Betmer photographs appears to be false. Onesof the Betmer photos was used this weak by the Epuse assassins committee. I do not recall how many the FBI provided to the Warren Commission. I do know it appears not to have been all Hugh Betmer took.

Fowell was an Army intelligence can. I request his pictures and the relevant written records (which includes what the FBI got from the Army, only copies now because the Army appears to have senery-holed all its JFK assassination records) On or about January 1,1968. My check was cashed and I've gotten nothing from the FBI. You will find this in the list i progided some time ago.

Mr. Pleace is not the only later requester to receive what remains denied to me.

Of course this is still another of the steadfast refusals of the FaI to live
within the Department's administrative decision. It has yet to provide me with a single
copy of a single record disclosed to any other applicant.

I regard this as much more serious because of an anchange that occurred during the hearing before Judge "esell. The record showed some 25 FOIA requests to which the PMI had not responded for up to a decade. Judge Gesell asked Mr. Figley what the Department proposed about these. Mr. Figley's response was that I would receive all I saided for. Since then I have received only photographs other than I asked for of the JFK clothing and no response to my subsequent letter or to my request for all the JFK photographs. I explain the last part.

I tried to accompdate the PET and to make and costs involved for them by trying to make an appointment at its convenience to examine the JFK photos and see if I could not eliminate many. I have yet to receive even an acknowledgement. Now this would have been acknowledgement, difficult and perhaps unwise for me because of the medicial limitations. Hometheless I did, on my own initiative try to accompdate the FET.

The PBI's stonewalling, even imply lack of common civility, has left me no alternative than the request/appeal I made some time ago, that I receive a print of all such pictures, whatever their form.

Recent developments, which include the misuse of/these pictures by the House assassins and further disinformation and misinformation that is consistent with the FHI's own record, impel me to ask that you expedite this with the FHI. By expedite I mean the decision, not the actual delivery of the prints. I'd wait a reasonable time

for copies once I have an ensurance they will be provided. But if they are not going to be provide I'll raise the question of going back to Judge "esell on the question," with counsel.

Please note the time involved in the meeting of the Please request. "e wrote the PM 8/3/78/ It admostedged his request under date of 8.9.78. One day less than a month later it sent his shat he asked for - what I saked for 10 years ago and still do not have.

Please note also that the FEI's captioning of this response, "JFK Assassination - Powell Photograph", should have made compliance with a copy to me automatic.

Another of my grey-bearded requests relates to the late Joseph Adams Miltoer, who gave an accurate description of how in the official account) JFK was killed a couple of weeks before it happened. FBI records provided to the Marron Commission in less detail than was possible had him in effect taking credit for that crime.

The late Willie Somercet(t) who was involved in this matter and others with "liter was an FMI informant. There is a cross-over into Ming assassination records. I asked commediate raise germane questions at the calendar call in C.A.75-1996 yesterday. We have ordered a transcript and will provide a copy when we receive it.

Among the many reporters with whom I work and try to assist there is a Miamibased free-lance who developed an interest in the Milteer-Somersett matter. I gave him what information he requested and some FOIA suggestions. Apparently because he is not sehe received fairly prompt compliance. He was here on a visit last week. He then gave me two volumes of records I have not yet fully exemined because I've not had time. However, cornery examination discloses that there was disclosed to him what regularly is withheld from me, in general and in this specific case, including informant file numbers and names, matters I'd appealed long ago in G.A.£ 75-1996. The originals were mailed to my friend yesterday, after I bought zerox copies the day before. My counsel has these and your staff is welcome to examine them if it desires. Duplicates are, I am sure, is FRIMA. My recollection is that compliance was from BQ files and consisted of more Sections than the two volumes in which they were bound. (Of course these unnecessary costs will be added to the bill we will furnish in this case.)

Now it happens that the PBI did more than merely not comply with the request and appeal. It provided an affidavit by SA Borace P. Beckwith in which he sought to mislead the Court if in fact he did not also swear falsely. You will find this on page 35 of his affidavit of 8/11/78. We said the name and all other relevant information could not be disclosed. Whether he stated here or stated it elsewhere in a sense referring to these records I do not now recall clearly, but he did falsely represent to the Court that firther compliance was/impossible without SAs passing through 49,000

pages. This is the FEI's formulation of what it has correlated carefully with the GIA, which is not/providing the came canard in cases in court. Because I was left with no choice I merely provided the judge with the most voluminous proof of SA Bookwith's inflicitly to fact, a display of these several hundred Somerest pages. (The FEI claims in G.A. 75-1936 not to be able to retrieve either by subject or by name, which makes one wonder how it can function.)

While I am on the subject of SA Seckwith, against because he and the PMI had left me no alternative, I had to provide the Court with other provide of his liberties with affirmations and fact. You will find what is relevant on page 37 of this same one of his affidavite for all seasons of PMI need. I include this because I think your staff should know this as it processes King assassination records (and to avoid providing me with a need for going after than if they believe any other PMI lies) and because, as I am sure I suggested before, it is long past time when common in a position of authority in the Department ought be aware and concerned about these Cointalpho-type operations agains las and requesters and their considerable cost.

A student went over some of my FMI correspondence to help Civil. She propered a meso. Judge Green, not knowing that I had completed the lenger ness I did for Civil, in her exasperation told the FMI that at least it could respond to what the student selected from my carlier correspondence. She beckeith's atonowall was this reply. I have completed but not yet had time to look at a 70-page meso I did for my counsel on this, picking up after the point of the Deckeith affidavit covered in the affidavit of which I sent you a copy. To add to the Somersett records I selected a graphic representation for use yesterday. It is attached, before and after copies of MUSKIN worksheets, page 2 of those for Section 66.

I had written the FEE about visible emaures on the opies of the workshoets provided to me. The student included a shorthand reference to this. The FEE never replied. SA Beckeith swore there were no erasures. To prove this lie he attached a crude but quite legible phoney, a worksheet other that the one provided to me. You have both with this, together with the first page of the original.

By counsel underfetated what he told the judge. I was watching her. I would say she appeared to be shocked and aghast. I also add that SA Beckwith was present, with SA Hartingh. Both have not been reluctant to address the fourt in the past. "cither did yesterday. I say understated because this is not the only illustration and because the initials MPB appear on the originals and Herace F. "cokwith aptested to the fake.

The judge, in my view, understated in calling this "obstructionist" and saying that she did not want to see or hear of Sa Bockwith in this case again. She also said, as Botsy Ginsburg was asked several times to communicate and I presume will, that she wants you to be "in charge." I therefore believe you should have the copies of the worksheet pages I provide hereighth.