

71
More "national security" withholding.

The withholding under national security claim for the total contents, even the subject of the attached page, is so total I cannot provide a citation now. It, too, is withheld. This page appears to have come from one of the FBIHQ records but it could have been provided in the King case and of 62-109060 despite the added notation be withheld in the JFK files.

I believe there must be some reasonably segregable content and appeal its denial.

From the internal evidence this record was not classified until/long after my initial requests and after several FBI examinations of the entire assassination file.

This is to say that the lack of classification cannot be attributed to a general failure of the FBI to classify its classified or allegedly classified records and that the E.O. was violated.

Garrison and what the Attorney General was told by the FBI:

~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~

(101) 89-69- 3137, 3140 and 3141, attached; 62-109060- 5374, not attached.

62-109060-5374

The lengthy report, given as of 124 pp but actually of 130 in all, of which 22 are withheld, is the FBIHQ file above. It is not in the N.O. records provided. It is a record of separate historical importance in terms of Garrison and the functioning of the FBI when faced with the AG's request. ^{in #3137.} While it has historical importance as it exists what also is important is the existing information the FBI had and has not disclosed to me or to the AG himself, whether or not FBIHQ had the information.

^{report has} There ~~is~~ content from FBI interviews ^{included} not in the New Orleans files provided, as with Dean Andrews and Layton Martens and others, I think Bringuier and Quiroga among them.

Some of the information under the Houma raid heading was not provided.

^{In this report}

^{from the pages provided}

There are fewer but still unnecessary and unjustified withholdings ~~of which~~ if I have time I'll include a couple of samples. These withholdings are of information disclosed by the FBI itself and of the public domain. One I believe I've addressed earlier related to the source on Vernon Bundy. Another withholds the name of John "The Baptist" Cancler," a well-known burglar and a story all over the newspapers including ^{those} provided by the FBI.

Under the Cuban "Training Camps" (pp. 96 ff) the FBI omits its own reported part in a 7/31/63 raid on one, its own investigations, including of Ricardo Davis' camp and those involved in it and information, not secret for years, that it obtained from other police.

In selection from what was not provided, records relating to what Hugh Aynesworth provided, the FBI withholds the name of Jim Phelan ^{although} and all of that, from the FBI's own files, was extensively public. ^(pp 91-2) This began with Phelan's important Saturday Evening Post article and the extensive ancillary treatment ^{it} extended to Phelan's open part in the Shaw defense.

Omitted in the report and from the N.O. records provided is the FBI's own "Clay Bertrand" investigation, to which I've made earlier reference. Ditto for its investigation of the 544 Camp Street address, which Oswald used ^{and it investigated}.

The withholdings illustrate the FBI's concept that FOIA is a withholding statute and in general are of information that is not properly ^{from} or necessity withheld.

(102)

Throughout this report what the FBI arbitrarily and capriciously withholds elsewhere is not withheld, such information as the complete identifications of police and prosecutorial and other officials of various ranks and positions, aside from the Garrison people. Until FOIA such information was not withheld. Once the FBI spotted the possibility of misuse of FOIA it seized upon it to make spurious claims to need, to withhold and harass and to burden the courts while inflating everyone's costs. But in this report the information was not withheld and the ^{news} papers have held no accounts of calamitous consequences. You will find examples under the Houma raid and elsewhere in *This report*.

(103) In the same Section but not part of the report is Serial 5355, attached. When the FBI had political motive, getting licks in against Garrison, it did not withhold this private source and there were no dire results. This also is the kind of information never within my experience withheld until the FBI wanted to misuse FOIA. It has always been known that such persons ~~as~~ as the heads of private security organizations always cooperated with the FBI. But the FBI, arbitrarily and capriciously, has with some consistency and persistence made spurious (b)(7)(C) and (D) claims to withhold this kind of information *and identification*.

With regard to its alleged concern for privacy I call your attention to the note added by the Domestic Intelligence Division. It not only identifies Ferrie as a homosexual, which amounts to a defamation of all homosexuals, but it also identifies both of his companions as homosexuals. At least one either is not homosexual or is also heterosexual. Alvin Beauboeuf was married years ago, as I recall by 1967 or 1968. I have no recollection about the other, Melvin Coffey. But if the FBI can disclose this without claiming privacy, what can it legitimately withhold in an historical case on privacy claim?

With regard to Gordon Novel, who takes up much space in this report, there are repeated references to his being a source ^{and} to regular FBI contact with him not limited to the NO FO. I believe there is reference to reports not provided by NO FO. I have appealed some of the (104) withholdings relating to him. I told you he claimed to have had CIA connection. The attached page from this section repeats that and refers to the litigation in which I said so much

became public knowledge. It is interesting that when the alleged Garrison record of psychiatric problems while in military service (which followed his brief period as an FBI SA) was leaked, it was done through Novel's lawyer. Obviously these are confidential records and were available from some official who could tap the St. Louis GSA files. There was an immediate announcement that the Government would investigate itself. I have seen no report of this self-investigation of the leak, through Novel, who was fighting being called before the grand jury, as this record shows, and was involved in a large civil suit.

(105-
106) In connection with my appeal relating to the Novel tapes I attach from the same Section Serial 5366 and the related LHM of 6/9/67. You will see the disclosure of sources, by name and address and among other information for which claim to exemption is frequently made a list of those taped by Novel. These include the then Governor and close assistants and associates, a judge, the one who sat on the Shaw case, and police of varying ranks. (If I am not mistaken these and other similar records plus the tapes themselves and the records with them constitute proof of illegal ~~acts~~ acts for which the FBI placed no charges (and the Department did not prosecute.) This I take it is standard practise for those who have no connection with the FBI or CIA.)

Not relating to this report or those mentioned in it but related to other unjustified
107) withholdings from New Orleans record is the attached inventory page identified by a copy of the cover of that volume. Item 415 verifies what I told you in an appeal above, that the identification of the printer ^{Jones,} was known and public and that the name Osborne was used in having the printing done. (Osborne is the name of a Thornley ^{Marine} friend.)

~~Which~~ Item 421, which reports Oswald's specialized radar training ^{at Keesler Field.} with his security classification ^{which} the FBI managed to avoid in reporting ^{The FBI} ~~its~~ examination of Oswald's military record, ^{advanced} has managed not to provide the records of this specialized training either in the Dallas or New Orleans records or those I've examined from FBIHQ files or the Warren Commission records. I assume the FBI obtained them and appeal the denial. Oswald had a high security clearance. No FBI record I've seen mentions this.

For your information and addressing possible motive for withholding: the records

provided to the Warren Commission and published by it reflect that Oswald had only a Confidential clearance, upon completion of his earlier and initial specialized training at Jacksonville. The end of 1966 I received information that Oswald in fact had Top Secret and Crypto clearances, remarkable for an alleged "red" who received Russian and allegedly subversive literature openly and also was studying Russian. I immediately confirmed that he had to have had at least Secret clearance, the word of his then commissioned officer superior in the Marines, a Lieutenant Dohovan the FBI found and interviewed. (I do not recall that, or if, the FBI reports I've seen include this.) Since then I have obtained, not from the FBI, proof that Oswald did have to have Top Secret clearance at the least, which is what I published in 1967. After which the military file on the JFK assassination was destroyed. So for both reasons, the clearance and the destruction, I regard the withholding of ~~the~~ ^{any} Keesler Field records as quite important and appeal it and any related withholdings.

Related to my earlier appeals regarding Bringuier and Pena I attach a page from 62-109060 Section 135 from which the last two lines are withhold without noting of the exemptions claimed ^{alongside of} the withholding. I appeal it. The records of all these people are public. ("Riley" is Reily; "Santanana" is Emilio Santana.)

Serial 4199 EBF is a multi-part bulky of the 62-109060 file. In Part 3 there is reference to photographs I do not recall seeing and am certain I did not see at the Archives when I asked to examine that photo file years ago. Attached for identification is the August 7, 1964 letter to the Commission.

In more than a year my appeal relating to all the photographs has not been acted upon. The FBI did not make the required appointment for me before I appealed so I could arrange to examine the photographs. I therefore have had no opportunity to examine any of the photographs other than those I saw at the Archives or the few that have been provided.

With regard to these, which the FBI does not identify as to time or place or what they include, I would appreciate xeroxes. I do not want to ask for color prints until I see if the photographs have research or historical values.

In the course of reviewing records recently I learned what I believe had been kept secret relating to slides made from the Zapruder motion picture. It was known that Life magazine made 35 mm. slide for the Commission, and the Commission's record shows no more. However, Life also provided the FBI with a set, made from the original film, which means they are the clearest possible photographs of the assassination and thus quite valuable.

I would like this part of my appeal acted upon because of the value and clarity of these stills. (The printed versions show printing screen on magnification and are black-and-white copies made by the FBI.) While I would prefer color prints if the FBI can provide them good copies of the slides will be acceptable substitutes.

I have an adequate print of the entire movie and thus do not ask for it.

I will not reproduce these photographs (as I could from my movie if I desired). I have printed selected frames from the Commission's printed copies without protest from Zapruder, who was then alive, any of his ~~rights~~^{heirs} of Life, which has surrendered its rights. (Exhibit 885, Volume 18.)

I do want the pictures to include the material between the sprocket holes, which is not included in the original FBI copy, made from a copy made in Dallas.