FBIHQ JFR appeals

To Unin Shee from Marold Weisberg, JFK assessing records Refermals - abuse of by both FET and CIA "netional security" claims, misuse of need for references in historical cases

3/21/79

As the caclesed referral alip from \$105-82555, Section 217, Serial 5158 shows there was a referral to the CIA. My recollection of the date is about 7/77, or about 20 months ago. There were numerous such referrals. I recall no action by either the CIA, which is stonewalling its own JFK assessination releases, or by the FBI.

Cally because the non-secret material is of interest to a strient who is using/any files on I able to correlate for you that the withhalding is allegedly to protect an falleged source, a faker named Gilberto Alvaredo Ugarte, secretimes spelled Alvaredo

What the CIA has and FBI have combined to attempt to protect here is an unscrupulous young san who tried to start World War III over the JFK assaudination by a fake any experienced intelligence person should have seen through issediately and any person of normal sophistication would have doubted on first hearing.

Instead, the CIA Merico City Station sought to further Alff Alvaredo's objectives, sending the fabrication directly to the White Mouse and State (U. alexis Johnson.) I have been interested in this fabrication for years for this resem.

Although the name was well known the spockardes combined to con the Church consultate into believing otherwise. As I recall the identity is hidden by the initial "D" in the subcommittee's report. "his, of course, made the Congress look ridiculous, a not unheard of apook objective.

There are other such fabrications where for a reason not invedictely apparent in its reasonableness efforts continue to withhold the names. As I recall your 1/12/79 testimony in G.A. 75-1996, Takers are not automatically entitled to the exemption's protection.

Also attacked is a Not Recorded Serial from FRING 52-109090. On it is noted the feet that #the original is filed in 105-62555-5458, or themsecords referred to the never-acting CIA.

How disclosure in the 62 file justifies withholding under the guise of referral in the 105 file is not issediately apparent to me.

The note makes clear that the make lying information was not exclusively the GIA's but was the result of joint GIA-FHI work. In fact, it states that the FHI (quite needlessly) cleaned and condensed the material. These are apong the reasons I appeal the mithholding under the guise of referral, because referral was unnecessary and not justified. Because of the country of both the Cosmission and the Church committee I believe both versions should be provided, in the interest of history as well as a record of abuse of FOIA by the FHI and GIA. I believe also that the explice withholdings should be replaced. There was no excuse for withholding the name from so many records and making unnecessary confusion thereby.