

10. In effect this also means that the NO FO became a subsidiary or auxiliary Office of Origin and submitted reports directly to FBIHQ rather than through the OO, Dallas. As it relates to Oswald and the Oswald file, this indicates the need for other files and records to exist. They have not been provided.

go ^{of this} into the FBI's non-investigation (in my early 1967 writing).

Another person who used space in the building and was connected with anti-Castro activities is shunned in the available FBI reports but not entirely by the Secret Service. His name is Arnesto Rodriguez. He had a language school on St. Charles, Oswald did go there, and Rodriguez had the New Orleans press reputation of being an FBI source. ✓✓

While I am saying that this Serial relates to what it ^{is} known the Secret Service was doing, speaking repeatedly to Rodriguez about the subject matter of the memo, I am neither saying nor not saying that Rodriguez was a symbolled FBI informant and don't care either way and am not asking that it be disclosed. ^{it} Serial 1107, ~~redacted~~ is an informant report by a different SA but the same SAC name is withheld along with other entries on the same claims. This record appears to relate to a Hoffa source, the best known of whom was Edward Grady "Whitey" Partin.

26 While the same claims and withholdings are made on what appears to be Serial 1150 in this case the record includes the PCI's permission to disclose her name and FBI connection so there is no basis for withholding it as those who processed the record did. One of those to whom SA Kennedy then spoke was Betty Parrot (Parent). The record was disclosed years ago and I wrote about it in early 1967. She would have been a good French Quarter source.

27 This brief record begins "Attached is 302..." and adds "and also attached is a 302..." Neither is attached or in ~~in~~ any way accounted for in the worksheets. Both are withheld without any claim to any exemption.

28 What appears to be Serial 1391 makes such extended use of b2 and 7D that the date (line 1) is either a secret or only source is or is solely a matter of FBI interest. Some date! I also appeal the other withholdings in this case. Obviously this person was known to the Garrison people from the content and from the date because this was prior to any public or published knowledge of that "investigation." ✓✓

29 Serial 1434 makes extensive use of 7C with regard to Arcacha. What is public knowledge about Arcacha that uninform processors might consider should be withheld ranges from a Logan Act charge down to gypping his fellow anti-Castros. I believe that Oréstes Pena

11. There is no reference to Rodriguez, who also figures in other aspects of the investigation, in any of these NO FO records. Nor is there ^{reference} to these other aspects and other persons. One of these other persons, Roger Lovin, lived at Rodriguez' school for a while. Lovin is also mentioned in the King assassination records. One of the other aspects has to do with a questionable ~~transcription~~ transcription of an Oswald debate tape.

12. If Jack S. Martin's name is withheld then the withholding is ridiculous.

testified to some of this because his was some of the money Arcacha pocketed. Pena also went to the Miami HQ of the group to get Arcacha out of it. Arcacha also conned other refugees, including Quiroga, who was with him often, ~~and~~.

There are questions about the propriety of the withholdings compared with or balanced against really defamatory ~~and false~~ information the FBI has released about others plus the supposed standards of historical cases.

in 1434, (n 29)
Under Serial 238 you will note that in even its internal records the FBI avoided the fact that Banister was in the 544 Camp building.

in 1434, Under Serial 680 misleading internal records were also created or represent those already created. I doubt Ferrie misled the FBI. Or could in these matters. The CRF could not have had offices in the 544 building because it ceased to exist prior to the Bay of Pigs, when the CIA forced its consolidation with those the E. Howard Hunts and David Ferries considered dangerous "reds," the refugee unionists and others of similar views that are left only as related to the right extreme. Ferrie thus evades without lying. Following this there is inaccurate reference to what I refer to in my Ronnie Caire appeal, because as the FBI should have known ^{Caire} he did organize what was known as the Crusade and used that building as an address to which contributions could be sent. At about the time of Serial 1434 a certain amount of this was in the papers. This Serial was not prior to public knowledge of the Garrison thing. Moreover, Arcacha and Caire had to go public to seek the money they longed for.

30
In Serial 1515, as with the others for which b2 and 7D claims are made for more than a name or a number, there is a question of whether anything is reasonably segregable. The withholding of the personal pronoun when only one can fit is ridiculous and unnecessary. (Also commonplace.)

(mentioned in 1515)
I knew Brownlee, Ferrie's godson. I can't check Serial 702 because it is withheld as "previously processed." The content sounds remarkable like that of a record appealed above, where I go into ^{of} Sullivan, who is the source in 702. Why withhold in one record and not in the other when the withheld identification is the same?

Brownlee was in with Wyatt on the Sheridan/Partin deal shortly after the time of this record. He was used in fact to pull the deal off. *The* ~~time~~ after Garrison's operation was public.

(The same pair told me of being in Detroit at the time of Sheridan's involvement in coverage of facial disturbances that led to much criticism of NBC.)

31
Serials 1664 and 165(?)7 seem to bear on JFK records indices.

32
Unless there is an uncorrected error in Serial ~~1858~~¹⁸⁵⁸ it reflects something that would appear to be radically wrong in the processing and providing of records from 89-69. This Serial is the first in Volume 21 as provided to me. Yet it refers to earlier records as in Volume 41.

33
I provide Serial 1907 for comparison with other records in which FBI FOIA processors claim exemptions. Like the source, not withheld, nor his comment that the wife who left him is psychotic. Obvious political purposes are served by such disclosures without regard to truthfulness or dependability of their source. (Moval stayed in touch with the FBI.)

34
Serial 1916A represents the initial classification of this 1967 record as of 8/22/77. Among the problems this presents, aside from the EO, is that ~~by then~~^{by then} the FBI had reviewed these records not fewer than three times, without classifying them once. In addition, there is no other side in what was provided to me although this record states "over", which is typed on. (See p. 30)

35
Serial 2063, which appears to be unreal in many respects, is a record I referred to earlier as disclosing the existence of a CIA "field office" in New Orleans and the head of that office, matters the Department has had sworn to as always necessarily withheld as a national defense requirement.

Why the New Orleans CIA "field office" did not read the sensational local paper headlines about the CIA, did not listen to radio or look at TV, is a mystery. Five of these six listed are among the most publicized names.

36
This record makes me wonder why other internal FBI records were referred to the CIA, which has not acted on them. As Serial 2015, worksheet attached.

Can it be that the FBI actually believe the CIA pretense that it did not know that the charged Clay Shaw was a CIA contact in New Orleans?

37
If one takes what appears to be Serial 3076 at face it appears that when someone walks into an FBI office and "insists" that it accept pictures of someone taking pictures

allegedly of his place of business the entire federal law enforcement machine bows to this insistence and for no apparent reason accepts and files these "insisted" pictures. Then the SA does not write a memo on it to the SAC, it being important enough for such a memo to be written, for more than a week, by which time he has been careful to learn where the "insisted" pictures are filed and informs the SAC of this, the SAC having nothing better to do than concern himself with "insisted" and unwanted pictures of a man whose name apparently means nothing to the FBI.

Meanwhile, the insister having been interviewed by the SA, the NO FO files would appear not to contain any report on the interview.

There being files on the persons interviewed, ^{both office sources,} ~~this~~ memo that is important enough for the SAC's attention, makes no reference to the files on those interviewed

And for no apparent reason, certainly none from the content of the memo, the SA directs it for ^{filing} ~~being~~ in the JFK assassination file.

This record relates to me. With any kind of search the NO FO ~~off~~ would have found it in compliance with my PA request despite its having me named Jack. (Interestingly enough, and I do not suggest this is the product of some FBI mind-control operation, when I farmed and had the occasional help of an old, retired farmer. he used to call me "Jack" but for a reason - from the weights he saw me lift and carry. He used jack as short for jackass because he was impressed that I could work like one.)

I don't know how many Weisbergs appear in the FBI's JFK assassination files but from the rather large number of records I've gone over there is no other. This one should not have been missed in NO, which raises the question, how many more were "missed"? And how else they are filed. I'm learning a bit about the subjects used for JFK assassination records the FBI does not want to surface in normal searchings.

Bringuier was incredible. I had no interest in him. This was the morning I was to return home after testifying before the grand jury. I had not gone sight-seeing. So when I accepted the offer of the police sergeant in charge of the police assigned to the DA's office to be taken to Oswald places of interest he had his oldest man, the about-to-retire Fenner Sedgebeer, take me to Oswald places of interest before taking me to the airport. I had my

own camera with me and had taken the pictures I wanted. As a last stop Sedgebeer, who had taken a Polaroid and used it for me, took me to not the Habana store run by Bringuier as this Serial states but the Habana Bar owned by Orest Pena in which Oswald allegedly threw a spectacular drunk. While Sedgebeer was photographing the front of Pena's place El Estupides Bringuier came dashing out of his store, Casa Roca, which was several buildings away, in a half-crouch shooting away with a 35mm. He took many more pictures than those he gave, rather insisted to the FBI, as he ^p snapped with virtually every step while crossing the pavements and street. Had I been alone he'd have jumped me although we had never spoken or to the best of my knowledge even seen each other before. I know I'd not seen him.

It was a pretty crazy business I'm not likely to forget.

But I am interested in the missing interview report and notes and in any other misfilings, if this is what they are.

(Among the pictures I took are those of the wrong, meaning non-existing addresses in Oswald's address book and the porch of his residence where, in the official account, in the dark of night he practised sighting through the scope of his rifle. This also is when and how I learned of what the FBI appears not to have been able to learn, the fact in my Ronnie Caire request, that Oswald had the side entrance to the Cigali Building in which Caire had offices. I've noted Caire's connection with Archacha and the CIA, funded anti-Castro people.)

38 Serial 3218 relates to Layton Martens and one of those at the phone company from whom the FBI obtains information. Martens became very much of a public figure. He had been Ferrie's "roommate," too. This record holds other interests, like how four years late the FBI learned that there were actual Clay Bertrand s in New Orleans. Odd how Regis Kennedy and other BAs could not have asked this phone company source while they were looking for a Clay Bertrand in 1963 and did ask in 1967. And that FBIHQ did not order it, asked in 1963. The appeal is not for the phone company source but for the other withheld information opposite which no claim to exemption is made.

39 Vol 28 - the worksheets are illegible. I'd appreciate a set that can be read. This can be done by overexposing for the content and not worrying about the over-exposure of the headings, which are black and legible. The FBI does know how to xerox.

40

See page 25.5

Serial 4190 discloses what is withheld under privacy claim in other records, the names at Pan-American Films, well known names, too, because they did the film work for the local TV stations. This is a cover rather than an actual record and while it may state what is factual it does not state what is actual. Supervisor Wall, as my 1967 writing specifics (without my having seen a single FBI reference to it) was ~~adept~~ ^{adept} at covering and non-investigations. He first devised the means of hiding the fact that the Banister (former FBI) Agency was in the 544 Camp Street building by using the Oswald ^{address book} device of the side street address. ^{Wall} managed to interview the CIA-type Frank ^B Bartes without going into ^B Bartes' name in Oswald's addressbook. He has other similar accomplishments that avoided giving PBHQ troubles. ^{After Arcache} Bartes headed the NO Cuban Revolutionary Council, which the CIA funded through 4/63, which happens to be the time Oswald returned to New Orleans. Bartes also later flew planes for the CIA in the Congo, well known in New Orleans but ^{oddly} ~~oddly~~ not mentioned in any FBI records I've seen.)

Wall does not give the number of stills Rush gave the FBI. Other reports indicate six, which is no doubt why the FBI appears from the Archives to have given the Warren Commission only two.

The second paragraph hides other information. It gives as what one could take as the first date the FBI got these movies of Oswald distributing literature outside the ITM as 12/3/63. WDSU showed them and fed them to the net ^(NBC) on 11/22/63. It can't be believed and I do not believe that the FBI waited 11 days for this important evidence. I don't believe it because I know better from the man who was WDSU's news director, Ed ^P Planer. Actually Planer and Jesse Core, who had been public relations director for the ITM and was in touch with the FBI in his complaints about this filmed Oswald demonstration, reviewed the WDSU footage as soon as Oswald was identified after the assassination. At that time, both men told me ^{Core} ~~Oswald~~ was still in the WDSU footage. When the FBI returned the film he was not. My copy, also made at Pan-American, ^{does not include Core.} shows this. It also shows that whether or not the FBI spliced it all together, as Planer seemed to recall, what the FBI got was not one piece of film, as this reports states, but ^{the} ~~at~~ three that WDSU had. The other two were of a debate Oswald had and scenes at the seemingly unimportant disturbing-the-peace trial where Oswald entered a guilty plea although he was not guilty and had a nice clipping reporting his \$10 fine.

The FBI has and is withholding the information hidden by Wall. I appeal it. By this I mean more than will follow, from the San Francisco ~~the~~ Field Office, *and FBI HQ (See pp-3466-67)* Serial 4225 withholds FBI names including HQ supervisory level. *Other records have some kind of withholding* Appealed.

41
42
4234 withholds the name of the SA who wrote this report. There is no content relating to the caption, "ALLEGED EFFORTS TO INFLUENCE TESTIMONY OF EDWARD GRADY PARTIN," who I think you will recognize from his DJ role in the Hoffa conviction.

I've referred to this character, aka John George and other names, in connection with both Morris Brownlee and Walter Sheridan. Shortly after the King assassination, as this record does not mention, Wyatt was shot in the foot while working in a filling station and nobody was ever charged. Mention of the name Russo is not the only possible basis for filing a copy in the Garrison part of the JFK assassination file. Wyatt spent much time with the Garrison people. As this report fails to state Wyatt was a federal narcotics informant of such diligence that to make himself look good he framed some of his friends. He was also a blabbermouth, which Brownlee objected to. He spent a long night, all night, talking into my open tape recorder and turning it off only once. The day Sheridan/Partin made the deal with Brownlee/Wyatt to "defect" from the State legislative committee for which *who that committee was investigating,* they worked and go to work for Partin, Wyatt kept me informed from *Baton Rouge* by phone.

But the records relating to Wyatt and the JFK investigation are not provided. Nor any record of Wyatt's arrest in Texas with Brownlee, near Dallas as I recall, on a narcotics charge. Whether or not an informant on it Wyatt was part of the New Orleans JFK assassination investigation *and should have been in the FBI's investigation.*

3
Serial 4270 is the Indices Searches slip on a man who was a good friend of mine, Matt Herron. (This reminds me, the Memphis Field Office provided no King assassination records relating to him and he did take information to the FBI there when he obtained it while on photographic assignment for *Newsweek*. *45* the FBI knows from my book Frame-Up, he also provided me with information that I used and some I feared to use.) Matt was a friend of Garrison's, too. He was the Saturday Evening Post photographer on the Phelan interview of Perry Russo (relating to which no records have been provided) and for David Chandler then of Life magazine (no records of which have been provided), both in Baton Rouge.

It appears probable that this search slip was in anticipation of his testimony ~~as~~ as a State's rebuttal witness if Phelan was used by the Shaw defense.

From the time of the King assassination through the Garrison-Shaw case when I was in New Orleans I stayed with Matt and his family. Now I find that the man who was so kind to me was then indexed 10 times by the NO FO on three different subjects and in six different "internal security" matters. (Of course I feel slighted that I was slighted, that none of these citations can be traced to my subversion of him and that there is no search slip on me.)

From his connections with Garrison and me and others, from his connection with the Shaw case, I believe Matt is properly part of the NO JFK assassination files and ask for copies of these and other records. I'll send him a copy of this searches slip and if necessary I'll ask for a privacy waiver. But I'd rather the processing begin with any necessary privacy excisions because there is no telling where he may be. (As of my last information he was engaged in the great subversion of navigating the ship Greenpeace. I'm sure he has taken it elsewhere since my last information, when he took it into a Pacific nuclear test zone. So you can see how dangerous a person he is. If he took it up to either the Canadian seal kill or the New England nuclear electric plant protest, which now seems less like even an FBI internal security matter, it would take a long time for any letter to reach him.)

If there had to be a searches slip on people like Matt I find it unusual that there are so very few in this entire file. From this I am led to believe that there are many others and that if not withheld from the files searched are in other files. Dallas, for example, had none in any of the files I received and I recall none from FBIHQ. Not even where I received the records ~~resulting~~ ^{resulting} from searching by slips.

Matt also worked for Black Star. He had Dallas JFK assassination assignments at the time of the crime and once when I was with him, 11/68, on assignment for the largest British newspaper.

Not long after that he took his family and a friend just out of jail on a selective service charge on a two-year trip to and near Africa in his 32-foot boat. Some of his