

None of this is in the records provided. There are some indications in other records of the ~~blind~~^g sales but not the dates and the rest of it. Bringuier's false cover, of time, is protected by the FBI still. What little was given to me ~~elsewhere~~^{elsewhere} is withheld in the "historical" records. That O'Sullivan recruited Oswald into the CAP is withheld from the N.O. Field Office records although it was in the Archives, where the FBI originally withheld it for years, until after the Garrison mess. The withholding also protected FBI Source O'Sullivan from his false swearing about Ferrie to the Commission. What I recall had to do with sex charges against Ferrie. O'Sullivan testified there were none. In fact there were, in his squad and to his knowledge also in the next Parish, Jefferson. Related charges were filed in New Orleans, where Ferrie tried to fix witnesses. And all of this was reported in the papers and in my 1967 book while it is withheld under b7c to the limited degree it is reflected in the NO FO records provided.

Garrison received many reports that Oswald was homosexual. Garrison interpreted these as a link to Clay Shaw, who was known as a homosexual and ~~respect~~^{was at} in New Orleans and elsewhere. The NO FO records most recently disclosed include some such reports ~~(but I'm confident not all of them.~~^{about Oswald)} These relate only to ~~Exchange Alley~~ Exchange Alley and two gay bars, Wanda's and Society Page, about which I conducted my own inquiries years before these releases.

In 1967 ~~the~~ FBI told the AG it had investigated Clay Shaw and that he was the "Clay Bertrand" of Warren Commission testimony. This was later retracted. None of ~~it~~^{this} is in ~~the~~ records provided. The FBI also conducted a "Clay Bertrand" investigation, which is hardly reflected in the NO FO records. The investigation was at the time of the Commission, in 1963 and 1964, whether or not repeated in Garrison's time. (The FBI knew in 1966 of his ~~activities~~^{probe} which did not surface until ~~February~~^{February} 1967 - and over the by-line of a "source" of the White House, as I recall the records, rather than of the FBI, to which the report was made, whether or not that person was also an FBI source, which is not unlikely.)

While I do not credit the homosexual involvement and never did it was from the first a part of the investigation. This was magnified in the Garrison adventures, which were enlarged upon by Mark Lane and others. The angle does extend to Jack Ruby, who was quite literally a sick man in these regards, more than Seth Kantor indicates in his current book.

In all aspects and angles, going back to the Voebel record and the initial misrepresentations of first source on Ferrie, there is withholding, in part and in toto. While I regret that the elements that allege homosexuality are an important part of the entire historical matter, they have become that. (This extends into the International Trade Mart, which Shaw ran, where Oswald staged a successful promotion and in which there were hidden CIA "assets". Again linking to later Watergate, also not reflected in the *N.O.* files that extend to a much more recent period.)

There ~~must be further~~ *are other* Bringuier, Ferrie, Geraci and other records of persons related to them and their parts in the official story and investigations. How they are filed is not material. Whether they can be retrieved is material, which is one of the reasons I've provided records showing how - and how easily. There are files not searched, *on Bringuier, Bringuier's* where I have the number, and on others part of that part of the story, like ~~his~~ *Oreste(s)* then business neighbor but not friend/Pena.

This reminds me: there was an alleged Mexican involved. There came a time when Bringuier got his license number and gave it to the NO FO. No such record is provided. *Earlier* ~~and~~ the number ~~is~~ *was* withheld. He testified to this before the Commission. He testified that the FBI asked him to be alert to this, also not *now* provided. Cover records are provided so the FBI could say it did speak to Bringuier about this alleged Mexican associate of Oswald's.

Also connected with the Trade Mart (ITM) and Oswald's operation there and information withheld by the FBI is Serial 114, which is hardly a complete reflection of what is reported and is not the first time the FBI got the WDSU-TV pictures referred to. Again my sources are the best and I believe reason is on my side. When it was on coast-to-coast TV that Oswald had staged that operations on 11/22 do you think that the New Orleans FBI waited three more days to get those shots from the photographer, Johann Rush? There are other records that I believe follow on this. Through the language you will perceive indications of a never identified other associate or associates of Oswald. These prints are referred to in reports available at the Archives in which the NO FO sought this other person. *The FBI* ~~Yet~~ (withholds all records relating to a fingerprint not Oswald's that I've already

appealed ~~and~~ any reference to the 17 prints Rush made for the Secret Service and to its ^{the FBI's} first ~~access to~~ ^{access to} the WDSU ~~footage.~~ footage.)

11

While I question the withholdings of names from Serial 169 that is not the only reason I attach a copy. There is no privacy to protect, there is no confidential source or only source of secrecy involved.

The second paragraph is the first of the places there is reference to information not included in these files as provided to me. The content ~~crosses~~ ^{crosses} over / into records the FBI withholds but has provided to commercial investigators. Reference to flying planes into Central America by Ferrie had just then been of great interest to the FBI in the Carlos Marcello deportation case in which the mentioned G. Wray Gill had been a Marcello lawyer and Ferrie the investigator. Retired SA Regis Kennedy, also mentioned in this record, was involved in that case and failed to file a report (from what was provided) indicating that while in attendance upon the court he saw Ferrie there the day of the assassination. That was the day the case ended.

There are mistakes in the names. Correctly: Layton Patrick Martens and Alvin Beauboeuf, about both of whom there must be many records not provided. These include records relating to an earlier arrest of Martens, who with Ferrie was connected with Sergio Arcacha Smith. ^{rest was} ^{near Smith's home} ^{and disclosed his association with CIA anti-Castro activities.} ^{the} ~~the~~ ^H ~~Martens' and Ferrie's~~ ^G

Page 3, paragraph 2 refers to the forwarding of what is not provided, the New Orleans Ferrie file, quite relevant. This is a pre-assassination Ferrie file.

The disclosure of two police names on page 4 makes other withholding of police names, as on page 1, at least inconsistent. (The DA's investigators, as the FBI knew and its records disclose, are regular members of the NOPD assigned to the DA by the PD.)

12

Serial 190 apparently was revised. I recall no revised copy. It refers to indices not provided. I question the need to withhold what is obliterated on the third page. I'd be surprised if the information is not public domain.

The page headed "Misc." by hand includes homosexual references and references to the bars I referred to earlier. What is missing is later, relevant records. With regard to

Wanda's bar this assumed later importance when a former ~~Department~~ ^{Walter Sheridan,} employee who was also active in New Orleans, ~~Mississippi~~ ^{Baton Rouge,} in and the Hoffa/Partin case, ~~Walter Sheridan,~~ did an NBC "special" and did other things at the same time that have relevance ^{He} and misidentified as the "real" person known as "Clay Bertrand" one Gene Davis, then reportedly owner of Wanda's.

(One relevance will come later in connection with an informer whose names include George Wyatt, the one used in the later record.) ⁶

This record indicates the existence of other records I do not recall seeing. It also indicates the need for other records to exist.

¹³ So does Serial 325a. I do not recall the teletype referred to in Paragraph 2, quoting Ferrie as saying the President should be killed, etc. This was earlier public knowledge. I include it in a 1967 book. I recall he made such a speech to a very conservative military group of which no report is included. I think it was the Military Order of the World Wars. The FBI can't have missed that one.

From paragraph 4 it appears that as of five days after the assassination the NO FO had not informed FBIHQ of what I report above, how "OSWALD got affiliated with the Civil Air Patrol." This was not because the NO FO did not know and had no records of it. Those records are not included in the NO FO files I received.

Please note that despite the fact that Voebel was a Commission witness, despite historical case standards ~~if not~~ regular FOIA standards, in 1978 the FBI was going to withhold Voebel's name. ^{the earliest of} And in connection with ^{b7D} ¹ ¹ misinforming FBIHQ.

¹⁴ Were it appropriate to make any/claim for Serial 323, as from prior disclosures of the period before FOIA it is not, the name of one person is certain and not secret and the other is the guess of a subject expert, if correct, also not secret. The certain name is that of ^{Carlos} ~~Carlos~~ Quiroga, who was also an FBI source. The second may be that of Edward Butler, an extremist of the far right and a commercializer and exploiter of right-wing causes. Butler had also been on WDSU with Oswald and Bringuier. (What appears to be Serial 326 appears to be related. Manuel Gil worked for Butler at something called INCA. ^{Butler} The longer name in this Serial could include the/middle name, Scannell.)

¹⁵ I provide Serials 384 and 386 together because they appear to be about the same matter, ¹⁶

6. Wyatt's sidekick was Morris Brownlee, David Ferrie's godson. The Jack S. ^Martin mentioned here and earlier was a source for SA Regis Kennedy. Martin was also a Garrison hanger-on and provider of very bad information. Wyatt and Brownlee both hung around the Garrison office, Brownlee much less than Wyatt.

with claim to classification made in 384 for the phone call that from internal content has to be from ~~XXXX~~ FBIHQ. (There is in fact a series of records relating to the date 9/18/63 and the FBI's interest in it included in earlier disclosures.) Perhaps the actual explanation for what appears not to qualify for withholding lies in the directive "by whatever means necessary."

(Serial 386) elsewhere

In its checking of tourist permits the FBI disclosed the CIA connection of one, William George Gaudet, who just happens to be one who launched another assassination mythology, of Jack Ruby as "red." There should be records relating to this in the N.O. records provided but I recall none. There should also have been a rundown on Gaudet's interesting connections that would not end with his ITM office or his publication relating to Latin American with an Angletonian perspective. ITM is Shaw's place, which Oswald used for a successful "demonstration" in which the FBI quite properly had great interest that ~~did not include~~ *unfort unately* the reason Oswald picked ITM for his shot at TV.

17

While I have no present recollection of why months ago I selected the two pages of Vol. 4 worksheets that are attached I presume lack of legibility was a factor in both. There is no need for copies of originals not to be clearly legible. There also is a referral that ~~should be responded to long before the disclosure of the records being processed.~~ *release* *then* ~~immediately~~ If so the result could and should have been included in the releases at this point and reflected on the worksheets. (I know of no INS backlog.)

18

With Serial 412 I question the b1 claim. *VB*

19

The FBI has distinguished itself with gross misuse of the 7D claim but hardly more clearly and unjustifiably than with Serial 415, which withholds the name of Edward Voebel, almost withheld in an earlier record, *long* after all of this was quite public, ranging from Voebel instantly on TV to his Warren Commission testimony and the records the FBI NEVER withheld at the Archives. This is part of the mind-set I refer to, of harassment by FOIA abuse, of creating phoney statistics and inflating costs - of all abuses. If it were justifiable, what is the need in an historical case? There was never any confidentiality, either, as this was not the only source.

7. The 9/18/63 date appear to refer to a known fake report of Oswald having been seen in Mexico City then. There is continuing withholding relating to this fake. I believe claiming national security for a known fake is unjustified and that a faker is not entitled to protection by the exemptions, particularly when his name is not and has not been secret or unpublished.

8. While I do not presume that this is Mexican Government information there was widespread disclosure of this official information, much of which has been readily available in the Archives for years. There appears to be no basis for withholding any Mexican Government information. Meaning relating to Oswald in Mexico or in travel.

With regard to the Paines, both, there has been widest access even to political files and extending into both families, even to Trotskyite uncles and indication of being an FBI informant. The Paines are central figures in this case.

(20) I question both propriety and need for the same claim in Serial 479.

(21) With Serial 512 I question the classification and withholding. Note also that the reference to the earlier crash "do whatever necessary" 9/18 matter was based on a lie and not entitled to protection on that basis.

This record illustrates the importance, historically, for not considering field office copies to be exact duplicates of FBI ^{HP} originals ^{sent by} FOs. The withheld material should relate to Jack Ruby because the second file number is that of the NO Ruby file.

(22) Serial 569, Volume 5, refers to views of the WDSU footage I do not recall perceiving in the FBI's stills. If so, what ^f frames of Johann Rush's film were used and from what source? This record is not as informative (to FBIHQ) as it could have been. Neeley also is in the pictures, as the report fails to state, and she also identified another person in them, ^{men} an executive at the N.O. Roosevelt Hotel whose name escapes me for the moment. She told the NO FBI that Lawrence was renting ^{the} space in the new ITM building then underway. And then there is more to the Cross Country company and its ownership, the Bloomfield name that appears to have a CIA connection. Neeley worked in the ITM office, with Jesse Core. ^g

(22) ^(Serial 569) Brady's middle name ^{is} Cuthbert, not Cuspert. He was a well-known homosexual, intellectual and gun fancier. He had what in New Orleans was known as a "discussion group," those of which I know being of rightist orientation. There were reports that Oswald attended his. (This reminds me of the total void in the New Orleans files of the established fact of Oswald's having been at the Ryder Coffee House, where there was such a discussion group. One of the operators was Jack Frazier, the other Howard Cohen, both of FBI interest, Cohen even in Mexico. I knew Frazier. It was the custom to have guests sign in. Somewhere I have the page Oswald signed. I'm surprised that the FBI, having spoken to both and to others who were there, provided no indication of its having obtained any of this information.) ^{ve}

(23) While I've forgotten why I made copies of the attached worksheets that ^{begin} ~~start~~ with 910, and 1026 I presume with both it is the use of a ball-point blue pen for what is to be photocopied and is ^{when photo copied} never clear and the extensiveness of "previously processed" where there is error on the worksheets that can lead to identification problems. What is indicated as Vol 6 ^{is} actual 7, of which the second worksheet is part ~~is~~ from 7A. Omission of dates, as

9. I have copies of other FBI prints and of investigative reports based on them - many - not included in these NO FO records. If in the FBIHQ release they can't be retrieved from that mass.

It comes back to me now that the name is Nick Palmisano and it was the Royal Orleans rather than the Roosevelt Hotel.

10. Here I mean to indicate withholding, perhaps by filing outside the 89-69 or 100-106601 files.

on the worksheets

they are omitted ¹ beginning with 910, ^{makes} ~~there~~ a total impossibility of finding them as "previously processed." Who can even estimate how many "Insert re: Oswald" records there are in FBI files? But that is the only information provided, no identification.

(24) That even the FBI was confused is indicated in Serial 1078. Please note on this the addition of indexing instructions for other than the regular index, the index that remains withheld. (New Orleans also provided index cards to Dallas.) *VO*

(25) On Serial 1084 there are four obliterations, with the single claim to exemption following the name of the NO SAC of all things! b2 ~~b7D~~ ^{and} are claimed for the ~~NO~~ ^{SAC's} name and no claim is made for anything else. If the identification of an informer is withheld I do not appeal that. If the symbol is withheld I do appeal that. Disclosing it discloses nothing that identifies the source.

If the FBI was and remain ^{ed} uptight about the 544 Camp Street address it would have behaved exactly as it did behave. This extended to refusing to provide the Commission with a copy of the literature on which Oswald stamped this address. When the Commission ~~was~~ ^{was} weary of the FBI's stonewalling because it required a copy with that address it got one from the Secret Service. Meanwhile, this is precisely the area in which the FBI wanted to and did succeed in foreclosing the Secret Service, that ~~address~~ and the Oswald literature. The FBI huffed and puffed its files full of self-justification about the same pamphlet without that address stamped on it for all the world as though the records were identical because they were the same Corliss Lamont pamphlet.

Sam Newman was the owner of the building, since destroyed for the new federal building, I understand. He gave the Secret Service to understand that he put Oswald out of the building when he found him there without paying any rent. He gave me to understand that it was Oswald and it was the office that had been used by the CIA front, reference to Archacha Smith in 1084. *Nothing on this in the files provided.*

Among the intelligence not provided to the Commission, or from any records I've seen, to FBIHQ is that there was a side entrance to the building and it was the office of a former ^{SAC} FBI ~~named~~ Guy Banister, ^{He} ~~who~~ then had a private detective agency and an anti-Communist organization of his own. Ferrie worked for him from time to time and used his office. I