

Date received / [REDACTED]	Received from (name or symbol number) [REDACTED]	Received by SA [REDACTED] b7C
-------------------------------	---	----------------------------------

Method of delivery (check appropriate blocks)
[REDACTED] b7D

If orally furnished and reduced to writing by Agent: <u>Date</u> Dictated _____ to _____ Transcribed _____ Authenticated by Informant _____	Date of Report [REDACTED]
	Date(s) of activity 12/16/66

Brief description of activity or material
Militant Forum sponsored meeting
at Hall of Flowers - San Francisco

File where original is located if not attached
[REDACTED]

Remarks: b7D

61-380 (SWP)

100-57472 [REDACTED]

100-50795 [REDACTED]

105-18170 [REDACTED]

100-0 HAROLD WEISBERG

b7C

62-0-23706 12/16/66

62-5069-20 12/16/66

100-25417-103 11/8/66 (ma)

Recommendation: File

56

100-58175-2

Block Stamp

SEARCHED 2	INDEXED 4
SERIALIZED 11	FILED 11
DEC 30 1966	
FBI - SAN FRANCISCO	

Agent
Include 62-0-23706
offer 100 Search

[REDACTED]
b7D

On Friday, Dec 16th, 1966, at approximately 8:00 pm, a Militant Forum, sponsored by the Militant newspaper in association with the SWP, was held at the Hall of Flowers in S.F.

There were about 300 people there, from young to middle aged with a few old people. Sylvia Weinstein and Hal Berg were the moderators and Edward Keating of Ramparts magazine and Harold Weisberg, author of Whitewash were the speakers.

Both the speakers denied any association with the SWP and said that what they had to say did not necessarily reflect the views of the S.P.

Keating blamed the general dishonesty of the Johnson administration as the cause of the credibility gap between the administration and the public. He used errors in the Warren Report as examples, also stating that if the administration can't be believed on the Kennedy assassination, ~~how~~ it can't be trusted to tell the truth about Vietnam. The information he quoted was also quoted by Weisberg in his book.

Weisberg gave information contained in his book to prove that the conclusions of the Warren Commission were based on inconclusive and often mistaken evidence, putting a great deal of evidence on the bullet which was supposed to have killed Kennedy and wounded Connolly which showed no marks whatsoever on it. He gave information contained in both Whitewash #1 and #2 and referred to a Whitewash #3 which was yet unfinished, which would contain nothing but copies of the documents from which the Warren Commission took their information.

[REDACTED]

b7D